Chapter 1 – Advocacy: An Introduction

The Concept of Advocacy

This section reviews different definitions of advocacy and relates all definitions to the realities of the Hindu Kush-Himalayan (HKH) region. Past experience indicates that theoretical definitions do not make sense until they are connected to the practical realities of different communities. Based on this assumption, available theoretical definitions are reviewed and connections made with the real-life situation of the HKH region.

Advocacy is the process of raising voices in an effective manner in order to influence others. It is a process rather than a product. When advocacy is carried out to support or empower the marginalised, it is a means of gaining a better policy environment with implications for the implementation of policies, rather than an end in itself. The product of advocacy could be better policies or practices in communities. ‘Policy’ does not mean only those policies which emanate from the government, but also refers to those unwritten practices which have been taking place in communities for a long time. The empowerment of affected people is the ultimate destination of the kind of advocacy work that non-government organisations (NGOs), community-based organisations (CBOs), and people’s organisations engage in, in the hope that it would help the affected people to claim their rights in a sustainable manner.
There are various definitions of advocacy. Some selected definitions are presented below.

“Giving of public support to an idea, a course of action, or a belief”
Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary

The literal meaning of advocacy reflects a way of working that involves the public, engaging them to support a particular approach. This definition accepts the idea of a planned action rather than ad hoc efforts.

Considering the diversity of advocacy experiences and perspectives in different contexts, the Advocacy Institute (AI), which used to be located in Washington, USA, recognised that there is no single ‘right’ definition or approach to advocacy. The methodology that promoters use in their own context must be respected and shared among advocacy practitioners. Keeping this in mind and yet appreciating the need for a working definition, Advocacy Institute proposed the following working definition:

“Advocacy is the pursuit of influencing outcomes – including policy and resource allocation decisions within political, economic, and social systems and institutions – that directly affect people’s lives”
The Advocacy Institute
(The Advocacy Institute has since been reshuffled and relocated.)

The National Centre for Advocacy Studies (NCAS), Pune, India, felt the urgent need to stress that advocacy is not only for, but also through and with the people who are affected, and so stresses the people-centred nature of advocacy:

“Public Advocacy is a planned and organised set of actions to effectively influence public policies and to have them implemented in a way that would empower the marginalised. In a liberal democratic culture, it uses the instruments of democracy and adopts non-violent and constitutional means.”
National Centre for Advocacy Studies

This definition indicates that NCAS has identified a clear linkage between advocacy and a political system’s democratic process. In its literature, NCAS argues that an advocacy initiative must be at the centre of bridging, resisting, engaging, and strategising. Finally, the initiative must be able to create a force that will promote poor-friendly policies using the spaces within the system.

CARE International, an international NGO (INGO), that works in close collaboration with the US government and other national governments, has offered a definition that coincides with the kind of work it is involved in:
“Advocacy is the deliberate process of influencing those who make policy decisions”

CARE

It further defined the key terminologies used in the definition, as follows.

a) **Advocacy is a deliberate process:** It must be clear who you are trying to influence and what policies you wish to change.

b) **Advocacy influences those who make policy decisions:** It is not the same as ‘being confrontational’ or ‘shouting’ at the government. Advocacy is not restricted to policy makers who work for the government. Actors from the private sector or from civil society organisations also make policy decisions at their own levels.

Michael Edwards has brought up the issue of north-south relations when talking about rights. He defines the,

“Process of using information strategically to change policies, programmes, laws and behaviours that affect the lives of disadvantaged people.

The process of altering the ways in which power, resources and ideas are created, consumed and distributed at a global level, so that people and organisations in the South have a more realistic chance of controlling their own development.”

A group of participants from the eight Hindu Kush-Himalayan countries has defined advocacy in the context of the region as follows:

“Advocacy is an organised and democratic process of bringing social change by raising voices of the oppressed and influencing the policy and decision makers to ensure effective implementation for a better quality of life for all.”

(Group of ToT participants, March 2007)

A trainer for advocacy strategies says that advocacy is all about, “shaking and weakening the problem tree.” Olga Gladkikh, an advocacy trainer from St. Francis Xavier University, Canada, says that advocacy should be able to bring long-lasting solutions to selected issues. There is no ‘blueprint’ in advocacy. Advocates and activists need to be flexible, and exercise good judgement at all times.
Summary of all definitions

- Planned, organised and logical actions based on the contextual reality.
- A process seeking to highlight critical issues that negatively affect sections of the populace and have been ignored by some individuals or institutions.
- A set of actions with a determined vision of ‘what should be’ based on human rights and a constitutional framework.
- A process of amplifying the voice of the poor and marginalised to attain a fair and just society.
- A process of forwarding logical arguments aiming to influence the attitude of public position holders to enact and implement laws and public policies so that today’s vision can be translated into a future reality.
- A political process, although it remains above party politics and political polarisation.
- Action focusing primarily on public and social policies to have these policies implemented in good faith.
- A process that aims to promote social justice and human rights within communities.
- A collective effort to make governance accountable and transparent.

Finally, advocacy is a strategy to address the policy causes of poverty and discrimination. Advocacy therefore should aim to influence the decisions of policy makers through clear and compelling messages. There are four focus areas which should be targeted by advocacy strategies: (a) creating policies, (b) reforming policies, (c) ensuring that policies are implemented properly, and (d) increasing people’s empowerment. The assumption is that addressing the policy causes of poverty and discrimination by influencing the decisions of policy makers increases livelihood opportunities and increases the ability of people to claim their rights. As a result, sustainable impacts on large populations can be achieved.

When the concept of good governance came in as an influential idea in the development arena, advocacy became a means of promoting good governance at all levels. However, the concept of good governance is very vague, and is very often ‘slanted’ depending on the user of the term (e.g., the WTO, compared to a grassroots NGO), and finding a definite application of good governance is difficult. Advocacy, too, has become a concept with a wide range of connotations. Professionals facilitating the promotion of good governance through advocacy initiatives have begun to interpret advocacy differently. Some tend to include everything related to governance as an aspect of advocacy. Therefore, it is urgently necessary to differentiate between what is and what is not advocacy.
Extension work: Extension works target different aspects of the lives of marginalised communities. The main objective of extension in general is to provide people with certain information and skills related to different aspects of their livelihood. Extension work is therefore planned mainly to influence individual or specific community decisions and ways of functioning, but not the decisions of policy makers that affect many people at once.

Information, education and communication (IEC): IEC is carried out to change people’s mind-sets and consequently people’s practices at the individual level. For example, in the health sector IEC can be used to promote toilets, the use of condoms, and so on. However, advocacy works to create more far-reaching effects than this type of IEC campaign can hope to achieve. For example, an advocacy initiative could campaign to allocate more money to the health sector.

Information exchange with the government: The simple exchange of information among different institutions without a definite objective is not advocacy. If analysed information is given to a certain government agency with the objective of influencing specific policy decisions, this could be part of an advocacy initiative. Cordial relation-building with decision makers by any means is a foundation for advocacy.

Raising public awareness about certain programmes: Information dissemination to raise public awareness about certain institutions and their programmes is often carried out using different types of media. At present, websites are
commonly used for this purpose. This kind of information flow does not necessarily help promote an opinion on a certain issue. Advocacy initiatives also use such media to influence policy makers, with this difference that here the information flow focuses on a certain issue and helps promote a definite public opinion.

**Fundraising:** The primary purpose of advocacy is not fundraising for a specific agency. Sometimes, advocacy is necessary to influence decisions that are related to fund allocations. Advocacy of this type may lead to certain agencies receiving more funds than before. However, this added funding of a particular organisation/group is an unintended consequence, not the primary purpose of the advocacy effort.

**Watchdog role of different institutions:** The role of watchdog is taken up by some groups to safeguard the interests of certain members/groups of the public in order to prevent negative impacts. However, advocacy is generally carried out after something adverse has occurred. Thus the watchdog role is primarily a preventive measure while an advocacy initiative is generally a curative action. However, after a policy has been created the advocacy group may function to keep track of its implementation. This would of course be necessary in the next cycle of advocacy.

**Purpose and Objectives of Advocacy**

This section highlights some of the reasons for introducing the concept of advocacy to the development of the HKH region. The deprivation of mountain people in terms of access to decisions and policy considerations is considered the prime reason and is therefore the major focus for advocacy in mountain development.

Poverty alleviation is presently the prime agenda of all governments and most development agencies working in the HKH region. Despite this being at the top of their agenda and despite receiving massive monetary investments, poverty continues to increase in the rural areas of these regions/countries. Needs-based approaches to development have certainly brought positive changes to some extent, but lasting change has become a challenge for all. Development practitioners are now realising that innovative solutions are necessary to meet this challenge. Influencing policy decisions in favour of the poor could be an aspect of the multifarious efforts required to achieve lasting change.

**Purpose of advocacy initiatives:** Advocacy initiatives generally aim to promote the public good and attempt to bring about social justice in deprived communities. Advocacy seeks to use all available media, fora, and methods to bring issues of
public concern into the conscious agenda of those who make decisions regarding these concerns. The prime goal of advocacy is to reorient public policy towards enhancing the capacity of those who have a weaker voice in the existing political system. Therefore, the necessity for advocacy initiatives can be summarised by the following.

- The causes of poverty and discrimination stem from decisions made at both the household (micro) level and at other (macro) levels.
- It is not only the government but also various actors in the public and private arenas who contribute to livelihood insecurity and the violation of human rights.
- Only a wide range of programme strategies targeted at multiple causes, including policy causes, will lead to the desired impact.
- It should be assumed that policies are human-made and can be changed. Policies should not be taken as immutable, given by some super-human power. Advocacy is an effective tool to bring about policy change.

**Objectives of advocacy initiatives:** From a holistic viewpoint, various relatively powerful actors in private and public life contribute to livelihood insecurity and violations of human rights. A significant impact can be achieved by changing the practices of these powerful stakeholders. The ultimate goal of an advocacy initiative is to improve the livelihood and human rights of significant numbers of people by changing power relations. Advocacy targets policy makers and implementers at levels above the household. In particular, advocacy initiatives are carried out to meet the following objectives:

- facilitating social justice – gaining access and a voice for deprived groups in the decision making of relevant institutions;
- changing the power relations between these institutions and the people affected by their decisions – thereby changing the institutions themselves; and
- bringing a compelling and lasting improvement in people’s lives.

**Prerequisites for Advocacy**

By definition, advocacy is a process of raising the voice of otherwise voiceless people. The voiceless can raise their voice when there is an open or transparent system of governance that is run under democratic principles. This section highlights the conditions of communities that demonstrate the need for advocacy initiatives at all levels.

Advocacy is an effective means of achieving good governance at all levels. The concept of power decentralisation has identified certain ideal conditions that
can be applied as indicators for assessing the status of good governance in a society. These conditions explain the parameters that public and private institutions should keep in mind. Ordinary people as citizens of a country deserve the right to review whether or not institutions and individuals are following these parameters. To respect the rights of people is a major emphasis of a rights-based approach to development. If people determine that public and private institutions are not functioning in line with the ideal parameters that they are supposed to follow, they can raise their voice in a manner that is allowed within the constitutional framework. In other words, they can begin an advocacy initiative.

From this perspective, good governance, the rights-based approach, and advocacy initiatives are related to each other. Only a democratic system of government can really open the space for promoting good governance and a rights-based approach to development. Therefore, a democratic system moving towards attaining good governance at all levels of public life is the prime requisite for advocacy initiatives. In this context, the organisations that are willing to take part in advocacy initiatives must pay attention to some ground rules.

Advocacy is not a separate programme and/or an additional activity. You have to be able to embed advocacy into on-going programmes. If you think that your vision and mission are linked to the policy considerations of your state or country,
then attempting to influence changes in these policies needs to become part of your organisational vision. To take up advocacy as a working approach, certain elements would help, as indicated below.

**Gathering information about the policy that you want to change:** Before beginning any advocacy initiative, it is very important to understand the existing policies, practices, and mechanisms for policy enforcement and the key institutions responsible for policy change. Who are the persons responsible for making decisions? You have to carry out research applying various methods. Such research could use formal or informal methods, depending upon what issue you are taking up.

**Assessing risks:** Remember no advocacy initiative is risk free. However, you should be able to assess the degree of risk. The most important aspect is the political environment in which you are working. If you analyse the risk properly, there will be less likelihood that you will make a mistake which will cause hardship to you, your partners, and the communities that you are working with.

**Building strategic relations:** Remember that there are many organisations like yours in society. Policy change is normally not possible through the efforts of a single institution. A collective voice is stronger than a solitary one. Therefore, you must be able to build strategic relationships with other organisations.

**Establishing your credibility as an advocate:** This aspect is very much related to internal good governance. The organisation willing to take up advocacy must itself be following all the norms and conditions of good governance at the organisational level, and the people around the organisation must believe in you. Otherwise your credibility to represent the population that you would be advocating on behalf of will be severely compromised.

**Linking advocacy with organisational vision and mission:** It has already been mentioned that advocacy cannot be carried out in isolation as a separate
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**Credibility Checklist**

- Can you legitimately speak on behalf of the people affected by the issue?
- Are you politically neutral – have you gained the image of neutrality in the eyes of the community as far as political parties are concerned?
- Do you have enough information and expertise relevant to the issue?
- Do you have people who can effectively lead the movement that you are going to create on behalf of the community?
- Are you properly known and respected by the policy makers involved in the issue?
programme or activity. It has to be merged into the working strategy of the organisation.

**Maintaining focus:** Advocacy is not an easy job that can be performed in a short time span. It may take much time to get policy change on some issues. Therefore, you have to be able to maintain your focus on the specific issues over a lengthy period of time.

All the above parameters suggest elements of the foundation necessary to start an advocacy initiative on selected issues. These parameters will also give an indication of whether your ideas fit in with community priorities.

**The Concept of the Rights-Based Approach (RBA)**

A rights-based approach to development promotes justice, equality, and freedom and tackles the power issue that lies at the root of poverty and exploitation. To achieve this goal, a rights-based approach makes use of the standard principles and methods of human rights through social activism for development interventions.

Development is concerned with the distribution of resources and access to services in relation to health, education, social welfare, poverty alleviation, and income generation. Social and political activism mobilises people to claim the redistribution of power, enabling them to take the decisions that affect their livelihoods, for example, the redistribution of wealth between rich and poor nations through international support, changes in trade rules, the reduction of gender-based discrimination, and the redistribution of farmland.

Human rights are ensured with a set of internationally agreed legal and moral standards. Such universally agreed standards are largely absent in conventional development theory and practice. Rights-based programming holds the people and institutions that are in power accountable to the fulfilment of their responsibilities towards those with less power. This approach also supports rights holders in claiming their rights and involves them in political, economic, and social decisions taking place in society. This approach aims to increase impact and strengthen people’s capacity by addressing root causes. A rights-based approach to programming requires the following:

- **Working for a long-term goal** with a clear focus on people and their rights. This requires analysing problems, causes, and responsibilities at local, national, and international levels.
- **Working together** with other government and non-government agencies (and the private sector) to achieve commonly agreed-upon goals.
• **Ensuring equity and non-discrimination** even in cases of the worst rights violations by paying particular attention to the most marginalised people.

• **Strengthening the accountability** of duty bearers to ensure human rights at all levels. This should be achieved by changing laws; ensuring transparency in policy formulation and resource allocation; changing institutional rules and practices; and changing the attitudes and behaviours of the duty bearers.

• **Promoting participation** by supporting rights holders (adults, children, and civil society institutions) constantly.

A goal set within a rights-based perspective is different from simply a set of development targets. A rights-based goal (or vision) is directly related to the realisation of human rights. A rights-based goal is achieved when the rights of the people are fulfilled. Such a goal sets a common ground for different organisations and stakeholders working for the same cause. Without such a goal there is no guarantee that the programme will contribute towards realising the intended rights of the people. Organisations have to prioritise their own actions based on what needs to be done to realise the specific rights, on what others are doing, and in accordance with their own mandate, expertise, and skills.

### Relation of Advocacy to the Rights-Based Approach

The rights-based approach (RBA) to development has opened up a new avenue of perception of the causes of poverty and deprivation. It is a human rights dimension that has sensitised those working within the development paradigm. Sensitisation is very close to the heart of any advocacy effort. This section
highlights some of the commonalities of these two relatively new imperatives in the development discourse.

Rights-based approaches to development encourage us to pay more attention to the root causes of poverty rather than to the symptoms. Many people in the world are poor and various development agencies exist with different mandates and agendas for poverty alleviation. All of these agencies are offering their services in one way or another to minimise the suffering that poverty creates. However, the root causes of the suffering are often not minimised. Development efforts thus tend to be focused on the symptoms of poverty rather than the causes. The rights-based approach is a step that contributes to the elimination of the root causes of poverty. The basic thrusts of the rights-based approach are as follows.

- Understanding that human beings have inherent rights assured by international standards of human rights and country-level laws.
- Developing a programme focus on those individuals or groups that are disadvantaged due to discrimination and exploitation.
- Shifting the focus to issues that would previously have been considered as beyond them, and closely linked to power and politics.
- Empowering rights-holders to realise their rights and encourage duty-bearers to be part of the solution.
- Encouraging development agencies to be accountable to the people they work with.
- Refocusing development interventions at a variety of levels, not only at the household level.

When policy makers do not fulfil their responsibilities to others, advocacy initiatives can be instrumental in holding them accountable. Therefore, the RBA suggests to development professionals that the starting point of development interventions must be the recognition of people’s inherent rights. This approach does not, for example, want to break down long-running welfare distribution programmes, but proposes that everyone must think about people’s rights as well. Finally, development efforts should be able to empower people so they can claim welfare programmes in future, as this is within their inherent rights as citizens.

At the present stage of development, many professionals embrace everything as advocacy, but this confuses the issue by making the term ambiguous. The main issue is that a strongly felt need exists to evolve a culture of human rights within civil society rather than to rely exclusively on political rhetoric and judicial proclamations. A meaningful dissemination of human rights ideas at all levels of
education and ongoing training programmes for public officials is related to advocacy. We also need to acknowledge the limitations and constraints of government in implementing human rights in practical situations. Moreover, it is not realistic to believe that the state never violates human rights or that all actions taken by the state are justifiable.

The crucial task, therefore, is to orient all stakeholders towards people’s basic human rights, especially for the disadvantaged and disempowered sections of society. Politicians, who are primary stakeholders, must be made aware that no real development, no real sovereignty, and no real freedom will occur without a strong foundation of basic human rights. This requires re-prioritising the government’s agenda and the political will to involve all sections of civil society in rigorous action to this end.

When members of a community see their needs perceived as rights, they can claim these rights themselves. The problems of the community become secondary while paying attention to rights. The needs-based approach and the rights-based approach perceive development differently, as shown in Figures 1.1 and 1.2.

**Thrust of the rights-based approach:** The rights-based approach to development is a conceptual framework for the process of human development based on an international standard. The main elements of this approach are linkages to rights, accountability, empowerment, participation, and non-discrimination (see <www.unhchr.ch> for details).

A rights-based approach believes the following:

- People are made poor and marginalised by certain societal factors. These could be on the surface or could be invisibly rooted below the ground.
- The basic needs of communities have to be established as their basic human rights. This is the primary job of community-based organisations.
- Ordinary people suffering from different problems are the prime source of power for changing their destiny. Collective action is the most important instrument to help them claim their own inherent power.
- Communities themselves can find better ways of organising their lives. Outside support should be used to encourage their own suppressed capacity to be brought out.
- If something is good for their livelihood, the community will do it regardless of outside support. For example, if there are oranges in the garden, people will not wait to learn how to eat. They will start eating anyway.
- Development must be geared towards the marginalised claiming their rights, but this does not mean that there is no need to work towards fulfilling basic needs.
### Rights of communities
- Access to forest resources
- Protect forests around them
- Utilise forest products

### Issues
- Support for community organisation
- Raise awareness on forest laws
- Support for preparing protection plan

### Activities to attain rights
- Support for nursery establishment
- Arranging training on technical skills
- Support for fence and keeping watchmen

### Forest

### Water
- Support for source protection
- Support for irrigation canal construction
- Promote community organisations

### Poverty
- Unite people for source protection
- Raise awareness on irrigation
- Promote participation in planning

### Figure 1.2: An example of the rights-based approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Activities to attain rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- No nursery</td>
<td>● Support for nursery establishment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not enough technical</td>
<td>● Arranging training on technical skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know-how</td>
<td>● Support for fence and keeping watchmen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No fence and watchmen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not enough water</td>
<td>● Support for source protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No irrigation canals</td>
<td>● Support for irrigation canal construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Canals are broken</td>
<td>● Promote community organisations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Users are not united</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not enough capital</td>
<td>● Fund for communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No skills for account</td>
<td>● Conduct skills training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keeping</td>
<td>● Provide capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unproductive use of</td>
<td>● Start income generation activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limited capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 1.1: An example of the needs-based approach
“Human beings are at the centre of concerns for sustainable development. They are entitled to a healthy and productive life in harmony with nature.” (Principle 1 of the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992, see http://www.unep.org)

The Human Rights Council of Australia has given extensive thought to the relationship between human rights and development, and particularly to the work of inter-governmental aid agencies. The main concept is that “…human rights and development are not distinct or separate spheres and, therefore, the question is not how to identify points of actual or potential intersection but to accept that development should seen as a subset of human rights. The realisation of the importance of economic and social rights in the development process and the tendency of governments to ignore steps to their full realisation have led us to look closely at the precise actions needed to realise these rights. An essential aspect of the Right to Development is its emphasis on the centrality of the human person as a subject of the development process.”

Figure 1.3 shows the gradual changes in development paradigms over the past half a century. Some people use the term ‘evolution of development approaches’. If development approaches are viewed in a broad way from the Marshal Plan approach onwards, various changes can be noticed. The main message is that we need to look back at the activities that have been carried out in the past in the name of development.

The diagram presented here is one example of how to explain the paradigm shifts in development approaches. Other such diagrams can be made. However the rights-based approach must always be included in any model or diagram used. Moreover, in a training or awareness raising programme it will be very helpful to explain Figure 1.3 (or a similar model) by analysing a real-life situation or particular context familiar to the participants. The list of characteristics under each approach can be made more extensive. It should also be made clear that there is no claim that the rights-based approach is the only valid approach for all situations, and that the other two approaches are worthless. Welfare and reform programmes are still active and required.

**Social Inclusion**

If we look at Figure 1.3, there is a circle on social inclusion ‘hanging’ at the end of the rights-based approach which has several area overlaps. One of the reasons for this hanging circle is that the concept of social inclusion is coming up strongly in addition to RBA. Supporters of social inclusion argue that RBA alone will not be a pro-poor approach if it is not inclusive enough.
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Today

1950 ‘Development Approaches’

Relief Approach

Reform Approach

Rights Approach

Social Inclusion

- Provides welfare to poor people
- Provides services and goods directly
- Believes that outside agents must help those who are unable to help themselves
- Gets demands of community as a ‘shopping list’
- Believes that resource holders should make priorities
- Believes that ‘haves’ should support the ‘have nots’

- Provides knowledge, skills and awareness
- Gets participation from the community to run development activities
- Believes that people must change the way they run their own lives, with help from outside experts who know better
- Provides better technology and seeds for better production
- Welcomes partnership for development interventions

- Perceives that poverty, deprivation and backwardness are outcomes of social structures at local, national and international levels
- Believes that the basic needs of the poor are basic rights
- Focuses on policy, legal and social reformation to assist the marginalised to claim basic rights
- Makes strategies with deprived groups to empower them to participate in decision-making processes that affect their lives at all levels

Figure 1.3: The rights-based approach and the development paradigm
The Commission of the European Communities states: “Social exclusion refers to the multiple and changing factors resulting in people being excluded from normal exchanges, practices and rights of modern society. The commission believes that all community citizens have a right to the respect of human dignity.”

Social inclusion should be viewed as shown in Figure 1.4. It is a concept that demands the practice of inclusive democracy in all aspects of livelihoods. Social transformation theories argue that irrelevant aspects of life have to be changed to make them compatible with modern lifestyles. It is true that discriminatory cultures such as gender roles and division of work by caste are not fair according to the lifestyle ensured by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. However, someone else should not impose changes. Rather change should be started from within the same culture itself.

Figure 1.4: Social Inclusion

---

## Summary Sheet for ‘Advocacy: An Introduction’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepts</th>
<th>Some of the questions dealt with in this chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Concept of advocacy          | - What is advocacy in general? What are the definitions framed by different institutions? What are the core concepts of advocacy in the context of the mountains?  
- What are the myths of advocacy? Where do the demarcations lie between advocacy and other normal activities? |
| 2. Purpose and objectives of advocacy | - What is the basic purpose of advocacy? What is the basic purpose of advocacy in the mountains?  
- What are the objectives of advocacy?  
- What are the connections between objectives in our own organisational context? |
| 3. Prerequisites for advocacy    | - What are internal preparations needed before starting advocacy? What credibility should an institution have? Where is our own organisation in regard to the credibility checklist?  
- What could be the elaborated form of the checklist in its contextual basis?  
- What are the processes of assessing external environments? |
| 4. Relation to the rights-based approach | - What is the rights-based approach? What are the differences between the needs-based and the rights-based approach?  
- What are the core thrusts of the rights-based approach?  
- Where are the connections of the rights-based approach in advocacy capacity building?  
- What are the features of the contemporary shifting of development approaches? What are the connections between the rights-based approach and advocacy in the changing paradigm?  
- What are the areas of advocacy in the changing paradigm of development approaches? |