

Preparing for Flood Disaster

Mapping and Assessing Hazard in the Ratu Watershed, Nepal



About the Organisations

The **International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development** (ICIMOD) is an independent 'Mountain Learning and Knowledge Centre' serving the eight countries of the Hindu Kush-Himalayas – Afghanistan , Bangladesh , Bhutan , China , India , Myanmar , Nepal , and Pakistan  – and the global mountain community. Founded in 1983, ICIMOD is based in Kathmandu, Nepal, and brings together a partnership of regional member countries, partner institutions, and donors with a commitment for development action to secure a better future for the people and environment of the extended Himalayan region. ICIMOD's activities are supported by its core programme donors: the governments of Austria, Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Norway, Switzerland, and its regional member countries, along with over thirty project co-financing donors. The primary objective of the Centre is to promote the development of an economically and environmentally sound mountain ecosystem and to improve the living standards of mountain populations.

The **International Hydrological Programme** (IHP) is UNESCO's cooperative programme in water research, water resources management, education, and capacity-building, and the only broadly-based science programme of the UN system in this area. In existence for over 30 years now, IHP is committed to developing the science of hydrology at the service of society. Its primary aim is to draw together scientists worldwide in order to establish the scientific and technological bases for the rational management of water resources with respect to water quantity and quality.

The **Hindu Kush-Himalayan Flow Regimes from International Experimental and Network Data project** (HKH-FRIEND), is a regional network for hydrological research and data exchange. It is one of the eight research groups in the International FRIEND Project under UNESCO's International Hydrological Programme (IHP). The project evolved through a series of regional consultations initiated jointly by UNESCO, ICIMOD, and regional countries since 1989 and was formally established in 1996. ICIMOD has provided the Secretariat for HKH-FRIEND since its inception and also houses its Regional Hydrological Data Centre (RHDC). HKH-FRIEND works through collaboration and partnerships – national, regional, and international – and has received financial support from UNESCO/IHP; the German IHP/OHP National Committee; World Meteorological Organization (WMO); Federal Institute of Hydrology, Germany; Department for International Development (DFID) and Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), UK; and ICIMOD.

The data and information exchange will facilitate research on the hydrology and water resources of the Hindu Kush-Himalayan region and contribute towards improved management of water resources, such as flood forecasting, climate change impacts on water resources, hydropower development, and assessment of fresh water resources, and contribute towards sustainable development of water resources for poverty alleviation in the region.

Preparing for Flood Disaster

Mapping and Assessing Hazard in the Ratu Watershed, Nepal

Editors

Narendra R. Khanal

Central Department of Geography, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

Mandira Shrestha

International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal

Motilal Ghimire

Central Department of Geography, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal

International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD)

Kathmandu, Nepal

March 2007

Copyright © 2007

International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD)
United Nations International Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
All rights reserved

Published by the

International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development
G.P.O. Box 3226
Kathmandu, Nepal
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
UNESCO House
B-5/29, Safdarjung Enclave
New Delhi-110029, India

ISBN 978-92-9115-018-2

Photo credit: Women involved in flood disaster preparedness in the Ratu Khola
– *Mandira Shrestha*

Editorial Team

Greta M. Rana (Consultant Editor)
Joyce M. Mendez (Editor)
A. Beatrice Murray (Senior Editor)
Dharma R. Maharjan (Technical Support and Layout Design)

Printed and bound in Nepal by

Hill Side Press (P) Ltd.
Kathmandu

Reproduction

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. ICIMOD and UNESCO would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from ICIMOD or UNESCO.

Note

The designations employed, the presentation of material, and the views and interpretations in this publication are those of the author(s). They are not attributable to ICIMOD or UNESCO and do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or the endorsement of any product.

Study team for the Ratu Watershed

Tribhuvan University

Professor. Khadga Bahadur Thapa, Central Department of Hydrology and Meteorology: Coordinator

Dr. Narendra Raj Khanal, Central Department of Geography

Dr. Narendra Shakya, Institute of Engineering

Mr. Motilal Ghimire, Central Department of Geography

International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development

Ms. Mandira Shrestha, Coordinator

Technical team for field investigations

Mr. Deepak Poudel

Mr. Rishi Kesh Rijal

Mr. Chitra Prasad Acharya

Mr. Purna Bahadur Thapa

Mr. Yadav Subedi

Mr. Ripendra Awal

Mr. Ram Krishna Regmi

Contents

Foreword	ix
Acknowledgements	xi
Executive Summary	xii
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xv
Chapter 1: Flood Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability in Nepal: the Physical and Socioeconomic Environment	1
Introduction	3
Physical and Socioeconomic Environment of Nepal	3
Types, Magnitude, and Frequency of Natural Disasters in Nepal	8
Floods	10
Vulnerability	15
Mapping and Past Assessment Efforts	21
ICIMOD's Activities	23
Chapter 2: Mapping Flood Hazard and Risk in a Vulnerable Terai Region: The Ratu Watershed	25
Introduction	27
Objectives	27
The Study Area	28
Methodology	42
Results	52
Chapter 3: Preparing for Disaster, Reducing Risk, Building Resilience: Study Results and Recommendations	69
Introduction	71
Methodology	71
Results	74
Vulnerability	81
Chapter 4: The Larger Picture	93
Conclusions	95
Recommendations	96
Bibliography	99

List of Figures

Figure 1.1:	Nepal administrative divisions and ecological regions	4
Figure 1.2:	Nepal physiographic regions and drainage network	5
Figure 1.3:	Physiographic regions vis-à-vis major geological formations of the Nepal Himalaya	5
Figure 1.4:	Nepal distribution of mean annual rainfall	7
Figure 1.5:	Loss of life from different natural disasters in Nepal, 1983-2005	9
Figure 1.6:	Families affected by different natural disasters in Nepal, 1983-2005	9
Figure 1.7:	Estimated amount of property lost, 1983-2005	10
Figure 1.8:	Annual loss of life and property from landslides, floods, and avalanches	13
Figure 1.9:	Frequency of flood and landslide hazards and impact by district	14
Figure 2.1:	Map of the study area	29
Figure 2.2:	General geomorphology of the Ratu Khola Basin	30
Figure 2.3:	Topographic profile of the basin along a north-south cross-section	31
Figure 2.4:	Mean monthly temperature recorded at Jaleshwar, 1969-1996	32
Figure 2.5:	Mean monthly rainfall recorded at Jaleshwar, 1969-1996	33
Figure 2.6:	Mean monthly rainfall recorded at Tulsi, 1956-1996	33
Figure 2.7:	Longitudinal profile of the Ratu Khola and Jangha Khola	34
Figure 2.8:	River network traced from aerial photos and imagery at different periods	35
Figure 2.9:	A closer view of the river channel network, 1954-1999	36
Figure 2.10:	Channel width of the Ratu Khola measured at different cross-sections	36
Figure 2.11:	Land use and land cover types, 1996	39
Figure 2.12:	Population density by VDC	40
Figure 2.13:	Level of income by VDC	40
Figure 2.14:	Percentage of landless and marginal farm households by VDC	40
Figure 2.15:	Percentage of food-deficit households by VDC	40
Figure 2.16:	Samples of aerial photographs used for mapping	44
Figure 2.17:	Image interpretation using FCC, 432, and 751	45
Figure 2.18:	Delineation of flood-prone areas by local people during group discussion	46
Figure 2.19:	Flood-hazard mapping scheme adopting geomorphic approach	47
Figure 2.20:	Vulnerability and risk-mapping scheme	48
Figure 2.21:	One-dimensional floodplain analysis using HEC-RAS, GIS, and HEC-GeoRAS	50
Figure 2.22:	Process flow diagram for using HEC Geo RAS	51
Figure 2.23:	Flood-affected areas, 1978/79 aerial photos	53
Figure 2.24:	Flood-affected areas, 1992 aerial photos	54
Figure 2.25:	Flood-affected areas, 1999 Landsat TM imagery	55
Figure 2.26:	Terrain unit map	56
Figure 2.27:	Old river channel courses	58
Figure 2.28:	Moist areas and marshes	60
Figure 2.29:	Potential areas of river-bank cutting	61
Figure 2.30:	Composite flood-hazard map	62
Figure 2.31:	House units and built-up areas at risk from flood hazard	63
Figure 2.32:	Theoretical inundation due to flow intervention by a structure at the Indo-Nepal border	64
Figure 2.33:	Vulnerability and risk maps	65
Figure 2.34:	Two-year return flood with 50 and 100% flow	66
Figure 2.35:	Five-year return flood with 50 and 100% flow	66
Figure 2.36:	Flood-hazard maps: social and geomorphic approach	67
Figure 3.1:	Location of areas selected for the micro-level study	73
Figure 3.2:	Loss of life and property from flood hazards, 1961-2003	79
Figure 3.3:	Households with food sufficiency	83
Figure 3.4:	Money spent on various emergency measures	84
Figure 3.5:	Contributions made by various agencies/institutions for mitigation measures	85
Figure 3.6:	Flooded area versus water depth for different flood discharges	87

Figure 3.7: Discharge flooded depth and area	88
Figure 3.8: Evacuation route on hazard map for 160m ³ /s discharge	90
Figure 3.9: Evacuation route on hazard map for 180m ³ /s discharge	90
Figure 3.10: Evacuation route on hazard map for 507.52m ³ /s discharge	91
Figure 3.11: Evacuation route on hazard map for 1016.37m ³ /s discharge	91

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Average annual loss of life and property from natural disasters, 1983-2005	8
Table 1.2: Losses from floods, landslides, and avalanches, 1983-2005	12
Table 1.3: Losses from floods and landslides by ecological region, 1992-2001	14
Table 1.4: Socioeconomic indicators of Nepal and other countries in South Asia	18
Table 2.1: Maximum rainfall at Tulsi and Jaleshwar with various return periods	34
Table 2.2: Peak discharge at various sites along the Ratu Khola	37
Table 2.3: Area under different land-use and land-cover types	38
Table 2.4: Colour composites and individual bands of the LANDSAT Thematic Mapper and land features	45
Table 2.5: Areas by flood-hazard types	59
Table 3.1: Frequency of different natural hazards and their recurrence intervals	75
Table 3.2: Annual loss of life caused by different hazards	75
Table 3.3: Annual loss of property caused by different hazards	76
Table 3.4: Annual household income and losses among households in flood-prone areas	77
Table 3.5: Annual loss of life and property caused by flood hazards	78
Table 3.6: Average annual losses and damage	79
Table 3.7: Number of households exposed to water-induced disasters	80
Table 3.8: Land use in areas susceptible to flooding	81
Table 3.9: Percentage of households with different levels of vulnerability	82
Table 3.10: Area, production, and yield in the hazard-prone area	83
Table 3.11: Estimated cost of proposed emergency measures	86
Table 3.12: Estimated cost of proposed mitigation measures	86
Table 3.13: Rainfall events producing flood events	87
Table 3.14: Classification of relief routes, distance, and shelter areas for different localities	89

Foreword

The devastating impacts of floods on local Himalayan communities as well as downstream residents has been an enduring concern for both ICIMOD and UNESCO as well as our partners. Riverine and flashfloods cause more damage to lives, livelihoods, and infrastructure annually than any other natural calamities in the Himalayan region. This has led both ICIMOD and UNESCO to encourage regional collaboration in the study and sharing of mitigation measures on floods as well as river systems and the interaction between the mountain and plains that these rivers induce. One of the platforms that we have created to forge regional cooperation in the region is the HKH FRIEND. Since its inception in March 1996, HKH-FRIEND has been contributing to improve the understanding of the regional water resources and their behaviours in the HKH region.

The advancement in computer-aided and space-based technology such as geographic information systems (GIS) and remote sensing (RS) has proved very useful in studying and mapping the flood-hazards and developing measures that can be useful to the local communities as well.

This study documents the use of flood-hazard mapping as a way of helping communities to devise plans that would help them develop warning and response systems. This allows communities to prepare a management plan that will boost their resilience to mitigate damages and salvage their livelihoods to the extent possible. This is especially critical for the women and poor within these communities who are the ones to suffer the greatest and the ones with the least ability to recover their meager assets.

This study and flood-hazard mapping of the Ratu Khola watershed in Nepal seeks to encourage others to undertake similar work. The results obtained were of direct use to the communities concerned. More importantly, they illustrate an approach that can be used more widely.

This study has been published at a time when the HKH FRIEND has been put through an evaluation and efforts are underway to revamp and reorganise this regional set up.

ICIMOD and UNESCO-New Delhi were pleased to work together in this important project. We hope the study will not only save lives and livelihoods in Ratu Khola but also provide a basis for replication throughout the Himalayan region.

J. Gabriel Campbell
Director General
ICIMOD

Minja Yang
Director and Representative
UNESCO-New Delhi

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to UNESCO for providing us with both financial and programme support to carry out this work. Special thanks go to the MENRIS Division of ICIMOD for providing the satellite imagery. We would also like to acknowledge the help of the Department of Survey, Ministry of Land Reform and Management, Government of Nepal in providing aerial photographs and topographic maps, and the Forest Research and Survey Centre, Forest Survey Division, Government of Nepal for providing aerial photographs from 1953/54. Thanks are also due to the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, GoN, for making available climate data recorded at meteorological stations located within and near areas of the Ratu Watershed.

We would like to thank Bhanu Neupane, Regional Programme Specialist of UNESCO, for his comments and support. We would also like to express our sincere gratitude to Suresh Raj Chalise and Richard Heggen, who accompanied us in the field study and provided valuable guidance and suggestions. Thanks are also due to Mr. Pradeep Man Dangol of ICIMOD who helped in various capacities in the accomplishment of this study, and Xu Jianchu whose encouragement and guidance helped to make this publication possible. The valuable inputs of the Publications Unit is gratefully acknowledged, especially Greta M. Rana, Consultant Editor, Joyce M. Mendez, who oversaw preparation of the publication, Dharma R. Maharjan, who did the design and layout, and Asha K. Thaku, who helped modify many of the figures and maps. Last but not least, our heartfelt gratitude goes to all the local people who participated in the group discussions and provided us with valuable insights and information.

The Study Team

Executive Summary

Flood hazards, their impact, and the resilience of communities have been assessed here on three spatial scales – national at macro-level, watershed at meso-level, and village development committee/municipality at micro-level. At national level, the impacts from different environmental hazards have been assessed, whereas at watershed level the focus was on hazard, risk, and vulnerability mapping. The Ratu Watershed in the Central Terai (Mahottari and Dhanusa districts) was selected for mapping. At micro-level, the focus was on enhancing the resilience of local people to cope with and recover from flood hazard. Rajbas in the upstream area and Jaleshwar municipality in the downstream area were selected for micro-level activities. The study was coordinated by the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) with support from the United Nations Education, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), New Delhi, India.

Floods occur repeatedly in Nepal and cause tremendous losses in terms of property and life, particularly in the lowland areas of the country. Hence, they constitute the main hazard. Floods that cause substantial devastation in Nepal are triggered by five different mechanisms: continuous rainfall and cloudbursts, glacial lake outbursts, landslide dam outbursts, failure of infrastructure, and sheet flooding or inundation as a result of excessive rain, bank overflow, or obstruction to the flow from infrastructural development. Nearly 77% of the total losses caused by water-induced disasters – floods, landslides, and avalanches – occur in the Terai region where the main water-induced disasters are floods. An extremely rugged, diverse, and dynamic mountain landscape; the fact that the country is landlocked; inaccessibility; dispersed human settlements; and a high rate of territorial mobility among the population are the main causes of extreme physical and locational vulnerability to floods and landslide disasters. Moreover, socio-economic conditions characterised by a poor human development profile, low level of economic growth, mass poverty, and a great disparity in the distribution of productive assets and income, in addition to inadequate provision of services and lack of political stability, commitment, and accountability, increase the vulnerability to natural disasters and constrain appropriate response and augmentation of resilience to disasters.

Flood-hazard, risk, and vulnerability mapping in the Ratu Watershed was carried out through three different approaches: a geomorphic approach using a geographical information system (GIS) and remote sensing (RS), measurement of rainfall-runoff processes using the Hydrological Engineering Corporation's River System Analysis (HEC-RAS) model, and social flood hazard mapping based on local experiences. The main sources of information were maps, aerial photographs, selected imagery, household survey and group discussions, field

observations, and published and unpublished documents. GIS-based softwares, such as ArcView, the Integrated Land and Water Information System (ILWIS), and the Hydrological Engineering Corporation's River System Analysis [U.S.Army Corps] (HEC-RAS and HEC-GeoRas) were used for data processing and analysis.

Flood risk and vulnerability maps prepared for the Ratu Watershed show that nearly 18% of the area is in the high-risk category. Inundation-hazard maps show that a large part of the area in the south, near the Nepal-India border, is subject to extensive inundation even by floods in the two-year return category. A comparison of hazard maps prepared based on the three different approaches shows that GIS and RS are useful for mapping the flood hazard, risk, and vulnerability of a large area at watershed level.

Flooding, cutting of river banks, and shifting channels, are the most frequently occurring water-induced disasters in the lowland area of the Ratu Watershed. On average, nearly 8% of the total annual household income is lost as a result of floods. Nearly 61% of the households in the watershed are exposed to flood hazards, among them, 21% of the households are situated in high-hazard areas.

The risk of flooding and its associated processes, such as a rise in the river bed, cutting of river banks, and shifting channels, in the Ratu Watershed is great. Moreover, the risk of inundation has increased over recent years because of added infrastructure such as roads and bridges. This is the case not only in Nepal but also in the nearby border area in India.

Responses to flood hazards are confined to rescue and relief during flooding and some mitigation measures such as construction of dams, spurs, retaining walls, plantation, and drainage management. These activities are insufficient, on the one hand, and, on the other, there are no activities or programmes on flood preparedness. People in the locality realise the importance of incorporating components of watershed conservation and drainage management through proper land-use guidelines, income-generating activities, community-based early warning systems, and awareness creation in plans for watershed conservation. They also realise the need for a local institutional network to design and implement such activities.

An attempt was made to develop a community-based early warning system and identify safe evacuation routes and areas safe for shelter in order to improve the local capacity to respond and manage flood hazards during the second phase of the project. In this context, people were trained to read and record precipitation and discharge in upstream areas; and discussions took place on the use of maps of safe evacuation routes and shelters in downstream areas. The benefits of these efforts have yet to be realised through organising and networking to establish an early warning system and by creating awareness.

This publication is a summary of a detailed study on flood-risk and vulnerability mapping of the Ratu Khola using GIS methods. It is divided into four chapters. Chapter One describes the biophysical and socioeconomic characteristics of Nepal, discusses the types, frequency, and magnitude of losses from different types of natural disaster and their spatial concentration with special reference to flood disasters, and assesses the vulnerability to flood hazards in the country. Chapter Two describes flood-hazard and risk mapping in the Ratu Watershed. This chapter provides details of the project area and the methodology for and results of hazard, risk, and vulnerability mapping. Chapter Three gives an account of the assessment carried out on response and resilience in the context of hazard, risk, and vulnerability in the Ratu Watershed. Chapter Four covers the main findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AV-RAS	Arc View Extension for River Analysis System
DPI	dots per inch
DTM	digital terrain model
DWIDP	Department of Water Induced Disaster Prevention
FCC	false colour composite
HEC-RAS	Hydrological Engineering Corporation, River System Analysis, US Army Corps
IHP	International Hydrological Programme
ILWIS	Integrated Land and Water Information System
LRMP	Land Resources Mapping Project
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
MBT	Main Boundary Thrust
MCT	Main Central Thrust
RGB	red green blue
RMS	root mean square
TM	thematic mapper
TIN	triangular irregular network
UNDRO	United Nation's Disaster Relief Organization
WECS	Water and Energy Commission Secretariat
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
3D	three-dimensional

Currency Equivalent

US \$ 1 = NRs 73.69 (as of 10 September 2006)