	SUM INDUSTRY - COMMAN
1	Going to Extremes: Installing the World's Highest Weather Stations on Mount Everest
2	Matthews T. <sup>1*</sup> , Perry L.B. <sup>2</sup> , Koch I. <sup>3</sup> , Aryal D. <sup>4</sup> , Khadka A. <sup>3,4</sup> , Shrestha D. <sup>4</sup> , Abernathy K. <sup>5</sup> , AN
3	Elmore A.C. <sup>5</sup> , Seimon A. <sup>2</sup> , Tait A. <sup>5</sup> , Elvin S. <sup>5</sup> , Tuladhar S. <sup>6</sup> , Baidya S.K <sup>6</sup> , Potocki M. <sup>7</sup> , Birkel
4	S.D. <sup>7</sup> , Kang S. <sup>8,9</sup> , Sherpa T.C <sup>3</sup> ., Gajurel A. <sup>10</sup> , Mayewski P.A. <sup>7</sup>
5	
6	
7	Affiliations
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography and Environment, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK
9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Geography and Planning, Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina,
10	USA
11	<sup>3</sup> International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, Lalitpur, Nepal
12	<sup>4</sup> Central Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal
13	<sup>5</sup> National Geographic Society, 1145 17 <sup>th</sup> St., Washington, D.C., USA
14	<sup>6</sup> Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, Kathmandu, Nepal
15	<sup>7</sup> Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA
16	<sup>8</sup> State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and
17	Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Lanzhou, China
18	<sup>9</sup> CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing, China
19	<sup>10</sup> Central Department of Geology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal *Corresponding
20	Author. Additional contact details (address given as affiliation 1): e-mail:
21	t.matthews@lboro.ac.uk; phone: 00441509222788
22	

**Early Online Release**: This preliminary version has been accepted for publication in *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, may be fully cited, and has been assigned DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0198.1. The final typeset copyedited article will replace the EOR at the above DOI when it is published.

#### 23 Abstract

24 As the highest mountain on Earth, Mount Everest is an iconic peak that offers an unrivalled 25 natural platform for measuring ongoing climate change across the full elevation range of Asia's 26 water towers. However, Everest's extreme environment challenges data collection, particularly on the mountain's upper slopes, where glaciers accumulate mass and mountaineers are most 27 28 exposed. Weather stations have operated on Everest before, including the world's previous 29 highest, but coverage has been sparse in space and time. Here we describe the installation of a 30 network of five automatic weather stations (AWSs), including the two highest stations on Earth 31 (8,430 m.a.s.l and 7,945 m.a.s.l) which greatly improves monitoring of this iconic mountain. 32 We highlight sample applications of the new data, including an initial assessment of surface 33 energy fluxes at Camp II (6,464 m.a.s.l) and the South Col (7,945 m.a.s.l), which suggest melt 34 occurs at both sites, despite persistently below-freezing air temperatures. This analysis 35 indicates that melt may even be possible at the 8,850 m.a.s.l summit, and prompts a re-36 evaluation of empirical temperature index models used to simulate glacier melt in the Himalaya 37 that focus only on air temperature. We also provide the first evaluation of numerical weather 38 forecasts at almost 8,000 m.a.s.l and use of model output statistics to reduce forecast error, 39 showcasing an important opportunity to improve climber safety on Everest. Looking forward, 40 we emphasize the considerable potential of these freely available data for understanding weather and climate in the Himalaya and beyond, including tracking the behavior of upper-41 42 atmosphere winds, which the AWS network is uniquely positioned to monitor.

43

#### 44 Capsule

45 We installed the world's highest weather stations on Mount Everest, offering new insights into

46 water resources under climate change, and potentially improving climber safety.

## 48 Introduction

49 Mountains cover 25% of the Earth's land surface and their snow and ice stores act as water 50 towers for more than a billion people worldwide (Immerzeel et al., 2019, 2010; Meybeck et al., 51 2001; Viviroli et al., 2007). They are locations of especially hazardous weather extremes (Moore and Semple, 2006; Wang et al., 2015), and a natural observation platform from which 52 53 to observe globally-significant high-altitude winds (Abish et al., 2015; Moore and Semple, 54 2004). The climate is also warming at altitude more rapidly than the global mean, which makes 55 these water towers vulnerable to accelerated melt (Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working 56 Group et al., 2015). It is unfortunate, then, that continuous observations from automatic 57 weather stations (AWSs) are biased toward lower, more accessible elevations (Figure 1). The 58 problem is particularly apparent in High Mountain Asia (HMA), where only a handful of AWSs 59 have been installed above 5,000 m.a.s.l, an elevation above which almost 62,000 km<sup>2</sup> of glacier 60 area in HMA is located (around 63% of the total HMA glacierized area); above 6,000 m.a.s.l nearly 11,000 km<sup>2</sup> (around 11% of the glacierized area) is found, yet we were unaware of a 61 62 single AWS in HMA still operating above this elevation when our project started (observations 63 from 6,352 m.a.s.l on Nepal's Mera Peak ceased in November, 2016).

64 Perhaps the most ambitious prior effort to fill the high-altitude observational gap was by the Ev-K2-CNR Committee (Locci et al., 2014) which, beginning in 1993, established a 65 66 network of six AWSs from 2,660 m.a.s.l to almost 8,000 m.a.s.l on the Nepalese side of Everest 67 (also known in local languages as Sagarmatha or Qomolangma), and included the world's highest AWS at Everest's South Col (Bertolani et al., 2000; Salerno et al., 2015). That network 68 has provided valuable insights, including the assessment of dangerous weather events high on 69 70 Everest (Moore and Semple, 2011), and the identification of emerging climate trends (Salerno et al., 2015). It has also been used to help drive glacier mass balance models (Shea et al., 2015). 71 However, the quality and number of observations from the AWSs is reduced at higher 72

73 elevations, with the South Col record particularly short and fragmentary. The Ev-K2-CNR 74 installation there was performed by a team of Italians and Nepalis in May 2008 (Moore et al., 75 2012a), building on previous, very short-term deployments by North American researchers in 76 both 1996 (Lau, 1998) and 1998 (Moore and Semple, 2004). Air temperature data were available intermittently from the Ev-K2-CNR South Col station until 2011, when the AWS was 77 78 apparently destroyed by wind-blown debris, highlighting the extremely challenging 79 environment for prolonged data collection (Verza, *pers. comm*). Since 2011, the highest AWSs 80 installed in the Khumbu region were on Kala Patthar summit and Changri Nup Glacier, at 81 altitudes of 5,600 and 5,700 m.a.s.l, respectively (Locci et al., 2014; Salerno et al., 2015). On 82 the Chinese (north) side of Everest, AWSs have been deployed at the North Col (7,028 m.a.s.l) 83 and the Ruopula Pass (6,560 m.a.s.l) (Yang et al., 2011), but they have not been active since 84 2008 and 2010, respectively.

85 There are very strong scientific and human safety motivations to establish a new 86 network of AWSs high on Everest. First, around 20% of the surface area, and almost all of the 87 accumulation zones of the glaciers in the Dudh Koshi River basin (within which the Khumbu region is located) are situated above 5,800 m.a.s.l (Salerno et al., 2015; Shea et al., 2015), 88 89 meaning there is currently no in-situ monitoring of climate variables at elevations critical for 90 regional water-resource monitoring. Second, the extreme altitude enables direct and continuous 91 monitoring of the jet stream winds -- globally significant circulation features that may be 92 changing in strength and location as the climate warms (Abish et al., 2015). Third, climbers 93 continue to attempt to summit Everest in growing numbers, and deterioration in the weather is 94 a major contributor to death rates high on the mountain (Firth et al., 2008), where weather 95 observations are absent and the performance of forecasts unknown.

96 Considering these motivations, we undertook an ambitious program to install a network
97 of five AWSs during the pre-monsoon climbing season of 2019 as part of the National

98 Geographic and Rolex Perpetual Planet Expedition to Mt. Everest (hereafter 2019 Everest 99 Expedition). This effort was spearheaded by the meteorology team on what was an ambitious 100 multidisciplinary expedition that also included glaciology, biology, geology, and mapping 101 components (National Geographic, 2019). In what follows, we describe the installation of this 102 network, including the design specification of the stations for the extreme environment. We 103 then show preliminary data collected by the network and highlight their utility in addressing 104 some of the motivations explained above. We close by highlighting potential avenues for future 105 research utilizing this new, freely available data source.

106 Network Design

## 107 Site Selection

To improve weather monitoring in the Khumbu region, the 2019 Everest Expedition planned not only to extend the measurement network to new heights, but also to improve the density and quality of observations at lower elevations. At present, a lack of basic hydrometeorological observations in the region inhibits understanding of evolving water resources under climate change (Krishnan et al., 2019; You et al., 2017). We aimed to help fill this gap by installing AWSs that monitor liquid and solid precipitation and snow depth and variables required to resolve the surface energy fluxes (Wild et al., 2017; Table 1).

115 Five potential sites for AWS deployments were selected based on the following: (1) the 116 anticipated utility of measurements from each location, and (2) the logistical challenges of 117 installation and longer-term maintenance (Figure 2). The lowest of these sites, Phortse (3,810 118 m), was selected because its abundant flat ground and largely unobstructed sky provides an 119 excellent location for measuring radiation and precipitation (including a double-alter wind 120 shield). The Pumori Bench (5,315 m), a vegetated medial moraine close to Everest's Base 121 Camp, was chosen as the only other location below the Khumbu Ice Fall (a dangerous obstacle 122 to climbers). This site enables weather observations representative of the Khumbu Glacier's upper, clean-ice ablation area (Rounce et al., 2018), an area of interest to glacier-climate studies
(Pratap et al., 2015). The Pumori Bench is also relatively stable, and therefore suitable for
precipitation sensors and a double-alter wind shield. Due to its proximity to such a well-known
location on the Everest climbing route, we refer to this station as "Base Camp" hereafter.

Above the Khumbu Ice Fall, we selected sites at Everest's Camp II (~6,400 m.a.s.l), 127 128 the South Col (~7,900 m.a.s.l), and as close to Everest's 8,850 m.a.s.l summit as possible. All 129 these locations are along the main southern Nepalese Everest climbing route, which maximizes 130 accessibility for maintenance visits. Camp II represents the approximate maximum elevation 131 of the 0°C isotherm in the Khumbu region according to Shea et al. (2015), and is therefore an 132 opportune site for tracking this important meteoric parameter for glacier mass balance (Bradley 133 et al., 2009; Carrasco et al., 2005). In the interests of data continuity, we aimed to install the 134 new South Col AWS on bedrock close to the previous Italian station. For the uppermost station 135 a number of possible sites were identified in appreciation of the challenges posed by journeying 136 to 8,850 m.a.s.l, including the "Balcony" (8,430 m.a.s.l), and South Summit (8,749 m.a.s.l).

## 137 Design Specification

138 A critical part of preparing the network was identifying the likely demands on the 139 highest AWSs from extreme weather. To guide us, we used ERA-Interim reanalysis data from 140 the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF; Dee et al., 2011), 141 interpolating six-hourly wind speeds and air temperatures from the closest model levels to the 142 latitude, longitude and elevation of the summit. These pre-fieldwork results indicated a maximum wind speed of 76 m s<sup>-1</sup>, and a minimum temperature of -49°C during 1979-2018 143 144 (Fig. 3). However, the former refers to means rather than instantaneous gusts, so we multiplied by 1.4 (a representative gust factor for strong winds in mountainous terrain: Ágústsson and 145 146 Ólafsson, 2004) to obtain a precautionary estimate of extreme winds. This scaling updated the maximum wind speed to around 106 m s<sup>-1</sup> which, if reached, would surpass the world record 147

outside a tropical cyclone or tornado (WMO, 2019). We took this as our design standard for wind speed (for both the South Col and summit), and also ensured that the AWSs should be resilient to temperatures of -60°C and an atmospheric pressure of 311 hPa (the minimum value in the reanalysis data for the summit).

The AWSs were designed with Campbell Scientific, Inc. (from which the stations were 152 153 purchased) to cope with these extreme meteorological demands through a two-pronged 154 approach. First, some sensors for the two highest stations underwent special low-temperature 155 modifications, and battery-heaters and insulation were added to safeguard the power supply. 156 Telemetry and data logging programs were also designed to be robust and conserve power, 157 with double-redundant transmission (Thuraya satellite modem or radio transmission to Base 158 Camp AWS), and a high capacity micro-SD card in case transmission was paused due to low 159 power. The second means of ensuring resilience of the two highest AWSs was to guard against 160 the additional challenges of high wind and extreme low pressure. We did this by designing the 161 custom tripods to be guy-wired and bolted to bedrock and planned for redundant temperature 162 and wind speed sensors. We also subjected AWS sensors to a full system test in a low 163 temperature hypobaric chamber before deployment.

164 In addition to being robust, the summit and South Col AWSs had to be: 1) lightweight, since they would be hand-carried to extreme elevations; and 2) require minimal setup time 165 166 (~1.5 hours) due to the extreme environmental conditions facing the climbing team (e.g. limited 167 supplementary oxygen, cold, fatigue). The total weight of each station was therefore limited to 168 ~50 kg (Table 1). To facilitate a quick installation while wearing gloves/mittens, the tripod, 169 cross arms, and all mounts were designed with twist knobs and/or pins with quick release 170 handles. All sensor cables were also pre-wired to a Campbell Scientific CR1000x data logger inside an insulated Pelican case with military-specification quick-connect fittings (Fig. 2). 171

#### 172 Installation of the Weather Stations

Our team installed the AWS network in April and May 2019. The Phortse, Base Camp and Camp II deployments used conventional tripods and were relatively straightforward; however, the two highest installations were considerably more complex given the extreme environmental conditions and logistics of carrying two 50 kg AWSs up the ~1,500 m-high Lhotse Face (Fig. 2). After a regimented acclimatization schedule and many training deployments of these custom AWSs, the team left Everest Base Camp on 18 May 2019 to install the uppermost stations, climbing to the South Col over four days. We installed the new AWS there approximately 30 m higher than the most recent EV-K2-CNR deployment (Salerno et al., 2015), in an area farther from debris which could impact the station during periods of extreme winds. The first observation from the South Col AWS was received at 1145 Nepal Time (NPT) on 22 May 2019 indicating a temperature of -17.8°C, 53% relative humidity, 380 hPa pressure, and winds out of the west-southwest at 8.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> gusting to 15 m s<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a wind chill of -29.8°C The summit push began at 2300 NPT on 22 May 2019. Our team of 22, including 14

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

The summit push began at 2300 NPT on 22 May 2019. Our team of 22, including 14 Sherpas, three scientists (Matthews, Perry, Potocki), three media team members, and two Nepalese climbers, made substantial progress for the first three hours. A traffic jam of climbers then slowed progress, consistent with the very narrow time window suitable for summitting according to available weather forecasts (Wilkinson, 2019). Given the exceptionally slow pace and visible crowding farther up the route, safety concerns prompted us to install our highest AWS at the Balcony (the lowest targeted "summit" site), at around 0400 NPT.

The Balcony deployment was hindered by the extreme cold, with drill batteries (required to set the rock anchors for the tripod) needing to be warmed by body heat to restore their function. We also observed that the critical mounts for the wind sensors were missing, so we improvised replacements using lightweight aluminium shovel handles approximately the same diameter as the original pipe mounts (Fig. 2). The installation of the world's first AWS above 8,000 m.a.s.l was completed shortly before 0645 NPT on 23 May 2019, with initial measurements of -23.9°C air temperature, 78% relative humidity, 355 hPa pressure, and winds out of the northeast at 1.7 m s<sup>-1</sup> gusting to 5.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, translating to a wind chill of -29.6°C.

## 201 Initial Observations

All except the Base Camp AWS<sup>1</sup> have been operating since the 2019 Everest Expedition and 202 203 we focus here on interesting aspects of the record up to 31 October 2019. The preliminary data (Figure 4) highlight the considerable range of conditions spanned by the network in space and 204 205 time, with the Balcony site on average 28°C lower in air temperature than the Phortse site 206 (4,620 m below). Consistent with previous research we observe the temperature lapse rate to 207 exhibit strong seasonality, including a shift to shallower values during the monsoon (Immerzeel 208 et al., 2014; Kattel et al., 2013), which started around 1 July 2019. The decline in high altitude 209 winds, increase in relative humidity, and initiation of substantial precipitation accumulations 210 at Phortse, identify this monsoon onset and indicate a somewhat delayed arrival, with initiation 211 normally earlier in June (Gautam and Regmi, 2013; Immerzeel et al., 2014; Salerno et al., 212 2015). A relative drying of the atmosphere at all sites and acceleration of the high-altitude winds suggest termination around 1 October 2019, which is more consistent with the 213 climatological timing of cessation (Gautam and Regmi, 2013). The apparent brevity of the 214 215 monsoon is reflected in precipitation receipts, with the 558 mm recorded at Phortse since 216 installation (17 April 2019 to 31 October 2019) equivalent to around 90% of the climatological 217 monsoonal precipitation for this altitude in the Koshi River basin (Salerno et al.,  $2015)^2$ . 218 The delayed monsoon onset is recognisable in comparisons of our observations at the

South Col with those from the world's previous highest AWS operating there in 2008 (Moore et al., 2012b), as our median June temperature was around 1.3°C lower, and the median wind

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Base Camp station was offline from late May until mid-October due to a software bug (now corrected). <sup>2</sup> Salerno et al. (2015) indicate mean annual precipitation (*p*) in the Koshi River basin can be modelled as a function of elevation, *x*, according to:  $p = 21168e^{-0.0009x}$ , and that around 90% of this falls during the monsoon.

speed was almost 3 m s<sup>-1</sup> higher (Table 2). During July and August the temperatures and wind 221 222 speeds between years are in relatively close agreement, but Moore et al. (2012b) report much 223 stronger winds and lower air temperatures throughout September and October. Despite such apparently subdued winds during the post monsoon period, our peak gust of 50.8 m s<sup>-1</sup> exceeds 224 225 the all-time maximum wind speed by a factor of 1.12 for October estimated from the ERA-Interim for the summit/South Col (45.3 m s<sup>-1</sup>; design specification section), a feat also achieved 226 227 by June's 39.8 m s<sup>-1</sup> gust (1.16 times greater than the 34.3 m s<sup>-1</sup> maximum for that month in 228 the ERA-Interim). These gust factors are well within the AWS design standard, but suggest 229 that the all-time maximum values plotted in Fig. 4 are a lower bound for the winds to anticipate 230 at the South Col. The Balcony AWS was evidently installed in a more sheltered location than 231 the Col, with generally lower winds despite the greater elevation (Fig. 4). Note that the slightly 232 reduced air pressure we recorded relative to 2008 in all months (Table 2) is consistent with the 233 marginally higher elevation of our AWS compared with the Italian installation site.

234 Another interesting feature of the early observations is the extraordinary receipts of 235 insolation at Phortse, Camp II and the South Col, where daily maximum values approach, and 236 occasionally exceed, the top-of-atmosphere incident flux (Fig. 4). Transmittance of solar 237 radiation increases with elevation (Bintanja, 1996), but some attenuation of insolation from 238 ozone, water vapor, and uniformly mixed gases should be anticipated (Pellicciotti et al., 2011). 239 Insolation exceeding the top-of-atmosphere potential has been reported before in the Himalaya, 240 and is thought to result from multiple reflections from nearby snow-covered surfaces and thin 241 clouds (de Kok et al., 2019). We suggest that further analysis of this phenomenon should be 242 considered, given the potential importance of shortwave radiation in driving high-altitude melt 243 and sublimation identified below.

244 Sample Applications

## 245 Glacier-Climate Interactions

Eq. 1

The South Col and Camp II AWSs are instrumented to model the surface energy fluxes at elevations rarely possible, offering the opportunity to improve regional simulations of glacier mass balance in HMA. We explore the energetics at these locations using a model (detailed in Appendix B) which computes all terms in the surface energy balance (SEB):

250 
$$0 = Q_{SW} + Q_{LW} + Q_H + Q_L + Q_G + Q_M$$

251

where  $Q_{SW}$  is the net shortwave heat flux,  $Q_{LW}$  is the net longwave heat flux,  $Q_H$  is the sensible heat flux,  $Q_L$  is the latent heat flux,  $Q_G$  is the ground heat flux, and  $Q_M$  is the energy available for melting. The SEB was modelled for a prescribed snow surface with constant albedo (0.8); because the AWSs are located on bedrock proximate to the glacier (Figure 2), so we are unable to monitor the evolving glacier surface directly.

257 Consistent with previous studies in the region (Kayastha et al., 1999; Litt et al., 2019), 258 and following from the high levels of insolation reported in the initial observations, the results 259 indicate that net shortwave radiation is the largest energy source for the surface at both sites 260 (Figure 5 and Table 3). Most of this energy is then dissipated by net longwave radiation, with 261 smaller amounts lost to the latent heat flux (sublimation) and consumed in melting. The SEB 262 modelling indeed indicates that the amount of potential meltwater generated at Camp II is nonnegligible, despite freezing air temperatures (Fig. 5; 77% of melt occurred when air 263 264 temperature was below 0°C). This conclusion was supported by streams of meltwater observed 265 in the vicinity of Camp II during May on the 2019 Everest Expedition, a month in which air temperature did not rise above freezing for a single hour at the Camp II AWS. Importantly, this 266 267 behavior would not be captured by mass balance models that assume melting occurs only when 268 air temperatures exceed 0°C (Huss and Hock, 2015; Radić et al., 2014), indicating that such 269 methods may indeed by unsuitable for HMA (Litt et al., 2019). However, to what extent any 270 melt would contribute to runoff (rather than refreezing at some point before leaving the glacier)

271 remains to be determined. The anomalously warm borehole ice temperatures observed well *above* the mean annual air temperature on the lower Khumbu Glacier by Miles et al. (2018) are
273 certainly consistent with significant latent heat release from refreezing of meltwater in the
274 Western Cwm.

The high insolation that could enable considerable melt to occur at Camp II may also 275 276 trigger melting at the South Col, with our simulation generating around 60 mm of meltwater despite a peak daily mean air temperature of -10°C (on 30 July), and not a single hourly mean 277 air temperature above the melting point (maximum was -2.6°C on 7 July<sup>3</sup>). While such melt 278 279 totals cannot be confirmed, we do find evidence of the surface repeatedly reaching the melting point during episodes of snow cover, when there is also generally good agreement between 280 281 observed and modelled surface temperatures, despite the simplicity of our idealized snow 282 surface (Appendix C). These SEB results therefore indicate snowmelt is possible right up to 283 the altitude of the South Col, meaning melting may be not be uncommon up to the very tops of 284 all but a handful of the highest mountains in the Himalaya (only 14 peaks on Earth are above 285 8,000 m.a.s.l). For the South Col itself, we also expect that substantially more melt occurs than indicated for the idealized snow surface, as glacier ice is exposed at the South Col. Re-running 286 287 the SEB model for such a plausible ice surface suggests melt totals of over 2 m are possible (Appendix B). The substantial increase is consistent with a very strong sensitivity to albedo, 288 289 which follows from the high levels of insolation.

The SEB analysis raises the question as to whether melt may even occur at the summit of Everest. We provide a first order assessment of this by conservatively<sup>4</sup> extrapolating meteorological variables to 8,850 m.a.s.l and rerunning the SEB model for the same prescribed snow surface. Uncertainties in the extrapolation are considerable (Appendix D), but we *cannot* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We caution that the highest temperatures may be prone to positive bias if observed during strong solar heating and low wind speeds. This value occurred under winds of only 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup> and insolation of 1,161 W m<sup>-2</sup>. <sup>4</sup> Summit temperatures were estimated with a method designed to be robust to solar heating of temperature sensors in the AWS network (Appendix D).

rule out that limited melting during the monsoon may be occurring at the summit (Fig. 6). We find four days on which the simulated surface temperature reached the melting point under light winds and high relative humidity (generally over 80%). Under such conditions turbulent and longwave dissipation of the intense shortwave is suppressed, permitting modelled melt with air temperatures below -12°C.

299 Although melting at the highest point on Earth may have strong symbolic significance, 300 sublimation seems to be a far greater means of mass loss at extreme altitude on Everest, with 301 over 100 mm simulated for the summit and South Col (Fig. 5 and 6). The higher totals at these 302 altitudes compared with that at Camp II (less than 50 mm) reflect the increased winds higher 303 on the mountain that enable latent heat transfer to more efficiently dissipate the net solar 304 radiation, which also amplifies with altitude (Table 3). The amount of mass potentially lost by 305 sublimation on the upper slopes of Everest, coupled with the presence of permanent snow cover 306 over much of this terrain, raises the interesting prospect that snowfall at such altitudes in the 307 Himalaya may be more substantial than previously thought. For example, the modelled 308 sublimation of 128 mm at the South Col (in five months) is almost eight times greater than the 309 predicted annual precipitation at such altitude (Salerno et al., 2015). Windblown snow from 310 lower elevations may account for much of the discrepancy, but the winds are also known to 311 deflate the snow on Everest, sometimes to spectacular effect (Moore, 2004). Future work is 312 clearly needed to rule out the possibility of a much more vigorous hydrological cycle at these 313 extreme elevations. .

## 314 Improved Everest Weather Forecasts

Ascending to the summit of Everest requires the relatively light winds characteristic of highpressure conditions, with climbers otherwise at much greater risk from cold injury and death (Firth et al., 2008; Moore and Semple, 2011). The performance of forecasts made in support of climbing expeditions is largely unknown due to the absence of in-situ observations for evaluation. We demonstrate another sample application of the new AWS data here by
addressing this gap to provide the first comparison of numerical weather forecasts against
actual observations from high on Everest.

322 During the 2019 Everest Expedition, our AWS installation team used operational 323 deterministic forecasts from the 0.25° version of the Global Forecast System (GFS) run by the 324 United States National Weather Service, and from the 0.1° HRES model run by the ECMWF. 325 We assess the performance of these models here over the concurrent period that the AWSs 326 have been operating, and that we have been archiving the forecasts (6 June to 31 October 2019). 327 The forecasts are assessed for wind speed (which is often the limiting factor deciding when to 328 climb) using data interpolated from pressure levels (for the GFS) and from model levels (for 329 HRES) to the locations of the South Col AWS, the windiest of the locations monitored (see 330 initial observations). Forecast skill is quantified for each forecast lead time (t) using the mean 331 absolute error (*mae*):

332 
$$mae(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l=n} |o_i - y_i|$$

333

where *o* denotes the hourly-mean wind speeds observed at the South Col AWS, and *y* is the mean wind speed from the respective forecasts. Skill scores (*SS*) are used as a basis to compare forecasts (Wilks, 2011):

$$337 \qquad \qquad SS(t) = 1 - \frac{mae}{mae_{ref}}$$

338

339 where we begin by calculating  $mae_{ref}$  from a simple forecast of persistence for different lead 340 times:

341 
$$mae_{ref}(t) = \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{i=t+1}^{l=n} |o_{i-t} - o_i|$$

1	4	
T	+	

Eq. 2.

Eq. 3

Accepted for publication in Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0198.1.

Downloaded from http://journals.ametsoc.org/bams/article-pdf/doi/10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0198.1/4990030/bamsd190198.pdf by guest on 25 September 2020

With *SS* > 1 the results indicate that, except for 24-hour HRES, both models are an improvement on the persistence forecast. They also show without exception that *mae* is smallest for the GFS at all lead times (Fig. 7). However, correlations between the forecast and observed wind speeds indicate strong covariation, enabling application of Model Output Statistics (MOS) (Carter et al., 1989) through linear regression to improve the forecasts. We pursue this here using separate coefficients for each lead time, with the MOS prediction given by:

- 350  $X_{MOS}(t) = \alpha_t + \beta_t X_t$
- 351

Eq. 5

Eq. 4

352 in which  $X_t$  is the raw wind speed forecast. The updated SS values (now defined with mae<sub>ref</sub> 353 calculated on the uncorrected forecasts) indicate that applying MOS improves both models, but 354 most notably HRES, which now outperforms the GFS at all lead times considered (Fig. 7). 355 To explore the skill of these MOS forecasts in more detail, we focus on hourly mean winds for 356 a forecast lead time of 48-hours. This time horizon is critical for planning the final and most 357 dangerous climbing stage because mountaineers attempt the summit approximately this long 358 after deciding to leave Camp II on Everest's main southern climbing route. We also highlight 359 in Figure 7 the performance in the pre-monsoon period of June (see initial observations for 360 timing of the monsoon) because this is the season when most climbing takes place (Hawley 361 and Salisbury, 2007). Both models capture the timing of enhanced winds, which peaked in 362 early June when wind gusts were of a similar strength to those estimated for the infamous and 363 deadly 1996 storm (Fig. 4; Moore and Semple, 2006). HRES MOS also captures the magnitude 364 of these winds well, but GFS MOS underestimates their intensity (by ~30%).

The ability of both models to correctly forecast rapid acceleration in the winds is encouraging, particularly given the somewhat modest ability noted of reanalysis data to capture 367 the passage of extremes (Moore and Semple, 2004). This improvement may be because the 368 GFS and HRES have relatively high spatial resolutions compared with reanalyses, or because 369 the enhanced winds in June 2019 reflected synoptic-scale strengthening, instead of localised 370 convection as in earlier case studies (Moore and Semple, 2006, 2004). More detailed analysis during the pre-monsoon period is required to resolve this, which we hope will be facilitated by 371 372 continued data collection from the high-altitude AWSs through at least spring 2020. In any 373 case, this preliminary assessment of forecast performance suggests considerable scope for 374 enhancing the safety of those trying to summit Everest. As the now owner of the AWSs, the 375 Nepalese Department of Hydrology and Meteorology will build on this potential (and the near 376 real-time data feed from the AWSs) to generate more accurate, publicly available forecasts and 377 warnings for mountaineers, at altitudes where weather predictability has been limited. This, 378 along with additional avenues for future research, are discussed below.

#### 379 Synthesis and Outlook

380 We have described the motivation, design, and installation of the highest weather station 381 network on Earth, whose measurements hold the potential to improve understanding of 382 Everest's weather and ongoing climate change across the full altitudinal range of glaciers in 383 HMA. This potential of the network has been demonstrated in sample applications, providing 384 initial insights into potential surface energy fluxes high in the accumulation area of the Khumbu 385 Glacier and the first assessment of weather forecasts for the summit slopes of Everest. As the 386 AWSs enter their first winter, we await the seasonal formation of the jet stream winds (Galvin, 387 2007). We anticipate that AWS observations during jet episodes will enable improved 388 understanding of dangerous weather events on Everest (Firth et al., 2008; Moore and Semple, 389 2006), aeolian transport of pollutants (Bonasoni et al., 2008) and, longer-term, the response of 390 this globally-significant wind to climate warming. Such insights may be generated using multi-391 decadal climate reconstructions to extend short records from the AWSs (Wilby et al., 2014),

but longevity of the network is clearly preferable to track emerging trends. Collaborating with the Everest climbing community to maintain the high-altitude AWSs is, therefore, a high priority. At the time of writing we are working closely with Nepalese research and climbing partners to plan the first maintenance visit targeted for 2020. We are also working with colleagues from China to consolidate the records from the AWS network reported here with observations from the north side of Everest, collected by an AWS deployed at 6,475 m.a.s.l by a Chinese team in spring 2019, whose field efforts ran concurrently to our own.

399 In the near term, the data collected so far already offer rich opportunities to refine the 400 mountain weather forecasting and melt modelling applications introduced here. For example, 401 there is scope for the network to serve as a high-profile testing ground for developing machine 402 learning techniques to forecast hazardous weather in complex terrain (Ii et al., 2015), and for 403 enabling the development of transferrable empirical models that can capture mass losses high 404 in the accumulation zones of glaciers in HMA (Litt et al., 2019). We also note that, with a 405 recent resurgence in attempts to climb Everest in winter without supplementary oxygen 406 (Pokhrel, 2019), there is a strong incentive to forecast oxygen availability (through its relationship with air pressure) on Everest's upper slopes. Summit conditions are, on average, 407 408 very close to the tolerance limit of hypoxia, and the relatively large pressure fluctuations in 409 winter may push conditions dangerously close to (or beyond) this threshold (Moore and 410 Semple, 2009; Moore et al., 2012b; West et al., 1983).

Further work may also continue exploring processes of mass loss on Everest's summit. Our analysis has raised the prospect that the summit is *at least close to* melting during the monsoon season. Although this cannot be confirmed until direct AWS measurements of surface temperature reach the summit itself, future refinements to modelling the SEB may more tightly constrain its probability of occurrence, and how sensitive this is to climate warming. Melting at the summit of Everest -- already observed or still yet to occur -- could mark the crossing of

- 417 a symbolic threshold in demonstrating the impacts of climate change on our planet's most
- 418 extreme environments.

#### 419 Data and Code Availability

- 420 The raw, hourly AWS data used in this study are available for download here:
- 421 https://www.nationalgeographic.org/projects/perpetual-planet/everest/weather-data/
- 422 The computer code used to model the surface energy balance is available here:
- 423 <u>https://github.com/climatom/Everest\_BAMS.git</u>

## 424 Acknowledgements

425 This research was conducted in partnership with National Geographic Society, Rolex, and 426 Tribhuvan University, with approval from all relevant agencies of the Government of Nepal. 427 We acknowledge Conrad Anker and Peter Athans as inspirational mountaineers who prepared 428 the 2019 Everest expedition team and kept them safe. We also wish to thank the communities 429 of the Khumbu Region, Xtreme Climbers Treks and Expedition P. Ltd, and Jiban Ghimire and 430 Shangri-La Nepal Trek Pvt. Ltd, and we further recognise the extraordinary efforts of our entire 431 Sherpa climbing team, whose physical and mental prowess at altitude made installation of the 432 AWSs possible. The core AWS-installation team members were: Panuru Sherpa (Sirdar), Phu 433 Tashi Sherpa, Pemba Sherpa, Urken Lendu Sherpa, Ila Nuru Sherpa, Fura Chetten 434 Sherpa, Lakpa Gyaljen Sherpa, Pasang Sona Sherpa, Pasang Kami Sherpa, Nima Rita Sherpa, 435 Tenzing Gyanjen Sherpa, and Nawang Phinjo Sherpa. Dawa Yangzum Sherpa, Amrit Ale, 436 Mark Fisher, Dirk Collins, and Eric Daft are also thanked for helping to ensure a successful 437 and safe climb conducted with good humor. Heather Guy is thanked for forecasting support, 438 Mike Hughes and Dana Greene for AWS design considerations, and the team of engineers at 439 Campbell Scientific, Inc (Mike Hansen, Jared Campbell, Steve Gunderson, and Gary Roberts) 440 are acknowledged for designing the AWSs. Gian Pietro Verza is thanked for his help planning installation, Patrick Wagnon and Keith Garrett for helpful advice, and the European Centre for 441 442 Medium Range Weather Forecasts is gratefully acknowledged for providing access to its

443 forecasts. We also thank G.W.K. Moore, one anonymous reviewer, and Fae Jencks at National

444 Geographic for their help in improving the communication of our study.

445 **Appendices** 

## 446 APPENDIX A

### 447 Database of Existing Automatic Weather Stations

In the main text we present the current distribution of automatic weather stations (AWSs) worldwide. To assemble this, we downloaded the positions of all the active AWSs in the Integrated Surface Database (Smith et al., 2011), and then supplemented these with all information on high-altitude AWSs presently known to the authors. The compiled station list is available for download here: <u>https://tinyurl.com/y2lgx330.</u>

## 453 APPENDIX B

#### 454 Modelling the Surface Energy Balance

We computed  $Q_{SW}$  from:

Here we present the methods used to compute the surface energy balance (SEB) for a hypothetical snow surface at the elevations of the Camp II and South Col AWSs. We start from recalling that the SEB can be written:

458 
$$0 = Q_{SW} + Q_{LW} + Q_H + Q_L + Q_G + Q_M$$

459

463

Eq. A1

in which  $Q_{SW}$  is the net shortwave heat flux,  $Q_{LW}$  is the net longwave heat flux,  $Q_H$  is the sensible heat flux,  $Q_L$  is the latent heat flux,  $Q_G$  is the ground heat flux, and  $Q_M$  is the energy available for melting. All fluxes are defined as positive when directed toward the surface.

$$Q_{SW} = S_i(1 - \alpha)$$

$$Eq. A2$$

466 where  $S_i$  is the incident flux of solar radiation measured at the AWS, and  $\alpha$  is the albedo. We 467 set  $\alpha$  to be 0.80 -- a value on the high side for old snow (Oke, 2009), but close to that measured



470  $Q_{LW}$  is the difference between the incident longwave flux recorded by the AWS (L<sub>i</sub>) and that 471 emitted by the snow surface at temperature,  $T_s$ , which has an emissivity of  $\varepsilon$ :

472 
$$Q_{LW} = L_i - \sigma \varepsilon T_s^4$$
473 Eq. A3

474 in which  $\sigma$  is the Stefan Boltzmann constant. In our model, we use  $\varepsilon = 0.98$  (Tonboe et al., 475 2011).

476 The turbulent heat fluxes ( $Q_H$  and  $Q_L$ ) were computed using the bulk aerodynamic 477 method (Hock, 2005):

478  
478  
479  
480  

$$Q_H = C_H \rho c_p V (T_a - T_s)$$
  
Eq. A4  
480  
 $Q_L = C_L \rho L_v V (q_a - q_s)$   
Eq. A5

in which  $C_H$  and  $C_L$  are the exchange coefficients for heat and moisture, respectively;  $\rho$  is air density;  $c_p$  is the specific heat capacity of the air (1005 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>); and  $L_v$  is the latent heat of vaporization (2501 kJ kg<sup>-1</sup>); and *V* is the wind speed. *T* and *q* in Eqs. A4 and A5 denote the air temperature and specific humidity recorded at the AWS (subscript <sub>a</sub>) and are modelled for the surface (subscript <sub>s</sub>). The exchange coefficients were calculated according to:

487 
$$C_H = \frac{k^2}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) - \psi_M(\frac{z}{L})\right] \left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{oT}}\right) - \psi_H(z/L)\right]}$$

488

489 
$$C_L = \frac{k^2}{\left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) - \psi_M(\frac{z}{L})\right] \left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_{oE}}\right) - \psi_E(z/L)\right]}$$

490

Eq. A7

Eq. A6

20

## Accepted for publication in Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0198.1.

491 where k = 0.4 is the von Kármán constant, L is the Monin-Obukhov length, z is the 492 measurement height (m),  $z_0$  is the roughness length for momentum,  $z_{oT}$  is the roughness length 493 for heat, and  $z_{oE}$  is the roughness length for humidity. We prescribe  $z_0$  to be 0.0027 m and set 494  $z_{oT}$  and  $z_{oE}$  to be one order of magnitude smaller. This value for  $z_0$  is equal to the mean of 495 those reported for snow cover on low-latitude glaciers by Brock et al. (2006), and the scaling 496 to  $z_{oT}$  and  $z_{oE}$  is consistent with that found by Stigter et al. (2018) using eddy-covariance 497 measurements at over 5,000 m.a.s.l on Yala Glacier (also in Nepal). The roughness lengths 498 may, however, not be appropriate for the high-altitude snow-covered glaciers in the Himalaya. We therefore tested the sensitivity of the results by rerunning the SEB simulations with  $z_0$  set 499 to the 5<sup>th</sup> (0.0009 m) and 95<sup>th</sup> (0.0057 m) percentiles of the roughness lengths reported by Brock 500 501 et al. (2006) for low-latitude snow covered glaciers All cumulative melt and sublimation totals 502 were then presented as an envelope bounded by results from simulations run with these upper 503 and lower roughness lengths. The stability functions  $\psi$  for momentum, heat, and moisture 504 (subscripts M, H and E, respectively) were applied to extend the bulk aerodynamic approach to non-neutral boundary layers. For unstable cases,  $\psi$  was parameterized using the expressions 505 506 of Dyer (1974); those from Holtslag and De Bruin (1988) were used for stable conditions. L requires  $Q_H$ , which introduces circularity to the SEB computation. We therefore adopted an 507 508 iterative technique following Munro (1990), whereby  $Q_H$  was initialized assuming a neutral 509 boundary layer (and the  $\psi_M$  terms are omitted from Eqs. A6 and A6); L,  $\psi$ , and  $Q_H$  were then 510 updated with each iteration until the change in  $Q_H$  was smaller than 1%.

511 Evaluation of the turbulent and longwave heat fluxes requires knowledge of  $T_s$ . We 512 modelled this for the hypothetical snow surface by initializing  $T_s = T_A$ , and then evolving  $T_s$ . 513 according to the scheme proposed by Wheler and Flowers (2011):

514 
$$\Delta T_s = -\frac{Q_g}{\rho_s c_s h} \Delta t$$

Eq. A9

Eq. A11

515

where  $c_s$  and  $\rho_s$  are, respectively, the specific heat capacity of ice (2097 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) and the density in a surface layer of depth *h*. We used  $\rho_s = 530$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> consistent with the mean density measured in an 80-cm snow pit at Camp II during the 2019 Everest Expedition; *h* was set to 0.1 m (MacDougall and Flowers, 2010). To prevent unrealistically low surface temperature, we follow Wheler and Flowers (2011), limiting  $T_s$  to a lower threshold of  $T_c$  (-40°C here), and tracking additional heat losses by defining cold content (*C*) in a passive, secondary subsurface layer:

523 
$$C = \frac{T_s - T_c}{\Delta t} \rho_s c_s c_s h$$

524

525 The ground heat flux  $(Q_g)$  (which determines the evolution of  $T_s$ ) was computed from:

526 
$$-Q_g = (Q_{SW} + Q_{LW} + Q_H + Q_L)$$

527 Eq. A10  
528 unless calculated 
$$T_s$$
 would exceed 0°C and the cold content from the passive, subsurface layer

had been eliminated (i.e. C = 0), in which case  $Q_g = 0$  and melt energy  $(Q_M)$  was computed as:

531 
$$Q_M = \max\left(\frac{(T_s \rho_s c_s h - C)}{\Delta t}, 0\right)$$

532

where  $T_s$  is in °C. Note that after Eq. A11 is applied,  $T_s$  is reset to 0°C and *C* is reduced to max( $C - T_s \rho_s c_s h$ , 0). We divided  $Q_M$  by the latent heat of fusion (334 kJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) to convert melt energy to millimeters of water equivalent. Sublimation was derived from the latent heat flux.

536 To ensure numerical stability, we calculated the SEB at a time-step of 120 s after having 537 interpolated hourly AWS data to this temporal resolution. We also performed some pre-538 processing of the radiation measurements. First, we used calculated top-of-atmosphere 539 insolation to set all nighttime values of  $S_i$  and  $S_o$  to zero. Second, we identified periods when 540  $S_o$  exceeded  $S_i$  (~6% of observations at the South Col, ~1% at Camp II). We interpret this as 541 resulting from snow covering the upward-facing pyranometer, so these  $S_i$  values were replaced with  $S_o \times 1/min(0.9, \alpha_{acc})$ , where  $\alpha_{acc}$  is the "accumulated albedo", defined as the mean 542 543 24-hour albedo centered on the time-step of interest (Azam et al., 2014; van den Broeke et al., 544 2004). In the third correction step, we replaced the measured incident longwave radiation during these periods of suspected snow-cover with estimates derived from the parameterization 545 546 of de Kok et al. (2019), using coefficients optimized for the locations of the AWSs.

To investigate the sensitivity of the energy balance simulations to surface type, we repeated the modelling for a prescribed glacier ice surface, with an albedo of 0.4 (measured over clean ice at Base Camp with a Hukseflux NR01 pyranometer), and a near-surface density of 910 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. A1). This experiment yields insight into actual melt rates at the South Col, where there is abundant exposed ice (Fig. A2),

## 552 APPENDIX C

## 553 Validating Melt Occurrences at the South Col

554 We attempted to verify our conclusion that melting is possible at the South Col using the 555 outgoing longwave flux measured at the AWS there. We made use of the fact that emitted longwave radiation  $(L_{o})$  can be modelled with  $\sigma \varepsilon T_{s}^{4}$  (see Eq. A3), and that when the surface 556 is at the melting point  $T_s$ =273.15 so  $L_o$ = 309.3 W m<sup>-2</sup> for  $\varepsilon$  = 0.98. However, the AWS is 557 558 situated over bedrock, so to detect melt events we limited our assessment using the measured 559 shortwave heat fluxes at the South Col to identify periods of snow with an albedo similar ( $\pm$ 0.1) to the 0.8 prescribed in the SEB model. For this comparison, we also set  $z_0$  to 0.0002 m 560 (maintaining  $z_{oT}$  and  $z_{oE}$  to be one order of magnitude smaller), which is representative of 561 562 fresh snow in the low latitudes (Brock et al., 2006). Examining the South Col measurements in 563 this way suggests the following: (1) snowmelt can indeed occur at the South Col, and (2) the

Eq. A12

SEB model realistically captures its timing, with almost 70% of the melt days identified by the SEB model also detected by the observations during the period of snow cover (Fig. A3). As further support for the realism of the SEB modelling (and the conclusion that melt is possible), we note the high correlation (r = 0.93) and limited bias (particularly at the higher end of the range), in daily mean modelled and observed surface temperatures at the South Col during periods of snow cover (Figure. A4).

570 APPENDIX D

#### 571 Estimating the Summit Weather

To assess possible mass losses through ablation and melt at the summit, we estimated the summit weather using data from the lower AWSs. For relative humidity and transmissivity ( $\tau$ : the ratio of received to top-of-atmosphere insolation), summit values ( $Y_{t,j}$ ) were computed at hour *t* from

 $Y_t = \alpha_t + \beta_t z$ 

576

577

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are, respectively, the intercept and slope coefficients obtained from regressing the hourly mean meteorological quantity ( $Y_{t,}$ ) across the AWSs against their elevations (z). Note that, before applying the regression for  $\tau$ , we first computed running values, defined as ratio of the 24-hour sums of received, to top-of-atmosphere, insolation. We then multiplied the regression-estimated  $\tau$  for the summit by the hourly top-of-atmosphere flux to estimate summit insolation.

# 584 The summit temperature was estimated with a similar linear regression technique: 585 $Y_t = Y_{t,z} + \beta_t \Delta z$

586

587 This time,  $Y_{t,z}$  is the air temperature at AWS with the highest concurrent mean hourly wind 588 speed, and  $\beta_t$  is the slope of the regression line relating elevation to the 24-hour running-mean

24

Eq. A13

Eq. A14

air temperature at each site;  $\Delta z$  is the difference in elevation between the summit and the AWS with the highest mean hourly wind speed. By extrapolating air temperatures from the windiest AWS in this way, we aim to minimize positive bias in our summit air temperature estimate resulting from solar heating of temperature sensors during periods of high insolation and light winds.

The summit wind was parameterized assuming that, because the summit *cannot* be sheltered by the surrounding topography, its hourly mean speed ( $V_t$ ) can be estimated as the fastest of the winds observed at either the South Col or the Balcony (the most exposed AWSs), multiplied by a scalar to account for the reduced air density at the summit (and hence greater velocity for the same driving pressure gradient (Stull, 2015)):

599 
$$V_t = \max(V_{t,South \ Col}, V_{t,Balcony}) \frac{\rho_{t,x}}{\rho_{t,summit}}$$

600

606

601 where  $\rho_{t,x}$  is the air density at either the Balcony or the South Col, whichever has the higher 602 hourly mean wind speed. Note that summit air density was calculated using the ideal gas law 603 and summit air pressure, which was estimated using the hypsometric equation and the air 604 pressure measured at Balcony:

605 
$$P_t = P_{t,Balcony} \exp\left(\frac{8430 - 8850}{\frac{\mathcal{R}_d}{|g|}\bar{T}_t}\right)$$

Eq. A15

Where  $\frac{\mathcal{R}_d}{|g|}$  is the gas constant for dry air divided by the magnitude of gravitational acceleration, and  $\overline{T}_t$  is the mean virtual air temperature in the atmospheric layer between the Balcony and the summit. We approximated this as the arithmetic mean of the (dry bulb) air temperature at the Balcony and (estimated) at the summit. Finally, we parameterized incident longwave radiation using the method of de Kok et
al. (2019), with coefficients optimized for the South Col (the highest AWS equipped with a
longwave radiation sensor), and values of relative humidity and air temperature estimated for
the summit.

Uncertainties for the inferred summit weather are shown in Table A1. They were estimated for temperature and relative humidity as 1.96 times the prediction standard deviation from the hourly elevation-based regressions (Wilks, 2011); for insolation the same procedure was used to determine uncertainty in  $\tau$ , before multiplying this by the concurrent top-ofatmosphere insolation. We restricted this assessment of insolation to daylight hours to avoid a low bias. Note that these uncertainties computed through regression are obtained for each hour and are summarized in Table A1 with the median.

Because longwave radiation was computed for the summit using empirical coefficients optimized for the South Col, forced with relative humidity and temperature estimated for the summit, there is opportunity for compounding errors. We neglect this complexity here and report a *lower bound* on the uncertainty, calculated as the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile in absolute differences between observed and modelled incident longwave radiation (using the method of de Kok et al. (2019) at the South Col.

628 Given the different exposures of the AWSs, it is challenging to test our wind-speed 629 extrapolation between locations. We therefore do not attempt to quantify errors in summit wind 630 speed, but caution that this source of uncertainty is likely to be large.

## 631 References Cited

- Abish, B., Joseph, P.V., Johannessen, Ola.M., 2015. Climate change in the subtropical
  jetstream during 1950–2009. Adv. Atmos. Sci. 32, 140–148.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-014-4156-6
- Ágústsson, H., Ólafsson, H., 2004. Mean gust factors in complex terrain. Meteorologische
  Zeitschrift 13, 149–155.
- Azam, M.F., Wagnon, P., Vincent, C., Ramanathan, A.L., Favier, V., Mandal, A., Pottakkal,
   J.G., 2014. Processes governing the mass balance of Chhota Shigri Glacier (western

640	Himalaya, India) assessed by point-scale surface energy balance measurements. The
641	Cryosphere 8, 2195–2217. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-2195-2014
642	Bertolani, L., Bollasina, M., Tartari, G., 2000. Recent biennial variability of meteorological
643	features in the eastern Highland Himalayas. Geophysical Research Letters 27, 2185-
644	2188. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011198
645	Bintanja, R., 1996. The Parameterization of Shortwave and Longwave Radiative Fluxes for
646	Use in Zonally Averaged Climate Models. J. Climate 9, 439–454.
647	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<0439:TPOSAL>2.0.CO;2
648	Bonasoni, P., Laj, P., Angelini, F., Arduini, J., Bonafè, U., Calzolari, F., Cristofanelli, P.,
649	Decesari, S., Facchini, M.C., Fuzzi, S., Gobbi, G.P., Maione, M., Marinoni, A.,
650	Petzold, A., Roccato, F., Roger, J.C., Sellegri, K., Sprenger, M., Venzac, H., Verza,
651	G.P., Villani, P., Vuillermoz, E., 2008. The ABC-Pyramid Atmospheric Research
652	Observatory in Himalaya for aerosol, ozone and halocarbon measurements. Science of
653	The Total Environment, Research at Jungfraujoch - Contributions to the International
654	conference in celebration of the 75th anniversary of the High Altitude Research
655	Station Jungfraujoch at Interlaken, Switzerland (11-13 September, 2006) 391, 252–
656	261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.10.024
657	Bradley, R.S., Keimig, F.T., Diaz, H.F., Hardy, D.R., 2009. Recent changes in freezing level
658	heights in the Tropics with implications for the deglacierization of high mountain
659	regions. Geophysical Research Letters 36. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037712
660	Brock, B.W., Willis, I.C., Sharp, M.J., 2006. Measurement and parameterization of
661	aerodynamic roughness length variations at Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland.
662	Journal of Glaciology 52, 281–297. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828746
663	Carrasco, J.F., Casassa, G., Quintana, J., 2005. Changes of the 0°C isotherm and the
664	equilibrium line altitude in central Chile during the last quarter of the 20th century /
665	Changements de l'isotherme 0°C et de la ligne d'équilibre des neiges dans le Chili
666	central durant le dernier quart du 20ème siècle. Hydrological Sciences Journal 50,
667	null-948. https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.2005.50.6.933
668	Carter, G.M., Dallavalle, J.P., Glahn, H.R., 1989. Statistical Forecasts Based on the National
669	Meteorological Center's Numerical Weather Prediction System. Wea. Forecasting 4,
670	401–412. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(1989)004<0401:SFBOTN>2.0.CO;2
671	de Kok, R.J., Steiner, J.F., Litt, M., Wagnon, P., Koch, I., Azam, M.F., Immerzeel, W.W.,
672	2019. Measurements, models and drivers of incoming longwave radiation in the
673	Himalaya. International Journal of Climatology 0. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6249
674	Dee, D.P., Uppala, S.M., Simmons, A.J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
675	Balmaseda, M.A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A.C.M., van de
676	Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A.J.,
6//	Haimberger, L., Healy, S.B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E.V., Isaksen, L., Kallberg, P.,
6/8	Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A.P., Monge-Sanz, B.M., Morcrette, JJ., Park,
6/9	BK., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, JN., Vitart, F., 2011. The
680	ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation
081	System. Q.J.K. Meteorol. Soc. 157, 555–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/dj.828
082	272 https://doi.org/10.1007/DE00240828
083	5/2. https://doi.org/10.100//BF00240858
004 695	LL Dooch D.C. Solichury, D.A. 2008 Montality on Mauric Evenest 1021 2006
00J 696	J.L., KOACH, K.C., SAHSOULY, K.A., 2008. MORTAINY ON MOUNT EVEREST, 1921-2006:
000 697	Colvin LED 2007 The weather and alimete of the transfer Dart 2. The subtransfer list
007 688	streams Weather 62, 205, 200, https://doi.org/10.1002/waa.65
000	sucarits. Weather 02, 275-277. https://u01.01g/10.1002/wea.05

- 689 Gautam, D., Regmi, S., 2013. Recent Trends in the Onset and Withdrawal of Summer 690 Monsoon over Nepal. ECOPERSIA 1, 353-367.
- Hawley, E., Salisbury, R., 2007. The Himalayan database: The expedition archives of 691 692 Elizabeth Hawley.
- 693 Hock, R., 2005. Glacier melt: a review of processes and their modelling. Progress in Physical 694 Geography: Earth and Environment 29, 362–391. 695 https://doi.org/10.1191/0309133305pp453ra
- 696 Holtslag, A. a. M., De Bruin, H. a. R., 1988. Applied Modeling of the Nighttime Surface 697 Energy Balance over Land. J. Appl. Meteor. 27, 689–704. 698
  - https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1988)027<0689:AMOTNS>2.0.CO;2
- 699 Huss, M., Hock, R., 2015. A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. Front. 700 Earth Sci. 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2015.00054
- Ii, D.J.G., McGovern, A., Brotzge, J., Coniglio, M., Jr, J.C., Xue, M., 2015. Day-Ahead Hail 701 702 Prediction Integrating Machine Learning with Storm-Scale Numerical Weather 703 Models, in: Twenty-Seventh IAAI Conference. Presented at the Twenty-Seventh 704 IAAI Conference.
- 705 Immerzeel, W.W., Beek, L.P.H. van, Bierkens, M.F.P., 2010. Climate Change Will Affect 706 the Asian Water Towers. Science 328, 1382–1385. 707 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183188
- 708 Immerzeel, W.W., Lutz, A.F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., 709 Brumby, S., Davies, B.J., Elmore, A.C., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernández, A., 710 Haritashya, U., Kargel, J.S., Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink, P.D.A., Kulkarni, A.V., 711 Mayewski, P., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P., Painter, T.H., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., 712 Rupper, S., Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A.B., Viviroli, D., Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., Baillie, J.E.M., 2019. Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers. 713 714 Nature 1-1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1822-y
- 715 Immerzeel, W.W., Petersen, L., Ragettli, S., Pellicciotti, F., 2014. The importance of 716 observed gradients of air temperature and precipitation for modeling runoff from a 717 glacierized watershed in the Nepalese Himalayas. Water Resources Research 50, 718 2212-2226. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014506
- 719 Kattel, D.B., Yao, T., Yang, K., Tian, L., Yang, G., Joswiak, D., 2013. Temperature lapse 720 rate in complex mountain terrain on the southern slope of the central Himalayas. Theor Appl Climatol 113, 671-682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0816-6 721
- 722 Kayastha, R.B., Ohata, T., Ageta, Y., 1999. Application of a mass-balance model to a 723 Himalayan glacier. Journal of Glaciology 45, 559-567. 724 https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300000143X
- 725 Krishnan, R., Shrestha, Arun B., Ren, G., Rajbhandari, R., Saeed, S., Sanjay, J., Syed, Md.A., 726 Vellore, R., Xu, Y., You, Q., Ren, Y., 2019. Unravelling Climate Change in the 727 Hindu Kush Himalaya: Rapid Warming in the Mountains and Increasing Extremes, 728 in: Wester, P., Mishra, A., Mukherji, A., Shrestha, Arun Bhakta (Eds.), The Hindu 729 Kush Himalaya Assessment: Mountains, Climate Change, Sustainability and People. 730 Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 57-97. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-731 319-92288-1\_3
- 732 Lau, M.B., 1998. GEOPAK: Monitoring climbers and climate on Mount Everest (M.S.). 733 Mass. Inst. of Technology, Cambridge.
- 734 Litt, M., Shea, J., Wagnon, P., Steiner, J., Koch, I., Stigter, E., Immerzeel, W., 2019. Glacier 735 ablation and temperature indexed melt models in the Nepalese Himalaya. Scientific 736 Reports 9, 5264. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41657-5
- Locci, F., Melis, M.T., Dessì, F., Stocchi, P., Akinde, M.O., Bønes, V., Bonasoni, P., 737 Vuillermoz, E., 2014. Implementation of a webGIS service platform for high 738

739 mountain climate research: the SHARE GeoNetwork project. Geoscience Data 740 Journal 1, 140-157. https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.14 MacDougall, A.H., Flowers, G.E., 2010. Spatial and Temporal Transferability of a 741 742 Distributed Energy-Balance Glacier Melt Model. J. Climate 24, 1480–1498. 743 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3821.1 744 Meybeck, M., Green, P., Vörösmarty, C., 2001. A New Typology for Mountains and Other 745 Relief Classes. mred 21, 34-45. https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-746 4741(2001)021[0034:ANTFMA]2.0.CO;2 747 Miles, K.E., Hubbard, B., Quincey, D.J., Miles, E.S., Sherpa, T.C., Rowan, A.V., Doyle, 748 S.H., 2018. Polythermal structure of a Himalayan debris-covered glacier revealed by 749 borehole thermometry. Scientific Reports 8, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-750 34327-5 751 Moore, G. w. k., Semple, J.L., 2009. The Impact of Global Warming on Mount Everest. High Altitude Medicine & Biology 10, 383-385. https://doi.org/10.1089/ham.2009.1039 752 753 Moore, G.W.K., 2004. Mount Everest snow plume: A case study. Geophysical Research 754 Letters 31. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021046 755 Moore, G.W.K., Semple, J.L., 2011. Freezing and frostbite on mount everest: new insights 756 into wind chill and freezing times at extreme altitude. High Alt. Med. Biol. 12, 271-757 275. https://doi.org/10.1089/ham.2011.0008 Moore, G.W.K., Semple, J.L., 2006. Weather And Death On Mount Everest: An Analysis Of 758 759 The Into Thin Air Storm. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 87, 465-480. 760 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-87-4-465 761 Moore, G.W.K., Semple, J.L., 2004. High Himalayan meteorology: Weather at the South Col 762 of Mount Everest. Geophysical Research Letters 31. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020621 763 764 Moore, K., Semple, J., Cristofanelli, P., Bonasoni, P., Stocchi, P., 2012a. Environmental 765 conditions at the South Col of Mount Everest and their impact on hypoxia and hypothermia experienced by mountaineers. Extrem Physiol Med 1, 2. 766 767 https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-7648-1-2 768 Moore, K., Semple, J., Cristofanelli, P., Bonasoni, P., Stocchi, P., 2012b. Environmental 769 conditions at the South Col of Mount Everest and their impact on hypoxia and 770 hypothermia experienced by mountaineers. Extreme Physiology & Medicine 1, 2. 771 https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-7648-1-2 772 Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group, Pepin, N., Bradley, R.S., Diaz, H.F., 773 Baraer, M., Caceres, E.B., Forsythe, N., Fowler, H., Greenwood, G., Hashmi, M.Z., Liu, X.D., Miller, J.R., Ning, L., Ohmura, A., Palazzi, E., Rangwala, I., Schöner, W., 774 Severskiy, I., Shahgedanova, M., Wang, M.B., Williamson, S.N., Yang, D.Q., 2015. 775 776 Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. Nature Climate 777 Change 5, 424–430. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2563 778 Munro, D.S., 1990. Comparison of Melt Energy Computations and Ablatometer 779 Measurements on Melting Ice and Snow. Arctic and Alpine Research 22, 153–162. 780 https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1990.12002777 781 National Geographic, 2019. Perpetual Planet - Everest. URL 782 https://www.nationalgeographic.org/projects/perpetual-planet/everest/ (accessed 783 3.27.20). 784 Oke, T.R., 2009. Boundary Layer Climates, Second. ed. Routledge. 785 Pellicciotti, F., Raschle, T., Huerlimann, T., Carenzo, M., Burlando, P., 2011. Transmission 786 of solar radiation through clouds on melting glaciers: a comparison of 787 parameterizations and their impact on melt modelling. Journal of Glaciology 57, 367-381. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311796406013 788

Pfeffer, W.T., Arendt, A.A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J.G., Gardner, A.S., Hagen, J.-O., 790 Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E.S., Moholdt, G., Mölg, N., Paul, F., Radić, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B.H., Rich, J., Sharp, M.J., Consortium, T.R., 2014. The 791 792 Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers. Journal of 793 Glaciology 60, 537-552. https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J176 794 Pokhrel, R., 2019. German, Spanish climbers eye toughest winter ascent on Mt Everest. The 795 Himalayan Times. 796 Pratap, B., Dobhal, D.P., Mehta, M., Bhambri, R., 2015. Influence of debris cover and 797 altitude on glacier surface melting: a case study on Dokriani Glacier, central 798 Himalaya, India. Annals of Glaciology 56, 9–16. 799 https://doi.org/10.3189/2015AoG70A971 800 Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A.C., Hock, R., Miles, E., Cogley, J.G., 2014. Regional and 801 global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. Clim Dvn 42, 37–58. 802 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1719-7 803 804 Rounce, D.R., King, O., McCarthy, M., Shean, D.E., Salerno, F., 2018. Quantifying Debris 805 Thickness of Debris-Covered Glaciers in the Everest Region of Nepal Through 806 Inversion of a Subdebris Melt Model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 807 123, 1094-1115. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JF004395 Salerno, F., Guyennon, N., Thakuri, S., Viviano, G., Romano, E., Vuillermoz, E., 808 809 Cristofanelli, P., Stocchi, P., Agrillo, G., Ma, Y., Tartari, G., 2015. Weak 810 precipitation, warm winters and springs impact glaciers of south slopes of Mt. Everest 811 (central Himalaya) in the last 2 decades (1994–2013). The Cryosphere 9, 1229–1247. 812 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-1229-2015 Shea, J.M., Immerzeel, W.W., Wagnon, P., Vincent, C., Bajracharya, S., 2015. Modelling 813 814 glacier change in the Everest region, Nepal Himalaya. The Cryosphere 9, 1105–1128. 815 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-1105-2015 816 Smith, A., Lott, N., Vose, R., 2011. The Integrated Surface Database: Recent Developments 817 and Partnerships. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 92, 704-708. 818 https://doi.org/10.1175/2011BAMS3015.1 819 Stigter, E.E., Litt, M., Steiner, J.F., Bonekamp, P.N.J., Shea, J.M., Bierkens, M.F.P., 820 Immerzeel, W.W., 2018. The Importance of Snow Sublimation on a Himalayan Glacier. Front. Earth Sci. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00108 821 822 Stull, R., 2015. Practical Meteorology: An algebra-based survey of atmospheric science, 823 First. ed. University of British Colombia. 824 Tonboe\*, R.T., DybkjÆR, G., HØYer, J.L., 2011. Simulations of the snow covered sea ice 825 surface temperature and microwave effective temperature. Tellus A: Dynamic 826 Meteorology and Oceanography 63, 1028–1037. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-827 0870.2011.00530.x 828 van den Broeke, M., van As, D., Reijmer, C., van de Wal, R., 2004. Assessing and Improving 829 the Quality of Unattended Radiation Observations in Antarctica. J. Atmos. Oceanic 830 Technol. 21, 1417-1431. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2004)021<1417:AAITQO>2.0.CO;2 831 832 Viviroli, D., Dürr, H.H., Messerli, B., Meybeck, M., Weingartner, R., 2007. Mountains of the 833 world, water towers for humanity: Typology, mapping, and global significance. Water 834 Resources Research 43. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005653 835 Wang, S.-Y.S., Fosu, B., Giles, R.R., Singh, P.M., 2015. The deadly Himalayan snowstorm 836 of October 2014: synoptic conditions and associated trends [in "Explaining Extremes 837 of 2014 from a Climate Perspective"]. Bulletin of the American Meteorological 838 Society 96, S89-S94.

West, J.B., Boyer, S.J., Graber, D.J., Hackett, P.H., Maret, K.H., Milledge, J.S., Peters, R.M.,
Pizzo, C.J., Samaja, M., Sarnquist, F.H., et, al., 1983. Maximal exercise at extreme
altitudes on Mount Everest. Journal of Applied Physiology 55, 688–698.
https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1983.55.3.688
Wheler, B.A., Flowers, G.E., 2011. Glacier subsurface heat-flux characterizations for energybalance modelling in the Donjek Range, southwest Yukon, Canada. Journal of

845 Glaciology 57, 121–133. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311795306709

- Wilby, R.L., Dawson, C.W., Murphy, C., O'Connor, P., Hawkins, E., 2014. The Statistical
  DownScaling Model Decision Centric (SDSM-DC): conceptual basis and
  applications. Climate Research 61, 259–276. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01254
- Wild, M., Ohmura, A., Schär, C., Müller, G., Folini, D., Schwarz, M., Hakuba, M.Z.,
  Sanchez-Lorenzo, A., 2017. The Global Energy Balance Archive (GEBA) version
  2017: a database for worldwide measured surface energy fluxes. Earth System
  Science Data 9, 601–613. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-601-2017
- Wilkinson, F., 2019. Traffic jams are just one of the problems facing climbers on Everest
  [WWW Document]. Traffic jams are just one of the problems facing climbers on
  Everest. URL https://www.nationalgeographic.com/adventure/2019/05/everestseason-deaths-controversy-crowding/ (accessed 11.30.19).
- 857 Wilks, D.S., 2011. Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences. Academic Press.
- WMO, 2019. World Meteorological Organization's World Weather & Climate Extremes
  Archive [WWW Document]. URL https://wmo.asu.edu/content/worldmeteorological-organization-global-weather-climate-extremes-archive (accessed
  12.3.19).
- Yang, X., Zhang, T., Qin, D., Kang, S., Qin, X., 2011. Characteristics and Changes in Air
  Temperature and Glacier's Response on the North Slope of Mt. Qomolangma (Mt.
  Everest). Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 43, 147–160.
  https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-43.1.147
- You, Q.-L., Ren, G.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., Ren, Y.-Y., Sun, X.-B., Zhan, Y.-J., Shrestha, A.B.,
  Krishnan, R., 2017. An overview of studies of observed climate change in the Hindu
  Kush Himalayan (HKH) region. Advances in Climate Change Research, Including
  special issue on climate change in the Hindu Kush Himalaya 8, 141–147.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2017.04.001

# 889 Tables

- 890 **Table 1**. AWS specifications for each of the 5 sites. Note that weights are only provided for
- the highest stations which needed to be hand-carried to their install sites.

	Phortse	Base Camp	Comp II	South Col	Balcony	
Latituda	27.8456°N	27 9952°N	27 9810°N	27 9719°N	27.9826°N	
Latitude,	27.0430 IN 86.7472°F	27.9932 IN 86.8406°E	27.9010 IN 86.9023°E	27.9719 IN	27.9020 R 86.9292°F	
and elevation	3810 m a s 1	5315 m a s l	6464 m a s l	79/5  m m a s 1	8430 m a s 1	
Operation	24/04/2010	10/10/2019	09/06/2010	22/05/2010	23/05/2010	
Operation	present	nresent	nresent	present	present	
Above	2 m for	2 m	2 m	1.5 m for	1.5 m for	
ground	temperature and	2 111	2 111	temperature	temperature	
sensor level	humidity 2.3 m			and humidity	and humidity	
(m)	for wind			2m for wind	2 m for wind	
Air	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	
temperature	HMP155A-L5-	HMP155A-L5-	HMP155A-L5-	HMP155A-	HMP155A-	
sensor	PT.	PT.	PT·	I 5_PT·	I 5_PT·	
sensor	CS109	CS109	CS109	CS109	CS109	
Relative	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	
humidity	HMP155A-I 5-	HMP155A-I 5-	HMP155A-I 5-	HMP155A-	HMP155A-	
sensor	PT	PT	PT	L5-PT	L5-PT	
Wind speed	R M Young	R M Young	R M Young	$2 \times R M$	$2 \times R M$	
and direction	05108-45	05108-45	05108-45	Young 05108-	Young 05108-	
sensor	00100 10	00100 10	00100 10	45	45	
Air pressure	Vaisala PTB 110	Vaisala PTB210	Vaisala	Vaisala	Vaisala	
sensor	valsala 11D 110	valsala 1 10210	PTB210	PTB210	PTB210	
Radiation	2 × Hukseflux	Hukseflux NR01	Apogee SN-	Hukseflux	110210	
sensor	SR30 (up/down	Huksenux I (ICO)	500-SS	NR01		
sensor	shortwave		000 00	1,1101		
	radiation): 2 ×					
	Hukseflux IR20					
	(up/down					
	thermal					
	radiation)					
Precinitation	OTT Pluvio 2	OTT Pluvio 2	_	_	_	
sensor	and double alter	and double alter				
SCHOOL	shield	shield				
Present	OTT Parsivel 2	OTT Parsivel 2	_	-	-	
weather						
sensor						
Relative	Campbell	Campbell	Campbell	-	-	
surface	Scientific SR50A	Scientific	Scientific			
elevation		SR50A	SR50A			
change sensor						
Data logger	Campbell	Campbell	Campbell	Campbell	Campbell	
88	Scientific	Scientific	Scientific	Scientific	Scientific	
	CR1000X	CR1000X	CR1000X	CR1000X	CR1000X	
Logger	Standard	Standard	Standard	Pelican case	Pelican case	
enclosure				with military-	with military-	
				spec quick-	spec quick-	
				connects	connects	
Batteries	24 Ah	$3 \times 8Ah$	$3 \times 8Ah$	$3 \times 8Ah$	$3 \times 8Ah$	
Charging	$2 \times 20W$ solar	$2 \times 20W$ solar	$2 \times 20W$ solar	$2 \times 10$ W solar	$2 \times 10W$ solar	
	panels	panels	panels	panels	panels	

Telemetry	Inmarsat	Thuraya; 400	Thuraya	Thuraya; 400	Thuraya; 400
		MHz radio		MHz radio	MHz radio
Sampling	3 s (wind); 60 s	5 s (wind); 60 s	60 s	5 s (wind); 60	5 s (wind); 60
interval	(radiation, air	(temperature,	(temperature,	s (temperature,	s (temperature,
	pressure,	relative	relative	relative	relative
	temperature,	humidity,	humidity,	humidity,	humidity); 600
	relative	precipitation);	wind); 600 s	radiation); 600	s (pressure)
	humidity,	600 s (air	(radiation);	s (pressure)	
	precipitation)	pressure); 3600 s	3600 s (relative		
	3600 s (present	(present	surface		
	weather, relative	weather)	elevation		
	surface elevation		change)		
	change)				
Tripod	Campbell	Campbell	Campbell	Custom	Custom
	Scientific	Scientific	Scientific	Aluminum	Aluminum
	CM106B	CM106B	CM106B		
Approximate	Not available	Not available	Not available	Total: 50 kg	Total: 50 kg
weight				Pelican case	Pelican case
				with logger: 8	with logger: 8
				kg	kg
				Pelican case	Pelican case
				with batteries:	with batteries:
				16 kg	16 kg
				Tripod: / kg	Tripod: / kg
				Crossarms,	Crossarms,
				mounts, and	mounts, and
				bolts: 11 kg	bolts: 11 kg
				Sensors: 8 kg	Sensors: 8 kg

893

894 **Table 2**. Comparison between monthly medians at the South Col from the new AWS (left-

hand columns, 2019 values) and those from the world's previous highest AWS at the South

896 Col (right-hand column, 2008 values) (Moore et al., 2012b).

	Air Press	ure (hPa)	Air Temperature (°C)		Wind Spe	eed (m s <sup>-1</sup> )
	2019	2008	2019	2008	2019	2008
Jun	382.5	385.2	-14.2	-12.9	6.9	3.9
Jul	383.7	386	-11.7	-11.9	2.9	2.8
Aug	384.5	386.2	-13	-12.6	4.3	2.4
Sept	384.2	385.4	-14.7	-16.4	3.2	9.4
Oct	379.8	380.7	-21.7	-23	14.4	20

- **Table 3**. Mean and standard deviation (of daily means) for the surface energy fluxes
- modelled for Camp II (6,464 m) and the South Col (7,945 m). See Eq. 1 and surrounding text

	Camp ]	$\mathbf{II} (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{m}^{-2})$	South Col (W m <sup>-2</sup> )		
Energy Flux	Mean	Std. dev	Mean	Std. dev	
$Q_{SW}$	57.6	16.2	65.2	17.4	
$Q_{LW}$	-38.1	20.5	-49.4	24.9	
$Q_H$	2.5	9.3	10.8	25.0	
$Q_L$	8.2	6.7	-25.2	13.2	
$Q_G$	0.2	9.7	0.1	7.9	
$Q_M$	14.0	14.3	1.4	4.4	

900 for details of the notation used for the respective energy components.

Table A1. Mean meteorology estimated for the Summit (8,850 m) over the period 23 May—
31 October 2019 and associated uncertainty, summarized as the median of all hourly estimates

of uncertainty.

Variable [Units]	Mean	Uncertainty
Air temperature [°C]	-20.6	2.1
Relative humidity [%]	63.7	23.0
Insolation [W m <sup>-2</sup> ]	349.1	79.4
Incident longwave radiation [W m <sup>-2]</sup>	174.1	15.8



919 920 **Figure 1**. Percentage of all active AWSs above the elevation marked on the x axis, and the 921 number of AWSs in High Mountain Asia (HMA) above the respective elevation, as identified from the Integrated Surface Database (Smith et al., 2011), with AWSs added from additional 922 923 high-altitude networks known to the authors (see Appendix A). The elevations of the new 924 AWSs from the 2019 Everest Expedition discussed in the text are annotated with arrows. 925 Dotted/dashed lines give the glacier area-altitude distribution (hypsometry) for all glacier regions in the Randolph Glacier inventory (Pfeffer et al., 2014), excluding Antarctica and 926 927 Greenland. Note that the HMA curve is the sum of glacier areas in the Central Asia, South Asia 928 West, and South Asia East regions.



Fig. 2. Map of locations referred to in the text. Also shown (inset) are photographs of the
automatic weather stations installed during the 2019 Everest Expedition. Note the shovel
handles used to mount the wind speed sensors on the Balcony weather station (upper right).



**Fig. 3.** Extreme temperatures and wind speeds in the ERA-Interim reanalysis at the summit of Everest (8,850 m.a.s.l), 1979-2018. Top left: Monthly time series of minimum temperature, with red line highlighting the all-time minimum (-49°C). Bottom left: Monthly time series of maximum wind speed (all-time maximum is 76 m s<sup>-1</sup>). Top right: All-time minimum air temperature (line) in the reanalysis for the summit  $\pm$  one standard deviation of minima in that month across years. Bottom right: Same as top right but for maximum wind speeds.

947

948

949

950

951



Fig. 4. Initial observations of selected variables at the AWSs. Top: Temperatures and lapse rates across all stations. Lapse rates were calculated as the slope coefficient from regressing running 24-hour mean air temperature at the AWSs against their elevations. Second top: Daily mean wind speeds (lines) and maximum gusts (points). The grey dotted line gives the all-time maximum wind speed in the ERA-Interim for the respective month. Second bottom: Mean

daily relative humidity smoothed with a three-day running mean. Cumulative precipitation at
Phortse is plotted on the second (right) y-axis. Bottom: Daily maximum insolation at the AWSs
(note that the Balcony site does not have a pyranometer). The grey dashed line here is the topof-atmosphere incident flux, calculated using a solar constant of 1366 W m<sup>-2</sup> and corrected for
seasonal variations in Earth-sun distance. For all panels, the grey-shading highlights the nonmonsoonal period.



**Fig. 5.** Simulated mass loss and energy fluxes at Camp II (left, 6,464 m) and the South Col (right, 7,945 m). Top row: Cumulative mass losses and daily mean air temperature, with shaded envelope spanning the daily minimum and maximum temperatures. The shaded envelope on the melt and sublimation curves indicates the uncertainty from perturbing the roughness length between the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of values reported in the literature for low-latitude snowcovered glaciers (see Appendix B). Bottom row: Mean daily energy fluxes, with notation consistent with Eq. 1.





**Fig. 6**. Simulated mass losses, energy fluxes, and selected meteorological variables estimated for the summit. Top: Sublimation and melt, with the shaded envelope indicating the uncertainty from perturbing the roughness length (see Fig. 6 caption and Appendix B). Middle: Mean  $\pm$ standard deviation of energy fluxes and surface temperatures ( $T_s$ ) as a function of hour of the day for all (four) days on which simulated melt for the summit was non-zero. Bottom: Same as middle but for selected meteorological variables.



984

Fig. 7. Performance of the GFS and HRES wind speed forecasts at Everest's South Col (7,945 985 986 m). Top left: MAE and skill scores (SS) as a function of lead time. Note that the skill score 987 here is computed with a simple persistence forecast as the reference. Top right: Pearson 988 product-moment correlation coefficients between observed and forecast hourly mean wind 989 speed as a function of forecast lead time. Bottom left: As in top left, but for forecasts corrected 990 with MOS. Here, the skill scores are computed for the MOS forecasts relative to the 991 uncorrected forecasts. The vertical dotted line marks the performance at 48 hours; the forecast 992 for this lead time is shown in the bottom right, during the pre-monsoon month of June 2019.



994 **Fig. A1**. As Fig. 4 in the main text, but for a hypothetical ice surface at Camp II (left panels) 995 and the South Col (right panels), with albedo ( $\alpha$ ) set to 0.4 and near-surface density ( $\rho_s$ ) of 996 910 kg m<sup>-3</sup>.





Fig. A2. The South Col AWS being installed. Note the tents of Camp IV in the background,
and the exposed glacier ice visible behind. Photo credit: Baker Perry / National Geographic.



Fig. A3. Albedo and possible melt events at the South Col AWS. Blue and red dots highlightthose days on which melt was modelled (blue) and observed using the outgoing longwave heat



**Fig. A4**. Modelled and observed daily mean surface temperatures at the South Col during periods of fresh snow cover when the albedo was between 0.7 and 0.9. Note that observed surface temperature was inferred from the outgoing longwave heat flux (see text). The number annotated (r) indicates the (Pearson) correlation coefficient between the simulated and observed temperatures.

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021