

TOPIC INDEX

CHAPTER 1

A

accessibility	1,6
afforestation	4,6
agencies	4
- implementing	4
analysis	2
assessment	8,13
- of sustainability	13
attributes	6,7,13
- biophysical	13
- institutional	13
- socioeconomical	13

B

'basic forestry goods' (BFGs)	3
benefits	10,11,13
- environmental	13
- social	13
biomass	6,8,9
- estimation	9
- productivity	9
- standing	9

C

checklist	9,12
class	13
community	2,3,5
composition	1,4,6
- ethnic	1,4,6
conflict	10
- resolution	10

conservation	2,5,6
constraints	1,6,10
- staff	1,6

D

data collection	5,11,13
- methods	5,7,13
Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)	7
Rapid Vegetation Assessment (RVA)	6,7,8
Resource Availability Appraisal	7
decision-making	2,12
Department of Forests (DOF)	2
development work	2
disputes	1,4,6
distribution	4,5,7
District Forest	1,4
- Office (DFO)	4
- Officer (DFO)	1

E

equity	1,6,12
evaluation	1,2,3
extension	5
externalities	13

F

framework	1,2
forest	1,2,3
- guards	1,6
- natural	8,11,12

- plantation	11,12	Management Office	4
- resources	2,3,13	key	4,5,6
fodder	3,5,6	- factors	4,5,6
fuelwood	3,5,6	- indicators	4,5,6
leaf litter	3,5,6		
timber	3,5		
- secondary growth	11,12	L	
- user groups (FUGs)	1,3,6	land use	7
formation	4,9,10	leadership	1,4,6
function	1,4,5	- local	1,4,6
identification	6,10,12	lopping	4
members	3,4,6		
performance	1,2,3		
selection	11	M	
structure	1,2,3	management	1,2,3
forestry	2,3,4	- forest	1,2,3
- community	2,3,4	- system	2,3,4
- user group (UG)	2,3,4	common property-based	
fragmentation	2,4	natural resources	2
- socioeconomic	1,6	- user group (UG)	2,11
		- watershed	2,4,6
G		mechanism	1,4,6
gender	4,13	- distribution	4
growth	6,8,9	- harvesting	4
		- incentive	1,4,6
I		- rule-enforcing	1,6
impact	1,3,4	membership	10,12
informants	10	methodology	1
- key	10	monitoring	5
inputs	5,6		
- project	5,6	O	
interventions	2,6,8	objectives	1,2,4
interview	4,7	observation tours	4
- semi-structured	7	operational plan (OP)	3,4,9
		- formulation	9
		- implementation	12
K			
Kaski	1,11		
- Pokhara	2,4,6	P	
Phewa Tal Watershed		Palpa	1,3,4
Dev. Programme		participation	1,2,3
(PTWDP)	1,5	personnel	4,6
Phewa Watershed		practices	4,13

pressures	2	- rapid	12
- market	2	- sample	7,9
- population	2	sustainability	1,2,6
projection	9,13		
- demand	13	T	
- supply	13	techniques	8,9
protection	4,6,8	- measurement	8
		- projection	9
Q		extrapolation	9
quadrate	8	regression	9
questionnaire	9,12	tenure	2,3,7
- close-ended	9,12	- land	7,13
- open-ended	9,12	- tree	3,13,14
- structured	12	termination	2,6
		- project	2,6
R		thinning	4
reforestation	1,2,5	training	4,5
regulations	4		
replicability	2,6	U	
research team	8,11	use pattern	5
resource	1,6,7	users	3,10
- availability	1,6,7	utilisation	6,8,12
- consumption	13		
- production	13	V	
- productivity	13	variables	2,3,4
rights	4	- biophysical	2
- violation	4	- dependent	4
role	1,6,9	'effect' factors	4
- of women	1,6,9	'impact' factors	4
rules	4,6,12	- exogenous	13
- trespassing	4	marketing	13
		- independent	4
S		'causal factors'	4
sample plot	8	'indicators'	4
species	4,8	- socioeconomic	3
- composition	8	- sociological	2
stands	12	- socio-psychological (PSVs)	3
stocking	8	vegetation	7,8
survey	4,7,9	composition	8
- formal	12	conditions	8
- historical	7	distribution	8

CHAPTER 2

A

approach	19,20,21
- community	20,21,22
arrangements	17,21,24
- social	17
formal	17
informal	17,24
awareness-raising	25

B

base	15
- institutional	15
benefit-sharing	21,27

C

committees	18,22,27
- <i>panchayat</i> -based	27
protection	27
- Village Development Committees (VDCs)	18,22
community	15,18,22
- forestry development	15,18,22
user group (UG)-centred	15,19
- forestry programme	18,25
composition	17
- caste	17
- ethnic	17
concepts	18,29
condition	17
- structural	17
conflicts	21,25
consensus	15,27
control	17,20,21
cooperation	17

D

decision-making	18,24,27
Department of Forests (DOF)	17,18,23

- line agencies	18
- staff	17,19,23
extension workers	19
law enforcement agents	19
dependence	27
- joint	27
District Forest	19,23
- office (DFO)	19,23
- officer (DFO)	18,22
diversity	15

E

economy	17
- subsistence	17
agriculture	17
enforcement	17,24
evolution	15,16,18
externality	25

F

factionalism	17
factors	16,17,19
- ethnic	16,17
heterogeneity	17
homogeneity	16,17
flexibility	15,27
forest	16,17,18
- common	16,18,24
- community	16,17,19
- degradation	21,24
- national	16,25
- natural	18,23
- products	16,17,19
fodder	21,27
fuelwood	27
timber	27
- <i>Panchayat</i> Protected (PPF)	18
- unprotected	16
- user groups (FUGs)	15,17,18
composition	17
consumers	25
formation	25

function	17,18	L	
managers	25	landholdings	17
members	19,20,24	- private	17
producers	20,25	level	16,19,24
- watchers	17	- department	24
community sanctioned	17	- institutional	24
government funded	17	- ministerial	24
forestry	15	- operational	24
- community	15	- policy	24
user group (UGF)	15		
framework	20,24,25	M	
- schematic	25	management system	15,17,18
performance	25	- forest	15,17,18
situation	25	Common Forest Resource	
structure	25	(CFRM)	22
G		communal	16
gender	16	community plantation	21
grazing	16,17,23	effectiveness	16,17,20
groups	20,22,27	formal	15
- established	27	incorporation of women's	
- organised	27	views	17
- social units	27	indigenous forest manage-	
household	27	ment system	
kinship group	27	(IFMS)	15,16,17
subgroup	27	lonely-net	15
I		resource	21,23,27
identification	15,25	size	16
implementation	21,27	traditional	17
incentives	17	unit	16,17
Institution	21,22,23	user group (UG)	17
- Common Property Resources		- livestock	17
(CPRI)	21,22,23	mechanisms	22
inter-community	17	- institutional	22
- relationships	17	methodologies	27
interventions	22	- CPR	27
- government	22	modalities	27
		- group management	27
		monitoring	27
K			
<i>kipat</i> (communal system of		M	
land tenure)	16	motivation	17

V	
variables	16,24,25
- performance	24,25
equity	16,25
transaction costs	25
variation	16
- pattern of	16
exclusion	16
lineage	16
management	16
residence	16

CHAPTER 3

A	
afforestation	31,38
agriculture	32,33,36
- average landholding	32,33,36
- <i>bari</i>	36
- crops	32,35,36
cash	32
food	32,36
- fallow land	36
- horticulture	36
- <i>kharbari</i> (thatch grasses)	36
- <i>khet</i>	32,36
- livestock	31,32,33
- productivity	34
- subsistence	33,34,35

C	
climate	35
- monsoonal	35
- rainfall	29,35
- temperature	29
community	37,38
consumption	33

D	
deficit	32,33,35
Department of Soil and Water	

Conservation	37
District Development Secretariat	32
District Forest Office (DFO)	32
- staff	32
F	
forest	31,32,33
- <i>chilaune</i> (<i>Schima wallichii</i>)	31
- community	31,38
- cover	31,35
- deciduous	31,37
- degradation	33
- density	31
- <i>katus</i> (<i>Castanopsis indica</i>)	31
- <i>khote salla</i> (<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>)	31
- <i>laligurans</i> (<i>Rhododendron arboreum</i>)	31
- Panchayat (PF)	31,38
- Panchayat Protected (PPF)	38
- pine	31
- plantations	32
- products	35
fodder	33,34,35
fuelwood	33,34,35
leaf litter	34
timber	34,35
- quality	31,35
- <i>sal</i> (<i>Shorea robusta</i>)	31
- shrubland	31
- subtropical	31
- traditionally managed (communal)	37
<i>Adhikari Ban</i>	37
<i>Kunwar Ban</i>	37
<i>Paudyal Ban</i>	37
- tropical	31
user groups (FUGs)	32,35
vegetation	31,36
forestry	31,32,35
community	31,32
user group	35

afforestation	41	disputes	45,49
analysis	39	District Forest Office	
attributes	39	(DFO)	41,43,47
- structural	39,46	- staff	41
B		E	
<i>bari</i>	46	evolution	39
<i>bhari</i> (approx. 25kg)	42,48,53	- historical	39
birta system	51		
C		F	
<i>charu</i> (firewood)	57	factors	39,46
committee	39,41,42	- internal	39
- cooperation	60	biophysical	39
- executive	45,47,49	socioeconomic	39
- forest management	39	features	55
- general	45,62	- key	55
- informal	43,47,61	forest	39,41,42
- main	49	- deciduous	60
- <i>Panchayat</i> Forest		- density	60
Protection	39	- development	50,62
- provisional	53	- guard	43,45,50
- school	58	- rotational	44,50
- secondary	49	- natural	45,47,51
- user group (UGC)	41,42	- <i>Panchayat</i> Protected	
common fund	48,53,60	(PPF)	43
compartments	42,45,47	- plantation	41,43,47
composition	41,56,60	- productivity	55,58,62
- ethnic	41,56,60	- products	50,51,59
<i>Brahmin</i>	41,53,56	bedding materials	55,59
<i>Chhetri</i>	47,53,56	composting litter	61
<i>Damai</i>	44	dry branches	59
<i>Gharti</i>	56	floor grasses	59
<i>Kami</i>	44,56	fodder	43,44,45
<i>Magar</i>	56,57	fuelwood	43,44,45
<i>Newar</i>	47,53,56	leaf litter	44,45,47
<i>Sarki</i>	44,56,62	non-timber products	61
consensus	49	thatch grass	59
conservation	41,55,62	timber	41,43,44
control	51,55,58	tree trunks	59
D		- resources	62
development	39	- user groups (FUGs)	39,41,42
- institutional	39	members	39,41,42
		structure	53
		- vegetation	51,54,60

shrubs	47	enrichment plantations	59
- watchers	43	harvesting	43,47,53
forestry	53,58,61	improvement cuttings	59
- community	58	pruning	43,45,47
- <i>Panchayat</i>	53	singling	43,55,59
		thinning	43,45,47
G		P	
<i>guthi</i> (temple trust)	45	Palpa	39,43,46
		- Palpa Development Project	
H		(PDP)	45,51
<i>heralo</i> (forest guard)	43,51,55	penalties	46,48,59
<i>Hom Puja</i> (religious ceremony)	57	- defaulters	62
		- fines	54,62
I		- offenders	42,48,62
issues	46	- violators	54
		Phewa Tal Watershed	57,60,62
L		- Phewa Tal Watershed Dev.	
Lake	57,62	Project (PTWDP)	58,59,60
- Phewa	57,62	plan	39,41,42
<i>lalmohariya</i> (empowered)	51	- management	39,42
leaders	45,46,53	- operational	41,43,44
<i>linga</i> (pole used for religious		<i>pradhan panch</i> (village head)	53
ceremonies)	53	pressure	39,49,57
		- grazing	41,43,48
M		controlled	41,50
management	41,46,47	open access	60
- activities	41,45,46	- population	39,57
- functions	50	practices	41,43,45
- objectives	41,46,47	protection	39,41,43
- process	60	- forest	39,53,57
- user group	57	- water sources	41,42,47
<i>manapathi</i>	43,57	- wild animals	41,59
		- volunteers	43,58
O		R	
operating procedures	41	Range Office	51,53
- meetings	41,49,62	Ranger	39,45,49
operations	43,45,47	reforestation	60
- silvicultural	51	regeneration	60,61
		regulations	41,42,50
		Ridge	57

- Sarangkot	57
River	54
- Kali Gandaki	54
rules	42,46,59
- forest management	59
lottery system	42,44,53
S	
soil conservation	41
- erosion	39,42,50
- floods	55
- landslide	41,42,55
- siltation	58,61
subsistence	44
system	53
- Panchayat	53
survey	46,57,58
- Baseline Socioeconomic Sample	46
- cadastral	47,57
- Key-informant	46
T	
techniques	46
- Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)	46
Tinau Watershed	
Project (TWP)	39,45
tree species	39,49,54
- <i>Alnus nepalensis</i>	57,60,61
- <i>Bauhinia variegata</i>	54
- <i>Bombax ceiba</i>	57
- <i>Castanopsis indica</i>	57
- <i>Ficus glaberrima</i>	61
- fodder	55
- <i>Litsea</i>	61
- <i>Lyonia ovalifolia</i>	57
- pine (<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>)	43,44,47
- <i>Prunus cerasoides</i>	60
- <i>Schima</i>	39,47,51
- <i>Shorea robusta</i>	39,42,43
- <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i>	55
- <i>Syzygium</i>	54
- <i>Terminalia</i>	54,55

U	
users	39,41,42

V	
variables	39,44
- functional	39

W	
waterfall	54
- <i>Simal Chhango</i>	54

CHAPTER 5

A	
agriculture	64,66,67
- average grain yield	66
- average landholding	63,66
- <i>bari</i>	66
- cropping intensity	66
- <i>khet</i>	63,66
- livestock	63,64,66
average number of livestock units (LUs)	63
grazing	68,71,72
stall-feeding	68
- <i>pakho</i>	63
- subsistence	66
analysis	63,76
attributes	64,67,69
- biophysical	69
- institutional	69

B	
beneficiaries	63
- target	63
benefit sharing	74
biogas	65,76

- | | | | |
|--|----------|--|----------|
| C | | | |
| characteristics | 63 | - deciduous | 77 |
| - socioeconomic | 63 | - guard | 72,75 |
| committee | 72,73,74 | - inventory | 68 |
| - executive | 74 | average tree density | 68 |
| - FUG management | 72 | total standing biomass | 68 |
| common funds | 72 | - <i>katus</i> (<i>Castanopsis indica</i>) | 68 |
| community | 66,71,72 | - land | 64,65,66 |
| compartmentalisation | 72 | availability per HH | 65 |
| control | 68,71,76 | per capita | 64,65,66 |
| | | - <i>Panchayat</i> Protected (PPF) | 69 |
| | | - plantations | 72 |
| | | conservation-oriented | 72 |
| | | scrub | 68 |
| | | wastelands | 68 |
| D | | - products | 68,74,78 |
| deficit | 65,68 | animal bedding | 68 |
| deforestation | 71,73,76 | farmyard manure | 68 |
| - causes | 71,73,76 | fodder | 65,68,72 |
| construction | 69,73,76 | fuelwood | 65,68,71 |
| fuelwood market | 71,76 | grasses | 72,77 |
| illegal use | 76 | leaf litter | 68,72,74 |
| lack of clear-cut management authority | 76 | non-timber | 76 |
| poor performance of DOF staff | 76 | timber | 68,71,76 |
| population pressure | 76 | - resources | 63,65,71 |
| - consequences | 71 | extent | 63 |
| degradation | 68,76,77 | nature | 63 |
| - land resources | 68 | - <i>sal</i> (<i>Shorea robusta</i>) | 68 |
| disputes | 79 | - user groups (FUGs) | 63,64,66 |
| distribution | 72,74,78 | formation | 69,77 |
| - product | 72,74,78 | function | 63,69 |
| District Forest Office (DFO) | 74,76,77 | members | 63,66,70 |
| Officer (DFO) | 74 | performance | 63,76 |
| | | structure | 63,69,72 |
| | | - user households | 66 |
| | | average family size | 63 |
| E | | forestry | 64,67,69 |
| equity | 63 | - community | 69,72 |
| | | - multiple use | 77,78 |
| F | | - user group | 72 |
| factors | 63,77,78 | concepts | 79 |
| - external | 63 | procedures | 74,79 |
| fencing | 72,73 | | |
| - social | 72,73 | G | |
| forest | 63,64,66 | grazing | 68,71,72 |

H		P	
hypotheses	74	Palpa	63,64,66
- descriptive	74	- Palpa Development Project	
- quantitative	74	(PDP)	69
- working	74	participation	63,77,78
		- local	77
		Phewa Watershed	63,66,67
I		population	63,65,66
incentives	71,76	- <i>Brahmin</i>	66
indicators	78	- economically active	63
- management	78	- Thapa <i>Magar</i>	66
initiatives	69,71,73	practices	72,77,78
- local	71	- broad-leaf based	78
- resource development	71	- multi-storey	78
inputs	63	protection	64,67,69
- external	63		
institutions	71,79	R	
- parallel	79	Ranger	74,76,79
- social	71	reforestation	71,73,77
		regimes	72
M		- harvesting	72
management system	69,72,78	- thinning	72
- forest	72,78	regulations	72
indigenous	69,72,78	requirement	65,68
sustainable	77	- feed	65,68
traditional	72	- fodder	65
- watershed	72	- fuelwood	65
mechanisms	72,77	rules	70,71,72
- enforcement	72	- access	72
- institutional	77	- <i>Panchayat</i> Forest	71
<i>manapathi</i> system	72	- penalty structure	72
O		S	
operational	66,70,73	soil erosion	68
- landholding	66	sources	66,68,76
- plan	66,70,73	- on-farm	76
operations	71,74	- private	76
- selective logging	74	- public	76
- singling	74	- water	68
ownership	71,77	supply	65,68,76
- tree	77	- fodder	68,76
		- fuelwood	68,76
		survey	66,70,73

- sample 66
- sustainability 63

T

- techniques 66,78
- random sampling 66
- test 78
- chi-square 78
- Tinau Watershed Project (TWP) 69
- trees 65,68,71
- conifers 77
- fodder 65
 - Artocarpus lakoocha* 65
 - Ficus lacor* 65
 - Ficus semicordata* 65
 - Garuga pinnata* 65
- fruit 65
 - lemons 65
 - mangoes 65
 - oranges 65
- fuelwood 65
- *Castanopsis* sp 65
- *Schima wallichii* 65
- hardy species 77
- multipurpose 77
- tools 74
- statistical 74

U

- urbanisation 71

V

- variables 63,74,78
- biometric 78
- external 63
- socio-psychological 63
 - attitudes 63
 - awareness 63
 - knowledge 63
 - skills 63

- perceptions 63
- specific 74

CHAPTER 6**A**

- access 94,100
- analysis 81,89,97
 - comparative 81
 - gender 89
 - sustainability 97
- attitude 85,87,88
 - free distribution of seedlings 88
 - technical capabilities of user group 87
- attributes 82,87,91
 - employers' 87
 - women members 91
 - age 91
 - caste 91
 - economic status 91
 - education 91
 - marital status 91
- awareness 82,83,85
 - assistance 82
 - objectives 82,83,84
 - community forestry 82
 - project 82
 - watershed management 82
 - role 82,88,89
 - rules 82,89,91
 - forest protection 82
 - tools 83
 - observation 83,85,92
 - training 83,84,85
- B**
- beneficiaries 82,83,84
 - target 82,83,84
 - characteristics 82,97
- benefits 86,87,96

- perceived	86,87
<i>bhari</i>	95

C

caste	91,96,100
- <i>Brahmin</i>	91,96,100
- <i>Chhetri</i>	91,96,100
- <i>Damai</i>	96
- <i>Kami</i>	96
- <i>Magar</i>	91,96
- <i>Newar</i>	96
- <i>Sarki</i>	96
causes	82,85
committee	84,87,90
- user group forestry (UGFC)	84,87,90
membership	90
mode of functioning	91
common fund	97
community	97,98,100
- leadership	100
conflict	88,89,100
- external	88
- internal	88
constraints	87

D

decision-making	88,89,92
demand	97,98,99
Department of	87
- Forests (DOF)	87
staff	85,86,87
- Soil Conservation and Watershed Management	87
disputes	97,98
- absence of	97
distribution	87,88,96
- resource	96
- unequal	87,96
dynamics	81
- social system	81
literacy rate	81,82

resource development strategies	82
------------------------------------	----

E

effects	82
- biophysical	82
- socio-psychological	82
equity considerations	81,96
evaluation	82,84,87
- criteria	84,89,97

F

factors	84,88,92
- motivating	92
forest	81,82,83
- common	97
- guard	101
- plantation	84
- products	83,85,89
fodder	83,85,86
fuelwood	83,84,85
timber	85,86,95
- resource conditions	81
average biomass reserve	81
average density	81
per capita forest area	81,96
availability	81,96,97
- resources	81,96,98
adequacy of	98
- size	100
- type	100
<i>chilaune</i>	100
<i>katus</i>	100
<i>sal</i>	81,100
- user groups (FUGs)	81,83,87
effectiveness	81,88,89
formation	90
function	88,89
structure	89
forestry	82,83,94
- community	82,83,94
- user group	83,84,92

H		- socioeconomic	96
<i>heralo</i> (forest guard)	92,93	non-dependence	97
holdings	100		
- <i>khet</i>	100	O	
- private	100	operational plan (OP)	90
homogeneity	97,98	operations	93
- ethnic	97,98	- silvicultural	93
		- harvesting	93,98,101
I		- thinning	93
identification	88,89		
impact	82,84,85	P	
implementation	93	Palpa	81,82,83
"Improved Cookstove Scheme"	87	- Palpa Development Project	
indicators	83,84,97	(PDP)	82,83
- biophysical	97,98	- Tinnau Watershed	82
sustained-yield concept	98	participation	81,82,83
- educational status	83	- factors	83,84
- equity	97	group	85
- gender	83	motivation	84,92
women's role	89,90	suitable planting sites	84
- socioeconomic	97,98	training and visits	84
inter-community	100	perception	82,85,86
- relations	100	practices	94,97,98
issues	94,95	- sustainable harvesting	98
- women's	94,95	prerequisites	86
		problems	93,95,96
K		- deforestation	87,96
Kaski	82,86	- shortage of basic necessities	96
- Phewa Tal Watershed Dev.		- soil erosion	96
Project (PTWDP)	82,83	protection	82,83,85
		proximity	100
M		- to local markets	100
management system	97,100		
- government	99	R	
- indigenous forest	97	record-keeping	93
closed to grazing	100	rules	91,97,98
- user	99	- allocation	97,98,100
- watershed	82,83	- boundary	97,98
monitoring	87,93	- conformance	97,98
		- penalty structure	83
N			
needs	86,96	S	
- environmental	96	sanctions	101
		- social	101

self-sufficiency	97	awareness	104
Siwalik Hill	81	knowledge	104,107
sources	99,100	participation	104,105,106
- private	99,100	perception	104
species	81,83,100	skill	104
- appropriate	83		
- preferred	94	B	
<i>Ficus hispida</i>	94	beneficiaries	103,104
<i>Ficus semicordata</i>	94	- project	103
litchis	94	benefits	111
<i>Litsea monopetala</i>	94	- economic	111
mangoes	94	- social	111
stall-fed	100	biophysical	104
supply	85,97,98		
sustainability	81,96,97	C	
- ecological	97,98	changes	104
- socioeconomic	97	- qualitative	104
		- quantitative	104
U		committee	105,110
user satisfaction	97	- user group (UGC)	105,110
		community	107,108,109
V		- structure	108
variables	82,86,96	type of	108
- demography	96	composition	104
- forest biomass availability	96	- ethnic	104
- patterns of energy use and		conservation	109,110,111
demand	96,97	criteria	111
- specific	82	- economic	111
traditional supply and			
demand analysis	97	D	
		Department of Forests	
CHAPTER 7		(DOF)	106,111
A		development	103,104,106
afforestation	110	- institutional	104,106
agro-ecosystem	103	discussion	103,104
attributes	104	disputes	106
- socio-psychological	104	- inter-village	106
attitude	104,111	District Forest	106,108,109
		- Office (DFO)	106,108,109
		staff	104,110
		- Officer (DFO)	110,111

E

efficiency	103,111
equity issues	106,111
evaluation	103

F

factors	105
- socioeconomic	105
availability (of alternative forests)	105
development (of alternate energy sources)	105
ethnic homogeneity	105
high literacy rate	104,105
small size (FUGs)	105
successful agriculture	105
fencing	107
- religious	107
forest	103,105,106
- Forest Act 1993	109
- biomass	103
- guards	106
- mid-hill	106,110,111
- national	111
- plantation	104,110,111
exotic species (pine)	104
native species (<i>sal</i>)	104
- policy	103
- products	106,107
fodder	105,107,108
fuelwood	106,107,108
- resources	103,107
common property	107
open access	107
- resource use equation	111
- <i>terai</i>	111
- user groups (FUGs)	104,105,106
function	107
structure	106,108,110
forestry	103,104,105
- community	103,104,109
- <i>Panchayat</i>	103
- user group	103,104,105

G

grazing	107
guarantee	110
- tenurial	110

I

implementation	104,106,108
indicators	107,108
INGOs	
- GTZ	103
- SATA	103
initiatives	110
institutions	109,111
- locally-evolved	109

L

labourers	103
- wage	103
leadership	108
- local	108
level	103,104,109
- district	103
- national	103
- of scarcity	109
- user	104

M

management systems	105
- community	103,106
- decisions	103
- indigenous forest	105
- inputs	104
- watershed	104
Master Plan	111

O

observations	103,104,109
operational plan	105,109,110
- contents	110
operations	110
- harvesting	110

- pruning	110	sustainability	106,111
- thinning	110	syndrome	104
organisation	106	- dependency	104
ownership	105,108,110	- self-reliance	104
- certificate	110		
provisional	110	T	
- land	108	<i>talukdar</i>	108
- tree	108	tenure security	108
P		U	
Palpa district	103,104,105	user	105,106,108
- Palpa Development		- responsibilities	105
Project	103	- rights	105,106,108
- Tinau Watershed		users	111
Project	103,109	- <i>de facto</i>	111
participants	103	- recognisable	111
- sponsored	103	usufruct	106
permits	106		
Phewa Watershed	103,104		
- Phewa Tal Watershed		V	
Development Project	103	variables	104
population pressure	107	- institutional	104
practices	104,109,111	- technical	104
property rights	108		
protection	104,107,109		
provisions	109	CHAPTER 8	
- legal	109		
		A	
R		access	113
Range Office	106	agro-ecosystem	116
rangers	103,109,110	allocation	113,122
- Assistant	110	analysis	116,117
regulations	106,107,109	- cost-benefit	116
role	106,109	- quantitative	117
- Government's	106,109	attitude	113,117,119
rules	104,106,108	awareness-raising	121
- legal action	107		
- monetary penalties	107	B	
- sociocultural sanctions	107	beneficiaries	119
		- project	119
S		benefit flow	121
sources	107	benefit-sharing	121
- alternative	107		
survival rate	111		

C		extension	120,121,123
capability	123		
- district level	123		
- indigenous management	114	F	
committee	115,120,122	factors	115,121
- user group (UGC)	115,120,122	- external	115
members	113,114,115	- internal	115
Common Property Forest		- participation	121
Resource Management		level	121
(CPFRM)	121	- political	115
- practices	121	- socioeconomic	115
- principles	121	fencing	122
community	115	- social	122
- members	115	field work	120
marginal farmers	115	- practical	120
occupational castes	115	forest	113,114,115
poor	115	- guards	112
women	115	- natural	118,123
concepts	118,119	- plantations	117,118
- 'multiple use'	118	community-managed	117
- 'multiple users'	118	enrichment	118
conclusions	113	natural regeneration	118
conflicts	115	traditional	114,117
conservation	113,120	- products	115,119
constraints	123	fodder	117,118,121
contacts	115	fuelwood	117,118,121
criteria	119	marketing	119
- selection	119	movement	113
cross-visit	119	non-timber	117
		processing	119
D		production	113,119
Department of Forests		utilisation	115,119
(DOF)	113,114,115	- secondary	118,120,123
- line agencies	115	- user groups (FUGs)	119
- staff	113,114,115	functions	121
discussions	115,120	members	115,116,120
distribution	115,120,121	performance	121
- equitable	115,121	- uses	113,116
District Forest offices (DFO)	119	forestry	113,114,115
dynamism	118	- community	113,114,115
- social	118	sustainable	115,117
		- conservation	113
E		- 'food chain'	116
evaluation	119,122	- production	113

- protection	113	M	
- user group (UGF)	114,116	management system	115
		- fixed quota	115
H		- forest	113,119,120
household (HH)	122	- integrated resource	116
- composite	122	- productivity	116,120
human resource	116,117,119	- protection	117,122
- improvement	117	'amanpathi payment'	122
- management	117	'rotation duty'	122
		volunteers	122
		sustainable resource	118
		Master Plan	115
		- guidelines	115
I		mechanisms	115
implementation	113,122	methods	117,120,121
incentive	115,120,121	- non-market valuation	117
information	118,120,122	mid-hills	123
- sources of	120	monitoring	122
inhibitions	117		
- religious	117	N	
- sociocultural	117	needs	115,116,117
international NGOs (INGOs)	117	- environmental	116
issues	113,114,117	- socioeconomic	116
- emerging	113,117	non-government organisations	
- gender	122	(NGOs)	114,117
- institutional	114		
		O	
K		operational plans	113,115,116
knowledge	115,119,123	organisation	114
		ownership	114
L			
lands	118,123	P	
- degraded	123	Palpa	115,117,118
legislation	120	Phewa	117,118,119
- UGF (Forest Act 1993)	120	- watershed management	
lessons	113,115,116	(WM) programmes	118
- economic	113,116	perceptions	117,119,122
- institutional	113	planning	114,115,118
- policy	113	policy	113,114,120
- social	113,115	- pricing	113
- technological	113,116	preferences	118
linkages	116	prerequisites	123

- | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| R | | T | |
| rangers | 113,116 | technologies | 116,117 |
| recommendations | 113,118 | - appropriate | 116 |
| reforestation | 117,120,123 | - management | 116 |
| regeneration | 118,123 | - silvicultural | 116 |
| resource base | 117 | tenure | 113,122 |
| rights | 114,122 | - tenurial rights | 114 |
| - constitutional | 122 | training | 120,121,123 |
| - customary | 122 | - aids | 120 |
| - land | 114,122 | audiovisuals | 120 |
| - trees | 122 | result demonstrations | 120 |
| | | - classes | 120 |
| | | on-the-spot | 120 |
| | | - kits | 120 |
| S | | - materials | 120,123 |
| 'social engineering' | 115 | - methods | 120,123 |
| solutions | 116 | | |
| - multi-disciplinary | 116 | U | |
| species | 118 | users | 120,121,122 |
| - agroforestry | 118 | - recognised | 122 |
| - broad-leaved | 118 | 'user satisfaction' | 116 |
| - multipurpose | 118 | usufruct | 114,122 |
| - pine | 118 | | |
| - timber | 118 | V | |
| structure | 120 | variables | 118,121 |
| - incentive | 120 | - social | 118 |