# Minutes of the Meeting

# Meeting of REDD+ Working Group for North Eastern States of India





ICIMOD gíz Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH On behalf of:

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety



of the Federal Republic of Germany

# Minutes of the Meeting of **REDD+ Working Group for North Eastern States of India**

(06 September 2018)

Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education

(An Autonomous Body of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India) P.O. New Forest, Dehradun – 248006 (INDIA)







© Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, 2018

#### Published by:

Biodiversity and Climate Change Division Directorate of Research Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education Dehradun 2016

#### Editors:

Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Scientist 'E', RFRI, Jorhat Dr. R.S. Rawat, Scientist In-charge, Biodiversity and Climate Change Division, ICFRE, Dehradun



# **CONTENTS**

1	Background	1
2	Minutes of the Meeting	2
	2.1 Inaugural Session	2
	2.2 Technical Session 1	3
	2.3 Technical Session 2	6
	2.4 Concluding Session	6
	Annex - I: Agenda of the meeting	8
	Annex - II: List of participants	9
	Annex - III: Presentation on Introduction to REDD+ and its implementation framework at National and International level	10
	Annex - IV: Presentation on REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States and future roads map	15
	Annex - V: Presentation on Prospects of REDD+ projects in North East India	17
	Annex - VI: Presentation on REDD+ Pilot Project in Mizoram & Preparation of SRAP for the State	19
	Annex - VII: Presentation on Experience of Mawphlang Khasi Hills Community REDD+ project	25
	Annex - VIII: Presentation on Activities connected with REDD+ Manipur	35



# 1. Background

Indian council of Forestry research and Education (ICFRE) in collaboration with International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) is implementing 'REDD+ Himalayas Project'. Under this project, capacity building is being focused on North-Eastern states of India. This programme is providing assistance for developing and implementing of REDD+ actions, focussing on trainings, technology sharing and knowledge dissemination. In order to initiate and scale up REDD+ actions in the North-Eastern states of India, it has been decided to form a 'REDD+ Platform' for providing guidance, knowledge sharing and support for implementation of REDD+ initiatives/ actions in these states. Accordingly, a REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States has been formed to facilitate REDD+ actions in North-Eastern states under the 'REDD+ Himalayas Project'. The REDD+ Working Group consists of the following officers and technical experts:

1.	Director, Rain Forest Research Institute, Jorhat (Assam)	: Chair
2.	Assistant Director General (Biodiversity and Climate Change), ICFRE	: Member
3.	Regional Director, Forest Survey of India (Eastern Region, Kolkata)	: Member
4.	Representative of PCCF & HoFF, Assam	: Member
5.	Representative of PCCF & HoFF, Arunachal Pradesh	: Member
6.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Manipur	: Member
7.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Meghalaya	: Member
8.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Mizoram	: Member
9.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Nagaland	: Member
10.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Sikkim	: Member
11.	Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Tripura	: Member
12.	Prof. B.K. Tewari, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong	: Member
13.	Representative from North-East based CSOs/NGOs	: Member
14.	Representative of Community (Khasi Hills REDD+ pilot project)	: Member
15.	Scientist, RFRI, Jorhat (Assam) to be nominated by the Chair	: Member Secretary

Terms of Reference of the REDD+ Working Group are as follows:

- Advise on technical and institutional supports for REDD+ readiness in North-eastern states of India
- Provide inputs from North-Eastern regions for formulation of State REDD+ action plan, safeguard information system (SIS), reference emission level/reference level and forest monitoring system
- Guidance for implementing National REDD+ Strategy at state level, and inputs for preparation of a road map for REDD+ preparedness
- Necessary guidelines for formation of REDD+ Cell in the North-eastern States along with its structure and terms of reference
- Provide inputs for development of REDD+ Learning Platform for exchange and sharing of knowledge

- Advise on REDD+ capacity building needs of stakeholders
- Explore the possibilities of REDD+ financing and guidance for development of REDD+ projects

A REDD+ Working Group Meeting for preparation of road map for implementation of REDD+ initiatives in North Eastern States of India was organized at Rain Forest Research Institute (RFRI) on 6<sup>th</sup> September 2018 under the Chairmanship of Dr. R.S.C Jayaraj, IFS, Director, RFRI and Chair of the REDD+ Working Group. Agenda of the meeting is placed at Annexure I. The meeting was attended by all the members of the REDD+ Working Group and some other special invitees. The list of the participants is placed at Annexure II.

## 2. Minutes of the Meeting

#### 2.1 Inaugural Session

At the outset, Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Head, Forest Ecology & Climate Change (FE&CC) Division, RFRI and Member-Secretary of REDD+ Working Group welcomed all the participants. He briefly highlighted the broad theme and purpose of the meeting.

**Dr. R. S. C. Jayaraj**, Director, RFRI and Chair of the REDD+ Working Group welcomed all the members and participants of meeting. He focused on the recent developments in the national scenario and stressed on creation of State REDD+ Cells. He stated that until now, only a few projects have been started (e.g. Khasi Hills REDD+ Project, REDD+ pilot project in Mizoram, etc.) and that more and more projects (at least one in each state) have to be initiated. He further informed the participants that the REDD+ Secretariat of the Working Group established under REDD+ Himalayas Project at RFRI would provide necessary technical support, updated information on REDD+, guidance and knowledge sharing/ dissemination to the states. The State REDD+ Cell would implement the REDD+ actions in accordance to the National REDD+ Strategy as well as the need of the respective states and community. He wound up with the message that the States would have to act at large for developing projects for REDD+ and harness technical know-how from the Secretariat.

**Shri V.R.S. Rawat,** Expert Consultant, REDD+ Himalayas Project, Indian Council of Forestry Research & Education made a presentation on "Introduction to REDD+ and its implementation at international and national level". He traced the history of REDD+ evolution under UNFCCC system and highlighted that REDD (Reducing Emission from Deforestation in Developing countries) was first introduced into the United nations framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Conference of Parties (CoP) agenda at its eleventh session in Montreal in December 2005. He elaborated India's response and consequent negotiations in subsequent CoPs of UNFCCC. In CoP 13 at Bali, Indonesia, REDD became REDD+, which is primarily an Indian initiative supported by the likeminded countries. He narrated that in Cancun Agreements the scope of REDD+ was finalized. The scope of "REDD+" includes reducing emissions due to deforestation, and forest degradation, conservation of forest carbon stocks, sustainable forest management and enhancement of forest carbon stocks. Further, he informed about the pre-requisite of REDD+ implementation *viz*. National strategy or action plan, National forest reference level, National forest monitoring system for the monitoring and reporting of the REDD+ activities and safeguard information system.

He highlighted that ICFRE on behalf of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India has prepared and published a National REDD+ Strategy for India and same has been released by the Hon'ble Minister for Environment, Forest and Climate Change on 30 August 2018. Forest Survey of India has prepared national forest reference level and same is under technical review of UNFCCC. Further, he stressed that National Forest Monitoring System and Safeguard Information System for REDD+ are still be finalized. Next, he deliberated on the structure and contents of National REDD+ Strategy and opined that the Key Players in REDD+, in the Indian Context are FSI (focused on MRV of forest carbon stock), ICFRE (focused on Capacity building for stakeholders) as well as the Local communities (focused on protecting and regenerating the forests apart from gaining technical expertise) along with State Forest Departments.

After the inaugural session of the meeting, a 'REDD+ Secretariat for North Eastern States of India' was inaugurated at RFRI, Jorhat jointly by Prof. B. K. Tiwari, North Eastern Hill University and Shri V. R. S. Rawat, Expert Consultant REDD+ Himalayas Project, ICFRE.

## 2.2 Technical Session I

The Technical Session-I began with presentation of **Dr. R.S. Rawat**, Scientist In-charge, Biodiversity & Climate Change Division, ICFRE on "REDD+ Working Group for North Eastern States and Future Road Map". He initiated his presentation with the background that ICFRE in collaboration with International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) and GIZ is implementing a REDD+ Himalayas Project in north-eastern part of the country and especially in the state of Mizoram. Further, he highlighted the necessity for formation of REDD+ working group and discussed the details of Terms of Reference of the REDD+ Working group. He also elaborated tentative Future Road Map of REDD+ actions in the North-Eastern parts of the country. Formation of State REDD+ Cell, preparation of State REDD+ action Plans, capacity building on various aspects of REDD+, and development of a web-based REDD+ learning platform for knowledge/ information sharing were some of the key points of his presentation.

**Prof. B.K. Tiwari**, Member, North Eastern Hill University (Meghalaya) deliberated on "Prospects of REDD+ Projects in North East India". He initially presented some features of North-East India, pertaining to the diversity with respect to ethnic composition, language, cultural, landscape, etc. He highlighted that the tribal communities with their indigenous governance systems, must be taken into consideration for formulation of capacity building measures. The State REDD+ Cells have to imbibe the tribal and ethnic diversity of North-East India. Dr. Tiwari while appreciating the National REDD+ Strategy focused on some of the issues not brought up in the strategy like forest fires, forest–agriculture overlap, community controlled forests in the North Eastern India, the large area under Un-classed State Forests (with ambiguous ownership), etc. He also discussed about the opportunities, challenges and future prospects of REDD+ projects in North-East India.

During discussion, Shri V. R. S. Rawat emphasized that while implementing REDD+, the agency/institution must have REDD+ Safeguards in place. These are mainly governance, environmental and social safeguards. The safeguards must have respect for knowledge and rights of Indigenous Peoples and local communities. Dr. Jayaraj highlighted that, in the National strategy, there is a clear demarcation of the duties of the Government as well as the need of the community while formulating projects. Shri Rawat added the example of pilot REDD+ project in Mizoram for reference.

**Shri M. Z. Singson**, Head, Forest Research Centre for Bamboo and Ratan, Aizawl (Mizoram) made a presentation on the activities done under REDD+ Himalayas Project in the state

of Mizoram. He highlighted the REDD+ Himalayas project is trans-boundary project and implemented in Bhutan, India, Myanmar and Nepal. He further informed about the activities conducted under the project such as orientation programme for development of State REDD+ Action Plan (SRAP), installation of solar dryer for turmeric drying for the local communities of Rieik Village, establishment of shaded coffee plantation etc. for addressing the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the state of Mizoram. He informed that bi-lingual (English-Mizo) brochures were also brought out for capacity building and trainings of villagers on REDD+. He informed that the preparation of State REDD+ Action Plan is one of the activities under REDD+ Project, and that Mizoram became the first state for which the Action Plan has been prepared. He detailed the methodology, specifically on the multi-stakeholder consultation, along with the steps, i.e. Preparation, Analyses, Planning, and Monitoring along with Budget required for the same. He opined that shifting cultivation, forest fires and lack of motivation of Government departments are the key challenges. Mr. Singson informed about the minimised shifting cultivation in the project area during the project period that proved helpful to address the issues of degradation and deforestation.

**Shri Tambor Lyngdoh**, Chief Community Facilitator, Mawphlang Khasi Hills Community REDD+ project, Meghalaya made a presentation on "Experience of Mawphlang Khasi Hills Community REDD+ Project". He informed that during the pilot project, carbon sales assessment was done, REDD+ awareness programmes were conducted as well as drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, and mitigation measures for addressing the drivers were assessed. He detailed the methods and activities adopted, including calculation of above ground and below ground biomass, controlled burning, establishment of home-based nurseries to capacitate the community etc. He further informed that substantial amount of carbon stocks were sold to various buyers in different countries. Funds directed to community development projects for training on piggery and poultry, vermi-composting and forestry, for distribution of grants for LPG & smokeless *chullahs* etc.

Apart from these, Shri Lyngdoh informed that there was also revival of sacred groves, development of eco-tourism spots, confluence of herbal healers, organizing of skill development programmes, convergence initiatives etc. He also informed that there is a strong community allocation of forest in East Khasi Hills, where there is problem of excessive fuel wood collection and degradation due to charcoal making. He stressed that community should be additionally involved for successful REDD+ implementation.

Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Member-Secretary, enquired whether the study on drivers of deforestation was done for Khasi Hills REDD+ Project. Shri Lyngdoh informed that such a study was conducted under the guidance of Prof. B. K. Tiwari. During the discussion, Shri V. R. S. Rawat opined that any project contributing to afforestation can be bought under the ambit of REDD+, but it needs to be done in the prescribed framework.

Representatives of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & Head of Forest Force of the North-Eastern States expressed their views on the problem, prospects and scope of REDD+ in their respective states. On behalf of Assam State Forest Department, **Ms. Davinder Suman**, IFS informed about the existence of a State REDD+ Cell in Assam and that pilot projects at two locations namely Majuli and Nagaon have been launched. The REDD+ Project activities are respectively named as Lowering Emissions, Enhancing Forests (LEEF) in Nagaon and Sustainable Actions for Climate Resilient Development (SACReD) in Majuli. Baseline works like selection of landscape, stakeholder mapping and synchronising working plan and REDD+ design have been completed, Interventions as well as Safeguards have been incorporated in

the Project Design Document. Funding is expected from Oil India Limited (OIL), GIZ/MoEF & CC etc. for implementing Non-Agricultural Market Access (NAMA) in the forestry sector. GCF has been identified as plausible financial source besides others.

**Dr. Lokho Puni**, IFS, Member (Manipur) elaborated about the forest scenario in Manipur. He also detailed on future plans of the state forest department including Identification & development of spring sheds, formation of Community Forest in unclassed forest areas, review of wood based industries, regulation of fuelwood consumption, finalization of Forest Development Corporation, revival of Joint Forest Management Committees, planting of longrotation trees, planting more trees which bear Non-timber forest products, ways of involving private parties, expanding Protected Area Networks and strategy for short rotation props to reduce wood-dependence in the state. During discussion, Shri V.R.S. Rawat added that REDD+ is not carbon-centric, but non carbon benefits and livelihood options of communities are equally respected.

**Shri W.S. Manner**, IFS, Member (Meghalaya) elaborated on Umket REDD+ Project. The project was focused on identification and addressing of drivers of deforestation & degradation. He detailed the improved Forest Management activities that were taken up with regard to tree planting, Forest fire management (creation of fireline, engagement of firewatchers), improved *chullahs* etc. He shared the results in terms of Economic benefits, Job creation, capacity building as well as biodiversity conservation. He informed that the villages have community based forest management mechanism that has to be appreciated. Shri Rawat informed that a minimum area of 10,000-15,000 hectares will only be economically viable for REDD+ projects.

**Shri Supongnukshi**, IFS (Nagaland) informed the house about the scenario of Nagaland. He highlighted the positive aspects for REDD+ implementation in Nagaland. During discussion, Prof. Tiwari opined that in natural forests, annual increment of carbon is not substantial, resulting in little sequestration and therefore, net benefit will be less. Further, mature forests (in many studies) have proven to be carbon sources rather than Carbon sink. Shri Supongnukshi opined that conversion of *jhum* to conserved areas (under JICA project) is more conducive for REDD+.

**Shri Ravi Kumar**, IFS (Sikkim) began with his observations that stakeholder engagement is very crucial. He highlighted the progress made in Sikkim so far regarding REDD+ that include staff training, canopy monitoring, canopy density based forest classification, development of an application based carbon stock measurement through *m*-forest etc. Till date, 22 REDD+ interventions (e.g. bio briquettes with NABARD) were planned in Sikkim apart from interdepartmental convergence. Shri Kumar also informed that State REDD+ Action Plan for Sikkim has been prepared under an USAID sponsored project.

**Shri S. Soorya Narayan**, IFS (Tripura) informed that there is no State REDD+ Cell, with respect to Tripura. The State Action Plan on Climate Change was framed by the Department of Science & Technology, Government of Tripura. He opined that there is non-familiarity and poor understanding with respect to REDD+ in the State and suggested the organisation of a similar workshop in Tripura. He further enquired if bamboo qualifies for REDD+ as Tripura Forest Department is planning to raise 5000 ha. of bamboo plantations under National Bamboo Mission (NBM) in the next five years. During discussion, Shri Rawat informed that the Government of India must inform the UNFCCC that bamboos and palms are included in the national definition of forest for bamboo to be considered for REDD+.

**Shri Gautam Baruah** Member (representative of NGOs) briefed about the role of NGOs in REDD+ projects in North East India and appreciated the efforts of ICFRE in this regard.

#### 2.3 Technical Session II

Technical Session II begun with discussion and brainstorming amongst the participants. Some important points that came out during the discussion of REDD+ Working Group for Preparation of Road Map for Implementation of REDD+ Initiatives in North-Eastern States of India are as follows:

- Two States, *viz.* Assam & Sikkim already have State REDD+ Cells and other States are required to constitute the same. Assam has to examine the composition of the Cell, whether it is conforming to the constitution mentioned in the National REDD+ Strategy. The REDD+ networking shall be quite amenable for implementation of REDD+ activities in each state of North-East India.
- Safeguard Information System (SIS) still needs to be developed in India
- The decision making powers should be vested with the communities. Clustering of villages can be done to increase the area and make it feasible for REDD+ consideration. Meghalaya has ample scope and thus, negotiations with the communities shall surely yield results. In Nagaland, several village councils can come together and form a viable REDD+ project
- A bottom-up policy starting with District council will be more feasible.
- The financial reward of the project is not the only component and should be looked into as an additional benefit. On a given landscape, carbon stock and sequestration is not the only reward; ecosystem services such as edaphic, hydrological, aesthetic improvements of landscape etc. are also of great importance. Therefore, the benefits reaped from a fertile land and resurrected ecosystem far outweighs the benefits returned in terms of currency. All the members were of the opinion that REDD+ has a broader aspect beyond Carbon which needs to be assessed as well as addressed.
- Knowledge and experience sharing is very vital for the implementation and advancement of REDD+ and the REDD+ Secretariat at RFRI may play a significant role in this regard.
- Research and academic institutions like RFRI, NEHU etc. shall play an important role in knowledge sharing, capacity building and safeguard implementation.

#### 2.4 Concluding Session

**Dr. R.S.C. Jayaraj**, Director, RFRI and Chairman of the Working Group emphasized the following issues in his concluding remark:

- States of North-East India are at varied levels of preparedness in terms of REDD+. The States that are already in a state of preparedness to implement REDD+ projects or already implementing pilot projects should help other states of the region in development of the projects, through experience-sharing.
- Projects for capacity building and awareness can also be prepared, for REDD+ funding.

- By next year, it is hoped that at least one REDD+ project would begin or implemented in each of the North-Eastern States.
- Once in six-months, a meeting of the Working Group including all the stake holders should be conducted, for sharing of information.
- A webpage for the REDD+ Working Group is to be developed for providing a common platform, which needs to be developed by the Biodiversity and Climate Change Division of ICFRE and RFRI, Jorhat, and hosting on the ICFRE website.

#### The following decisions were taken in the Working Group meeting:

- (a) The National REDD+ Strategy has already been released by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, and all the states may take action to constitute the State REDD+ Cell as per the details given in National REDD+ Strategy, on getting further guidelines/ intimations from Government of India.
- (b) Biodiversity and Climate Change Division at ICFRE shall develop a web page for the REDD+ Working Group for knowledge sharing and host it on the ICFRE / RFRI website.
- (c) The States that already have ongoing REDD+ projects, though not registered with The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) or with other standards may share the details with the Working Group, so that it can be used for capacity building in other States.
- (d) Secretariat of REDD+ Working Group at RFRI may collect all the relevant literature on REDD+, make a compilation of the same and share with all the members of the Working Group.

(e) Regular communication mechanism of REDD+ Working Group need to be developed. An RFRI publication entitled "*Toona ciliata* (Toon Trees) Volume, Biomass and Carbon Tables for Meghalaya" authored by Giri *et al.* (2018) was released by Prof. B.K. Tiwari and Shri V.R.S. Rawat in this Concluding Session of the meeting.

The meeting ended with Vote of thanks proposed by Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Head, FE&CC Division and Member Secretary, REDD+ Working Group.



#### Annex - I

## Agenda of the Meeting of the REDD+ Working Group on

# "Preparation of Road Map for Implementation of REDD+ Initiatives in

## North-Eastern States"

### Date: 06<sup>th</sup> September, 2018

## Venue: Rain Forest Research Institute, Jorhat

Inaugural session:			
9.30-09.50 AM	Registration		
09.50-10.00 AM	Introduction		
10.00-10.10 AM	Welcome address- Dr. R. S. C. Jayaraj, IFS, Director RFRI and		
	Chairman, REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States		
10.10-10.30 AM	Introduction to REDD+ and its implementation at international		
	and national level - VRS Rawat, Expert Consultant REDD+		
	Himalaya Project, ICFRE		
10.30-10.45 AM	Inauguration of the 'REDD+Secretariat for North East India'		
10.45-11.15 AM	High Tea and Group Photo		
Technical session	I:		
11.15-11.20 AM	REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States and future road		
	map- Dr. R.S. Rawat, Scientist In-charge, Biodiversity and Climate		
	Change Division, ICFRE		
11.20-11.35 AM	Prospects of REDD+ projects in North East India - Prof. B. K.		
	Tiwari, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong		
11.35-11.50 AM	REDD+ pilot project in Mizoram: preparation of SRAP for the state		
	-Mr. M. Z. Singson, Head, FRCBR, Aizawl		
11.50-12.10 PM	Experience of Mawphlang Khasi Hills Community REDD+ project -Mr. Tambor Lyngdoh		
12.10-01.10 PM	Views of the representatives of PCCF- Arunachal Pradesh, Assam,		
	Manipur and Meghalaya on the scope of REDD+ in the states.		
01.10-02.00 PM	-Lunch Break-		
02.00-03.00 PM	Views of the representatives of PCCF- Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim		
	and Tripura on the scope of REDD+ in the states.		
03.00-03.15 PM	-Tea-		
Technical session	II:		
03.15-04.00 PM	Discussion		
04.00-04.15 PM	Formulation of Recommendation		
04.15-04.25 PM	Chairman's concluding remark- Dr. R. S. C. Jayaraj, IFS		
04.25-04.30 PM	Vote of thanks-Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Member Secretary		

## Annex - II

# **List of Participants**

Following are the list of participants for REDD+ Working Group Meeting:

#### S.N. Name of participants

- 1 Dr. R.S.C. Jayaraj, IFS, Director, RFRI (Chairman)
- 2 Prof. B.K. Tiwari, Member (North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong)
- 3 Shri V.R.S. Rawat, REDD+ Consultant, BCC Division, ICFRE
- 4 Dr. Lokho Puni, IFS, Member (representative from Manipur)
- 5 Shri Supongnukshi, IFS (representative from Nagaland)
- 6 Shri W.S. Manner, IFS, Member (representative from Meghalaya)
- 7 Shri Ravi Kumar, IFS (representative from Sikkim)
- 8 Shri S. Sooryanarayan, IFS, Member (representative from Tripura)
- 9 Ms. Davinder Suman, IFS (representative from Assam)
- 10 Dr. R.S. Rawat, Scientist In-charge, BCC Division, ICFRE
- 11 Shri Tambor Lyngdoh, Member (Khasi Hills REDD+ project)
- 12 Shri Gautam Baruah, Member (representative from NE based NGO)
- 13 Shri M.Z. Singson, Head, Forest Research Centre Bamboo and Rattan
- 14 Dr. Dhruba J. Das, Member Secretary (RFRI)

The, following Scientists/ Officers also participated in the meeting

- 1 Sh. R.K. Kalita, Scientist (RFRI)
- 2 Ms. Lhinghoikim Touthang, IFS (Nagaland)
- 3 Sh. Ajay Kumar, Scientist (RFRI)
- 4 Sh. Dinesh Kr. Meena, Scientist (RFRI)
- 5 Dr. Kuntala Neog Barua, Assistant Chief Technical Officer (RFRI)

#### Annex - III

Presentation on Introduction to REDD+ and its implementation framework at National and International level









Section 4: Implementation Framework	National Designated Entity for REDD+:
Composition of NGC-REDD+ shall be as follows         1. Union Minister for Environment, Forest and Climate Change:       Co-Chair         2. State Minister for Environment, Forest and Climate Change:       Co-Chair         3. Secretary, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change:       Member         4. Director General of Forests and Special Secretary, MoEFCC:       Member         5. Director General, CFRE:       Member         6. Additional Director General of Forests (FC), MOEFCC:       Member         7. Additional Secretary (Climate Change), MOEFCC:       Member         8. Director General, FSI:       Member         9. Joint Secretary (Climate Change), MOEFCC:       Member         10. Joint Secretary, Ministry of Tribal Affairs:       Member         11. Joint Secretary, Ministry of Fribal Affairs:       Member         12. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (A) nominated by MOEFCC:       Member         13. REDD+ Experts (2) nominated by MOEFCC:       Member         14. Inspector General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC:       Member         14. Inspector General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC:       Member         14. Inspector General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC:       Member         15. Nore General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC:       Member         14. Inspector General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC:       Mem	Director General of Forests and Special Secretary, MoEFCC: Chairman     Additional Director General of Forests (FC), MoEFCC: Member     Additional Secretary (Climate Change), MoEFCC: Member     Inspector General of Forests (NAEB), MOEFCC: Member     Inspector General of Forests (NAEB), MOEFCC: Member     Joint Secretary (Climate Change), MOEFCC: Member     Director General, FSI/Representative of ICFRE : Member     Director General, FSI/Representative of FSI : Member     External REDD+ Experts (2) nominated by the Chair: Member     Op, Inspector General of Forests (Forest Policy), MOEFCC: Member Convener
Section 4: Implementation Framework	Section 4: Implementation Framework
State REDD+ Cell to be established The strategy devolves major responsibility for execution of REDD+ activities and measurement of their performance on the State Forest Departments. States will create a REDD+ Cell in the State Forest Department, and appoint a Nodal Officer to coordinate the activities of the State REDD+ Cell	State REDD+ Cell         The strategy devolves major responsibility for execution of REDD+ activities and measurement of their performance on the State Forest Departments. States will create a REDD+ Cell in the State Forest Department, and appoint a Nodal Officer to coordinate the activities of the State REDD+ Cell         1. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & HOFF       : Chairman         2. Two PCCF (Research/Planning/Climate Change)       : Member         3. PCCF/APCCF (Incharge of Forest Protection)       : Member         4. APCCF (Monitoring)       : Member         5. Regional APCCF, MOEFCC       : Member         6. Representative from regional ICFRE Institution       : Member         7. Representative of the forestry based local Community Groups       : Member         8. Representative of the local NGO       : Member         9. APCCF (Incharge of Afforestation)       : Nodal Officer
Section 4: Implementation Framework         Key Players:         • FSI will be responsible for MRV of forest carbon stocks         • ICFRE for capacity building of stakeholders in the country         Strengths and infrastructure of FSI, IGNFA, IIFM, SFDs, State Forest Research Institutes and Forestry Universities in building capacity of stakeholders will be mobilised         Local Communities: will discharge the responsibility of protecting, regenerating and managing forests, and also share the responsibility of measuring forest carbon with the SFDs. A capacity building and skill development programme for communities will be undertaken with an aim of addressing all REDD+ activities	Implementation Roadmap • Establishment of a NGC-REDD+ coordinating and guiding REDD+ • Creation of a REDD+ Cell in the State Forest Departments • Capacity building of all cadres of the SFDs • Skill development of community youths for activities like ANR, tree nurseries, soil and moisture conservation, fire protection, weed, insects and pests, agro forestry, tree fodder production, NTFP management, bioenergy production, and biodiversity and ecotourism management activities • Creation of additional infrastructure for SFDs, technical expertise, trained manpower for forest C measurement.

#### This was a presentation from ICFRE

email: adg\_bcc@icfre.org

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

Annex - IV		
Presentation on REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States and future roads		
map		
<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<ul> <li>REDD+ Himalayas Project</li> <li>ICFRE is implementing ICIMOD sponsored 'REDD+ Himalayas Project: Developing and Using Experience in Implementing REDD+ in the Himalayas'</li> <li>Under this project, capacity building is being focused on North-Eastern States of India</li> </ul>	
REDD+ Working GroupFormation of REDD+ Platform is needed to initiateand scale-up REDD+ actions in North-EasternStates for providing guidance, knowledge sharingand support for implementation of REDD+actions.Accordingly, A REDD+ Working Group for North-Eastern States has been formed under REDD+Himalayas Project.Secretariat of the Working Group established atRFRI, Jorhat (Assam).	Structure of REDD+ Working Group         1. Director, RFRI, Jorhat       :       Chair         2. ADG (Biodiversity and Climate Change), ICFRE       :       Member         3. RD, FSI (Eastern Region, Kolkata)       :       Member         4. Representative of PCCF & HoFF, Assam       :       Member         5. Representative of PCCF & HoFF, Manipur       :       Member         6. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Manipur       :       Member         7. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Maghalaya       :       Member         8. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Maghalaya       :       Member         9. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Nagaland       :       Member         10. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Tripura       :       Member         11. Representative of PCCF & & HoFF, Tripura       :       Member         12. Prof. B.K. Tewari, NEHU, Shillong       :       Member         13. Representative of Community (Khasi Hills REDD+ project)       :       Member         14. Representative of Community (Khasi Hills REDD+ project)       :       Member         15. Scientist, RFRI, Jorhat (nominated by the Chair)       :       Member Secretary	
<ul> <li><b>DCR of the REDD+ Working Group</b></li> <li>Advise on technical and institutional supports for REDD+ readiness in North-eastern states</li> <li>Provide inputs from North-Eastern States for formulation of State REDD+ Action plan (SRAP), safeguard information system (SIS), forest reference emission level and forest monitoring system</li> <li>Guidance for implementing National REDD+ Strategy at state level, and inputs for preparation of a road map for REDD+ preparedness</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-TOR Contd</li> <li>Necessary guidelines for formation of REDD+ Cell in the North-eastern States</li> <li>Provide inputs for development of REDD+ Learning Platform for exchange and sharing of knowledge</li> <li>Advise on REDD+ capacity building needs of stakeholders</li> <li>Explore the possibilities of REDD+ financing and guidance for development of REDD+ projects</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>FUTURE ROAD MAP</li> <li>Development of web based REDD+ Learning Platform for sharing of knowledge/ information</li> <li>Formation of State REDD+ Cell: Provide technical guidance to the States for formation of Cell.</li> <li>Capacity building needs of the states on REDD+</li> <li>Identification and formation of Expert Groups on MRV, SIS, Forest Reference Emission Level from North- Eastern States</li> </ul>	Formation of State REDD+ Cell Main objective of forming State REDD+ Cell is to create an enabling environment for implementation of all REDD+ readiness activities, including the implementation of National REDD+ Strategy and Safeguard Information System and preparation of SRAP etc.	

#### Structure of REDD+ Cell

- 1. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests & HoFF:
- 2. PCCF (Planning/Budget) :
- 3. PCCF/ APCCF (nominated by Chair):
- 4. APCCF/ CCF (Monitoring):
- 5. Regional ACCF, MoEFCC or his representative:
- 6. Two REDD+ Experts (nominated by Chair):
- 7. Representative of prominent NGO:
- 8. APCCF/ CCF/ CF (In-charge of Afforestation):

Chun
Member
Nodal Offic

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

State	% of Total	% Under
	Forested Area	Community Control
Arunachal Pradesh	80.30	62
Assam	35.20	33
Manipur	76.11	68
Meghalaya	76.76	90
Mizoram	88.93	33
Nagaland	78.21	91
Tripura	74.49	41
Sikkim	47.31	-

#### Forest Management Context in NE India

Forest contexts (forest ha/cap.)	State	Dominant forest authority
Lowland plains (0.14–0.23)	Assam and Tripura	Forest Department Traditional institutions with little control, except in district council areas, otherwise forest management largely government driven
Central and eastern hills (0.54–2.32)	Assam hill areas, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland	Communities Traditional, strong control, and effective
Greater Himalaya (6.0)	Arunachal Pradesh	Forest Department and communities Traditional, loose control, and partially effective

Primary	Future
forestland use	strategies
Mixed forests for timber production Monoculture plantations Protected areas	Joint Forest Manage ment (forest protecti committees: benefit sharing, national mo Joint protection in protected areas
Swidden/jhum land pool Nontimber forest products and domestic and local markets Sacred and watershed forests	Community forest management suppor through JFM progra (indigenous institutio special models)
Formal silviculture	Combination of JFM
and traditional jhum:	and community fores
forest gathering	management strateg
systems	depending on legal
Formal and indicenous	status and capacity

of JFM nding on legal

Forest Manage-(forest protection

#### Opportunities

- 75% of land belongs to moderately dense and open category
- In 2013, 71800 ha of forest land was experiencing degradation (Nagaland: 20100, Arunachal Pradesh: 11900 ha)
- Community control: lesser expenses on protection, attract high end buyers, benefit to poorer section of the society
- · Degradation in Protected Areas and base line available for them
- NE India can capture large global market
- Wide spectrum of projects with different sizes
- Vast technical and skilled human resource
- · Favourable climatic, edaphic conditions, fast tree growth

#### What needs to be done in short run?

- There is a need for training of front line staff on principles and procedures of REDD mechanism
- Creation of awareness and capacity building of various stakeholders viz., the local community is desirable
- Creation of data and technical know-how for putting together REDD+ projects
- Networking among the academicians, consultants, NGOs and forest departments may be required for taking the project forward.

# Workshop on Les and Procedures of Qualifying for Carbon Credit by Reducing Emission from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) and Clean Development Mechanism In North east india

e three day workshop on "Reducing Emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD) and Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) held during 9-11 Sep 2010 was attended by Senior and Mid level forest officers from four states of the North East – Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Meghalaya and Nagaland.

![](_page_22_Picture_23.jpeg)

#### **REDD-plus Contexts & Possibilities in North East**

- ۶ Reducing emission from deforestation
- ≻ Reducing emission from forest degradation
- Conservation of forest carbon stocks >
- > Sustainable management of forest
- ۶ Enhancement of forest carbon stock

![](_page_22_Picture_30.jpeg)

#### Challenges

- Lack of personnel trained in REDD+ business in government and also in traditional Institutions
- Low level of confidence between communities and government departments
- Ever increasing dependence of people on forests
- Securing finances for the project development and base line creation

![](_page_22_Picture_36.jpeg)

Annex - VI		
Presentation on REDD+ Pilot Project in Mizoram & Preparation of SRAP for the State		
REDD+ Himalayas: Developing and using experience in implementing REDD in the Himalayas <b>REDD+ Pilot in Mizoram &amp;</b> <b>preparation of SRAP</b> M.Z.Singson Head, FRCBR, Mizoram	<ul> <li>REDD+ Project</li> <li>REDD+ project with collaboration from ICIMOD with a regional mandate is implemented in Bhutan, India, Myanmar and Nepal.</li> <li>In India, partnership is for REDD+ capacity building focusing on Uttarakhand &amp; Mizoram</li> <li>Objectives : <ul> <li>Development of methods for calculating, modeling and forecasting carbon storage;</li> <li>Developing instruments in preparation for regional REDD-plus readiness</li> <li>Working towards harmonization in the region, exchange experience and mutual learning on good REDD-plus implementation practices</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Target Group and Project Activities</li> <li>Main target group – drivers of deforestation and forest degradation (i.e. Shifting cultivators)</li> <li>Awareness programmes on REDD+ &amp; Climate Change in project villages</li> <li>Orientation Programme for Developing State REDD+ Action Plan'</li> <li>Solar dryer (150 kg capacity) installed at Reiek to promote turmeric cultivation</li> <li>Creation of shaded coffee plantations at Reiek &amp; Ailawng villages (20 ha.) in coordination with SFD</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project activitiescontd</li> <li>Survey on cost &amp; benefit analysis - 444 Nos. of house holds completed questionnaires</li> <li>7 villages covered under HH survey viz. Ailawng, Reiek, Chungtlang, Khawhrihnim, Sihphir, Phulpui &amp; Thiak</li> <li>Field data collection on growth &amp; biomass study of bamboo</li> <li>Development of bamboo diabiomass equation</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Project activitiescontd</li> <li>Turmeric processing machine for Ailawng village</li> <li>Carbon inventory data from different land uses/ forest types</li> <li>Training workshops on modalities of REDD+ in MRV conducted in project villages</li> <li>Bilingual (English-Mizo) brochures on REDD+</li> <li>Bamboo research plot with 3 spp. (<i>B. mizorameana, D. longispathus &amp; D. strictus</i>) created for growth studies</li> </ul>	Inauguration of Solar dryer at Project site	
Inauguration of solar dryer cum awareness programme on REDD+	<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/>	

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image/><image/><image/><image/><section-header><image/><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image/><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<ul> <li>State REDD+ Action Plan (SRAP) for Mizoram</li> <li>REDD+ Himalayas' project activities include formulation of SRAP for Mizoram and Uttarakhand States</li> <li>ICFRE and SFD, Mizoram initiated the process in coordination with ICIMOD to develop India's first SRAP for Mizoram</li> <li>SRAP is based mainly on a multi-stakeholder and multi-sectorial consultative process</li> </ul>
Multi-stakeholders' consultation for SRAP	<ul> <li>Methodology</li> <li>SRAP development - based on a multi- stakeholder consultation process</li> <li>Stakeholders include - Environment, Forest &amp; Climate Change Department, local organizations, research institutions, universities and local community members</li> <li>Process involved a series of workshops, jointly organized by ICFRE, ICIMOD and SFD</li> <li>It resulted in a set of Intervention Packages (IPs), including state-level feasibility analysis, risk mitigation-measures, monitoring plans and budget for its implementation</li> <li>Comprises five main steps - indicated below</li> </ul>
Main stepsProcess/activitiesStep A: Prepare Initial consultation and inception workshopTrain facilitators, select workshop participants and commission preparatory studiesStep B: Analyze Expert analysis reviewed and endorsed by SHsAnalyze satellite imagery maps, discuss and prioritize drivers of D&FD and constraints to forest (biomass) enhancement. Undertake and analyze stakeholder and institutional analysis Identify and prioritize D&FD hotspots	Step C: Plan DevelopIdentify SRAP intervention packages, analyze implementation, social and environmental risks (complying with REDD+ safeguards), and risk mitigation measuresStep D: Develop monitoring protocol and indicatorsDevelop monitoring plans for the SRAP activities or IPs for the risk reduction and benefit enhancement measuresStep D: Develop monitoring protocol and indicatorsDevelop monitoring plans for the SRAP activities or IPs for the risk reduction and benefit enhancement measuresStep E: Develop monitoring protocol and indicatorsDetailed activity plan and budget for each and every IPs for 5 years operational plan, Formulate SRAP document for approval, Endorsement of SRAP
<ul> <li>Evolution of SRAP in India</li> <li>FAO (2010)- India has the tenth largest area of forest cover in the world, but India also faces problems of deforestation and forest degradation.</li> <li>India has 16 major forest types and 221 sub-forest types (Champion and Seth, 1968).</li> <li>One of 17 'megadiverse' countries (identified by Conservation International 1998) with four global biodiversity hotspots.</li> <li>Protected Area network includes 102 National Parks, 515 Wildlife Sanctuaries, 47 Conservation Reserves extending over 16 million hectares (mha)</li> <li>Covering almost 5% of the national geographical area.</li> <li>India joined the UN-REDD+ Programme in 2009, and in March 2018 the draft NRS submitted to the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change has been approved.</li> <li>In the NRS it is stated that one of the main objectives is to create REDD+ architecture at the National and Sub-National levels to support REDD+ actions, and that states should prepare REDD+ action plans.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preparation of SRAP for Mizoram</li> <li>FRCBR and SFD jointly organized a 3 days' multi- stakeholders' consultation workshop</li> <li>Workshop participants were from SFD, FRCBR, line departments, MZU, local NGOs, ICFRE and ICIMOD</li> <li>Participants were divided into 3 WGs to work on – A. Deforestation drivers &amp; underlying causes B. Forest degradation drivers &amp; underlying causes C. Barriers to forest carbon enhancement</li> <li>Drivers of D&amp;FD were analysed and prioritized</li> <li>Main barriers to forest carbon enhancement activities were also listed</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>SRAP, Mizoram</li> <li>Prioritization of drivers and barriers provided the basis for SRAP</li> <li>It defines the 'key challenges' for generating positive carbon, social and biodiversity outcome</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Results of priority drivers and barriers (to enhancement) identified for Mizoram State</li> <li>Direct drivers of deforestation: topographic factors, traditional farming methods, and limited livelihood options.</li> <li>Direct drivers or causes for forest degradation: shifting cultivation, forest fire, and fuelwood and NTFP collection.</li> <li>Barriers to enhancement: socio-cultural aspects and tradition, lack of economic resources, and topography.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Priority key challenges</li> <li>Participants' scoring system identified following 3 priority key challenges –</li> <li>1. Shifting cultivation (as a direct driver of deforestation and forest degradation)</li> <li>2. Forest fire (as a direct driver of forest degradation)</li> <li>3. Lack of adoption of settled agriculture (as a barrier to enhancement activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Development of Problem &amp; Solution Tree</li> <li>Identified key challenges provided basis for further analysis</li> <li>It helped in development of problem tree and solution tree</li> <li>On the basis of problem &amp; solution trees, REDD+ Intervention Packages (IPs) were identified</li> <li>These IPs form the basis for Mizoram SRAP</li> </ul>
Problem tree for shifting cultivation D	Problem tree for shifting cultivation FD tak of Avannee Unify Concerned at the for shifting cultivation, forest Degredation Unify Concerned at the forest billing cultivation, forest Degredation Abord Caccord Concerned at the forest billing cultivation, forest Degredation Abord Caccord Cac
Problem tree for forest fire FD weight in the for forest fire the forest begradetion weight in the for forest fire the forest begradetion weight in the forest fire the forest begradetion weight in the forest fire the forest begradetion weight in the forest fire the forest begradetion the forest begradetion	Problem tree for barriers to enhancement Socially acceptable arriculture findicent research for tortificater tortificater

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Strategies & outputs for IPs	Strategies & outputs for IPs							
<ul> <li>IP 4. Livelihood improvement :</li> <li>Imparting skill development programmes and trainings</li> <li>Improvement of land entitlement to forest dependent local communities (direct dependent users that depend on forest for bonafide livelihood needs)</li> <li>Improvement of supported cooperatives/selfhelp groups/micro-finances for livelihood</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IP 5. Forest fire control and management :</li> <li>Community capacity building and involvement in forest fire management</li> <li>Management mechanism for forest fire mitigation</li> <li>Boundary demarcation of government notified forest areas and community land</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Strategies &amp; outputs for IPs</li> <li>IP 6. Sustainable energy supply : <ul> <li>Improved supply of LPG and ICS</li> <li>Firewood supply for local communities</li> <li>Promotion of agroforestry and enrichment plantation</li> </ul> </li> <li>IP 7. Market linkages for agriculture : <ul> <li>Provision of financial and technical assistance</li> <li>Improved market access to cooperatives</li> <li>Market identified and linkage established</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strategies &amp; outputs for IPs</li> <li>IP 8. Improvement in land entitlements : <ul> <li>Land demarcation for agriculture, forest and agroforestry</li> <li>Effective implementation of land use policies and economic development programmes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
Proposed budget for 5 yrs.	WGs for SRAP							

S N	l. Depart- o. ment	Activity/IP	Proposed budget (Rs.in crore)
1	EF&CC	Creating habitat mosaic for biodiversity, forest fire control & sustainable energy supply	78.17
2	Agri.	Sustainable land management with cropping pattern , improvement of livelihood & market linkages	148.96
3	Horti.	Promotion of cash & fruit crops	23.08

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Visit of ICIMOD & ICFRE officials to Mizoram

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Team of stakeholders for SRAP

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Relevant State level stakeholders**

- **Government Institutions**
- 1. State Forest Department
- 2. Agriculture Department
- 3. Animal husbandry Department
- 4. Horticulture Department
- 5. Land resource, Soil and Water Conservation Department
- 6. Local Administration Department
- 7. Rural Development
- 8. Sericulture Department
- 9. Commerce and industries department
- **10.Public Work Department**
- 11.Revenue Department
- **12.Power and Electricity**

#### Stakeholders, Mizoram Contd...

- Non-Government Organisations

  Young Mizo Association
  Environment and Biodiversity NGOs
  Mizo Hmeichhe Insuihkhawm Pawl

#### Research and Academy

- FRCBR
- **Mizoram University**
- •
- ICAR –KVK CAU Veterinary and Horticulture College ZEDA-Zoram Energy development Agency
- MIRSAC-Mizoram Remote Sensing Application Centre
- RIPANS-Regional Institute of Paramedical and Nursing Sciences

#### Stakeholders, Mizoram Contd...

#### **Private Sectors**

- Bamboo and Cane industries
- **Teak planters Association**
- Commercial planters of -
- Tea
- Coffee
- Oil palm
- Rubber
- Arecanut
- **Broomstick & Horticultural crops** •
- · Wood based industries
- Charcoal and vinegar producers

Thanks

![](_page_28_Picture_40.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

<section-header><complex-block></complex-block></section-header>	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>		Saindin	g ba	ad P	omt	ap					
Fire	ines											
Year	Length(km)	SL	.no Hima	2010	2011	Total Area B	urned (in ha	) 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
2014-15	53		1 Mawphlang	2010	1.7	4	1.5	9.1	0.4	2.30	11 Nil	2018
2015-16	66.51		2 Nonglwai	3	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	.5
2013-10	00.51		4 Mylliem	Z.4 Nil	6.8 Nil	2.3 Nil	5	Nil	0.8	0.2	Nil	5
2016-17	88.5		s Pamsanngut	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
			6 Laitkroh	6	7	9	Nil	1.6	4	2	5	6
2017-18	68.84		7 Sohra	Nil	Nil	43	14	Nil	Nil	0.41	Nil Nil	1
Total	276.85		8 Mawbeh	35	75	30	40	107	Nil	Nil Nil	Nil	2
			Nongspung	Nil	3	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	1
			10 Nongkhlaw	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil			2
			Total	66.4	93.5	88.3	62.1	119.6	5.2	12.91	16	39.5
	VITY		2 2 2	Sil∨ ′ear 016 017 018	icul	tura	hl Ac	ctivi	ities H 40 20 20	a 00 00		
		İ										
		Home Based Nursery										
		Year					Units					
		2016					134					
		2017					125					
Home Based (600 Saplings	Nursery Per Unit)		2	018					54	4		

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

<ul> <li>Monitoring Activities Carried out (in Forestry)</li> <li>Monitoring of Fuelwood Consumption</li> <li>Monitoring of Charcoal Making</li> <li>Creating and Monitoring of Fire Lines</li> <li>Monitoring of Biodiversity</li> <li>Identification of Traditional Herbal Healers</li> <li>Monitoring of LPGs, Smokeless Chulas and Electric Rice Cookers</li> <li>Monitoring of Londslides area in the Quarrying site</li> <li>Monitoring of Home Based Nursery</li> <li>Monitoring of Tree Plantation</li> <li>Monitoring Drinking water sources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Plots</li> <li>10 Shannon index plots in the project area.</li> <li>90 temporary plots Plan vivo. 120 permanent plots and more will be added.</li> <li>6 Shannon index plot in the extension area.</li> </ul>						
<section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header>	Five Year Impact Survey Results Against Targets:         Khasi Hils Community REDP+ Project Indicators         Type of Indicators       Survey Result       Five year target -2016 & 2021         2011       2016       2016       2021         SHG Member in the family       29%       NA       Increase to 35%       50%         Money invested in Bank       48%       85%       Increase to 60%       95%         HH with Improved cook stove       14%       19%       25%       50%         Forest fire in the past year       74%       29%       Decrease to 50%       50%         Fuelwood collection rules in CF       30%       89%       Increase to 50%       75%         Hunting rules in CF       69%       98%       Increase to 90%       100%         Knowledge of the Federation       10%       75%       50%       95%         Attends CF Meetings       31%       72%       Increase to 75%       75%						
<ul> <li>Monitoring Activities Carried out (in Socio Economic)</li> <li>Formation &amp; Monitoring of Farmers Clubs</li> <li>Formation &amp; Monitoring of SHGs</li> <li>Monitoring of Fruits trees Plantation</li> <li>Monitoring on Poultry and Piggery</li> <li>Monitoring and Evaluating Micro Enterprises</li> <li>Identifying Progressive Farmers</li> <li>Identifying Entrepreneurs</li> <li>Monitoring on VKRs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Other Activities</li> <li>Revival of Sacred Groves</li> <li>Identification of Eco Tourism Spots</li> <li>Confluence of Herbal Healers</li> <li>Organising various trainings programme at project area in collaboration with Government and Private agencies.</li> <li>Skill training programme to various training centers.</li> </ul>						
Convergence Initiatives         Shade Net       Peaches         Image: Shade Net       Peaches	PROJECT AREA Landsat Twe XHI						

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><section-header>

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Glimpses of the Meeting**

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

Introduction of the members by Dr. D.J. Das, Member-Secretary

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

Shri V.R.S. Rawat, Expert Consultant, REDD+ Himalayas Project delivering his presentation

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

REDD+ Working Group members in the REDD+ Secretariat

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

Welcome address by Dr. R.S.C. Jayaraj, IFS, Director & Chairman

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

Dr. B.K. Tewari and Shri V.R.S. Rawat inaugurating the REDD+ Secretariat of the working Group

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

Dr. R.S. Rawat, Scientist In-charge, Biodiversity and Climate Change Division, ICFRE delivering a presentation on the broad theme

![](_page_45_Picture_14.jpeg)

Dr. B.K. Tiwari, NEHU explaining the prospects of REDD+ projects in North-East India

![](_page_45_Picture_16.jpeg)

Shri M.Z. Singson, Head, FRC-BR, detailing on the REDD+ pilot project in Mizoram

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

Experience sharing by Shri Tambor Lyngdoh, Member (Khasi Hills REDD+ project)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

Shri W.S. Manner, Member (Meghalaya) detailing on the REDD+ Meghalaya pilot project at Umket

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

Views shared by Dr. Lokho Puni, IFS, Member (Manipur)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

Views sharing by Shri Ravi Kumar, Member (Sikkim)

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

Release of RFRI Booklet on Toona ciliata

![](_page_46_Picture_11.jpeg)

Discussion amongst the Members of REDD+ Working Group

![](_page_46_Picture_13.jpeg)

Felicitation to Dr. B.K. Tewari

![](_page_46_Picture_15.jpeg)

Felicitation to Shri V.R.S. Rawat

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

Rapporteurs of the meeting

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

Group Photograph of Members and participants of the REDD+ Working Group Meeting

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)