

Impact of Land Use Change on Hydrology and Hydropower Production: A Case of Kulekhani Project, Nepal

by

Harshana Shrestha

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Masters of Engineering in
Water Engineering and Management

Examination Committee

Prof. Mukand S. Babel (Chairperson)
Dr. Damien Jourdain
Dr. Sangam Shrestha
Dr. Shahriar M. Wahid (External Expert)

Nationality
Previous Degree

Nepalese
Bachelors of Engineering
Tribhuvan University
Nepal

Scholarship Donor

Asian Development Bank - Japan Scholarship Program
(ADB-JSP)

Asian Institute of Technology
School of Engineering and Technology
Thailand
May 2013

Acknowledgements

It is my great honor to express sincere thanks and heartily gratitude to Professor Mukand S. Babel, for his continues support throughout my academic career in AIT. Without his valuable suggestions and encouragement this research would not have been possible. I am deeply thankful for the committee members Dr. Sangam Shrestha and Dr. Damien Jourdain for showing a deep interest in my thesis along with providing valuable suggestion. I owe deepest sincere thanks to Dr. Shahriar M. Wahid for providing me continuous guidance during the whole research period.

I am fully indebted to all of the WEM faculty members and staff for their kind support and inspiration throughout my study period. I also like to express a heartfelt thanks to my academic advisor, Dr. Damien Jourdain for guiding me at the beginning of my academic life at AIT.

This research was supported by the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), Nepal under its *Koshi Basin Programme, Phase I (KBP)* with funding from the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID). ICIMOD is a regional intergovernmental learning and knowledge sharing centre serving the eight regional member countries of the Hindu Kush Himalayan (HKH) region – Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal, and Pakistan. Aim of ICIMOD is to influence policy and practices to meet environmental and livelihood challenges emerging in the HKH region. Special thanks are due to Dr Shahriar M Wahid, who acted as an External Expert in thesis committee and supervisor while I was at ICIMOD, and Dr Arun Bhakta Shrestha, Dr Ramesh Vaidya, Dr Santosh Nepal and Dr Bhaskar Karky from ICIMOD, for their valuable guidance in the research.

I would also like to acknowledge staff and officials of Nepal Electricity Authority (NEA) for their kind support with good assistance in data collection.

A deep gratitude and thanks to all my classmates, for their kind support and good cooperation throughout my study at AIT. Sincere thanks to my friends Utsav Bhattarai and Bikesh Shrestha for continuous support throughout my study period in AIT.

I am highly indebted to Asian Development Bank-Japan Scholarship Program (ADB-JSP) for funding my study and research at Asian Institute of Technology.

Finally, I am proud of my family members. Their encouragement and support have always been with me. I want to dedicate my research to my beloved daughter Nispriha and husband Rajesh Sharma who gave me full of inspirations and moral support during my stay at AIT.

Abstract

Natural land has been disturbed by human activities in most of the places in the world, so has been the major issue Nepal. Hydropowers are one of the most affected services due to land use change in upland catchment. This study has tried to quantify basin flow and sediment yield due to land use changes and consequent effect on hydropower production and its revenue. In this study possible future land use change scenarios for conversion of forest, agriculture and barren land were developed by past trends. Hydrological model SWAT was developed for the simulating land use change scenarios. Model result for flow then simulated in reservoir simulation model, Hec-ResSim to obtained power output. Power outputs and revenue for scenarios were compared with the present cases.

Land use change by forest depletion with agricultural expansion has increased average annual flow and average annual sediment yield. Similar result was obtained for forest land converted to barren land. For increasing forest land over agriculture land has decreased both average annual flow and sediment yield. Seasonal flow has substantially increased during wet season (Jun-Sep) and decreased during dry season (Oct-May). Whereas, seasonal flow during both wet and dry season are reduced by agricultural land conversion to forest land. Similar results were resulted for sediment yield as well. Average annual power production has increased for the increased average annual flow and reduced for decreased annual flow. Sediment deposition in the reservoir has very less influence for the changed land use pattern. Further, there will be higher hydropower output revenue for increased annual flow due to forest decreasing and lesser revenue for forest increasing scenario.

Excessive high flows and high sediment yield during wet season can bring problem of flooding and sediment deposition in reservoir. Whereas, low flows in dry season can increase water scarcity. In both of the cases, power production may cause obstruction. Despite higher average annual revenue for forest decreasing scenarios, watershed management is recommended to avoid undesired power interruptions and to maintain constant supply. This can leads to maintain continuous power supply during all seasons as per requirements.

Table of Contents

Chapter	Title	Page
	Title Page	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Table of Contents	iv
	List of Figures	vi
	List of Tables	ix
	List of Abbreviations	x
1	Introduction	11
	1.1 Background	11
	1.2 Statement of Problem	11
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	12
	1.4 Scope of the Study	13
	1.5 Limitations	13
2	Review of Literature	14
	2.1 Land Use Change	14
	2.2 Impact of Land Use Change on Hydrology	14
	2.3 Hydrological Modeling	15
	2.4 Reservoir Sedimentation in Hydropower Dams	20
	2.5 Reservoir Sedimentation Studies in Kulekhani Hydropower	21
	2.6 Sediment Transport in Nepalese River	22
	2.7 Reservoir Simulation Model	23
	2.8 HEC-ResSim Model	24
	2.9 Payment for Ecosystem Services for Benefiting Hydropower	24
3	Study Area and Data Collection	26
	3.1 Study Area	26
	3.2 Data Collected	29
4	Methodology	39
	4.1 Methodology Framework	39
	4.2 Inflow to Reservoir Computation	40
	4.3 Developing Hydrological Modeling Using SWAT	40
	4.4 Land Use Change Scenarios	45
	4.5 Hydropower Generation by Hec-Ressim Model	47
5	Results and Discussion	49
	5.1 Past Land Use Change Analysis	49
	5.2 Inflow to the reservoir	50

Table of Contents

Chapter	Title	Page
	Title Page	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Table of Contents	iv
	List of Figures	vi
	List of Tables	ix
	List of Abbreviations	x
1	Introduction	11
	1.1 Background	11
	1.2 Statement of Problem	11
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	12
	1.4 Scope of the Study	13
	1.5 Limitations	13
2	Review of Literature	14
	2.1 Land Use Change	14
	2.2 Impact of Land Use Change on Hydrology	14
	2.3 Hydrological Modeling	15
	2.4 Reservoir Sedimentation in Hydropower Dams	20
	2.5 Reservoir Sedimentation Studies in Kulekhani Hydropower	21
	2.6 Sediment Transport in Nepalese River	22
	2.7 Reservoir Simulation Model	23
	2.8 HEC-ResSim Model	24
	2.9 Payment for Ecosystem Services for Benefiting Hydropower	24
3	Study Area and Data Collection	26
	3.1 Study Area	26
	3.2 Data Collected	29
4	Methodology	39
	4.1 Methodology Framework	39
	4.2 Inflow to Reservoir Computation	40
	4.3 Developing Hydrological Modeling Using SWAT	40
	4.4 Land Use Change Scenarios	45
	4.5 Hydropower Generation by Hec-Ressim Model	47
5	Results and Discussion	49
	5.1 Past Land Use Change Analysis	49
	5.2 Inflow to the reservoir	50

5.3	SWAT Model Calibration and Validation	51
5.4	Relationship of Rainfall, Runoff and Sediment Yield	57
5.5	Water Balance	58
5.6	Simulation Results for Land Use Change Scenarios	60
5.7	Gradual Land Use Change Scenarios	65
5.8	Hydropower Generation Results for different Scenarios	69
5.9	Value of benefit/lost revenue for power generation	71
Chapter 6	Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation	73
6.1	Summary	73
6.2	Conclusions	74
6.3	Recommendation	75
	References	76
	Appendix A (Inflows calculation, Elevation band for SWAT)	82
	Appendix B (Results from and use change scenarios)	85

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
2.1	Future Trends in Reservoir Storage (White, 2010)	20
2.2	Reservoir capacity loss and deposition variation curves (Source: NEA Report, 2011)	21
2.3	Sediment deposition in the Kulekhani Reservoir in respective survey year (Source: NEA Report, 2011)	22
3.1	Location map of the study area, Kulekhani watershed	26
3.2	Location of Kulekhani Hydropower stations (Source: Dhakal, 2011)	28
3.3	Reservoir Capacity Loss in terms of Sediment deposition in depth (Source: NEA Report, 2011)	29
3.4	SRTM-DEM of Kulekhani watershed	30
3.5	Soil distribution by USDA soil taxonomy	30
3.6	Soil distributions in Kulekhani watershed from SOTER classification	31
3.7	Mean annual temperature trend from 1981 to 2008	32
3.8	Monthly average maximum and minimum temperature of daman station from 1981 to 2008	32
3.9	Location of meteorological stations in the Kulekhani watershed	33
3.10	Mean monthly rainfall at different stations for 1984 to 2008	33
3.11	Cumulative precipitation in the year 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995 and 2000.	34
3.12	Land use map 1990 of Kulekhani watershed	34
3.13	Monthly reservoir level and energy generation in Kulekhani	35
3.14	Reservoir capacity curve of 1983 to 2003	36
3.15	Observed annual energy generation (1982-2008) (Source: NEA Report, 2009)	36
3.16	Average monthly energy generation (1982-2008) (Source: NEA Report, 2009)	37
3.17	Daily observed sediment yield for data period 5/6/2003 to 20/8/2003	37
3.18	Monthly average, maximum and minimum sediment yields over three months.	38
3.19	Daily observed sediment yield versus daily observed discharge (5/6/2003 to 20/8/2003)	38
4.1	Overall research methodology	39
4.2	Steps for calculating inflow to the reservoir	40
4.3	SWAT schematic diagram	41
4.4	Watershed delineation of Kulekhani watershed	42
4.5	Methodology for Hec-ressim model	47

4.6	Reservoir operation guide curve	48
5.1	Past land use change in Kulekhani watershed for forest and agriculture	49
5.2	Past land use change in Kulekhani watershed for bare land and water bodies	49
5.3	Mean monthly inflow to the reservoir	50
5.4	Monthly inflow to the reservoir for the period 1983 to 2003	51
5.5	Monthly streamflow calibration for the Kulekhani watershed	53
5.6	Monthly streamflow validation for the Kulekhani watershed	53
5.7	Goodness of fit for reservoir inflow and simulated flow for calibration period	54
5.8	Goodness of fit for reservoir inflow and simulated flow for validation period	54
5.9	Comparison between measured and simulated daily sediment yields for the calibration period	55
5.10	Comparison between measured and simulated daily sediment yields for the validation period	56
5.11	Goodness-of-fit for observed and simulated daily sediment yield for calibration period	57
5.12	Goodness-of-fit for observed and simulated daily sediment yield for validation period	57
5.13	Monthly distribution of rainfall, runoff, later flow, total water yield and ET presented in depth (mm) for the long term simulation (1987-2003).	59
5.14	Average Annual sediment yield, tons/ha	60
5.15	Average annual sediment yield from different sub basins	60
5.16	Average monthly flow for each scenario for simulation period of 1984 to 2008	62
5.17	Relative change in flow of scenarios w.r.t. total flow in the simulation period	62
5.18	Average monthly sediment yield of scenarios for simulation period 1984 to 2008	64
5.19	Relative change in sediment yield of scenarios with respect to total sediment yield in the baseline period	64
5.20	Annual average sediment yield for scenarios with respect to baseline period	65
5.21	Percentage of gradual land use change for 1984 to 2008	65
5.22	Average monthly flow plot for gradual scenarios	66
5.23	Average monthly flow for gradual land use change scenarios for 1984 to 2008	67
5.24	Average monthly flow for abrupt and gradual land use change scenario	67

5.25	Average annual sediment yield for gradual change scenarios	68
5.26	Average monthly sediment yield for gradual land use change scenarios	68
5.27	Average monthly sediment yield for abrupt and gradual land use change	69
5.28	Average annual power generation for scenarios for 1984 to 1992	70
5.29	Average annual power generation for scenarios for 1993 to 2002	70
5.30	Average monthly spilled volume of water from the reservoir	71
5.31	Average annual spilled volume for scenarios	71

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Overview of hydrological model for the application of land use changes	16
2.2	Recent SWAT applications	16
2.3	Year that current storage will reach critical sedimentation levels (Basson, 2010)	21
2.4	Sediment transport rates in some rivers in Nepal. (Jacobsen, 1997)	22
2.5	Sediment load in some of the major rivers of Nepal (Sharma, 2001)	23
3.1	Soil name and its distribution in the Kulekhani watershed	31
3.2	Meteorological data collected	31
3.3	Rainfall stations, its elevation and average annual rainfall	33
3.4	Land use in Kulekhani watershed	35
3.5	Hydropower data collected	35
4.1	Land use distribution with its area and percentage	42
4.2	Sensitivity ranking for flow and sediment calibration	43
4.3	Sensitivity ranking of different parameters for sediment	44
4.4	Land use distribution for scenarios	46
5.1	Past land use distribution in Kulekhani watershed	49
5.2	Average monthly inflow to the reservoir and statistics (1983 to 2003)	50
5.3	Fitted parameter value during calibration	52
5.4	Monthly stream flow calibration and validation results	55
5.5	Daily calibration and validation results of sediment simulation	56
5.6	Relationship between rainfall, runoff and sediment yield	58
5.7	Average annual water balance for the simulation period of 1987-2003	58
5.8	Long term monthly simulation water balance results	59
5.9	Percentage change in annual and seasonal flow over scenarios with respect to baseline period 1984-2008	61
5.10	Percentage change annual and seasonal sediment yield over scenarios with respect to base case for simulation period 1984-2008	63
5.11	Distribution of land use change for 1984 to 2008	66
5.12	Percentage change in annual and seasonal hydropower generation for the different scenarios with respected to base case 1984 to 2002	69
5.13	Average annual revenue for scenarios for period of 1984 to 2002	72