2008/09 Winter Drought in Nepal

Crop and Food Security Assessment



Joint Assessment Report - May 2009

MINISTRTY OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATIVES



WORLD FOOD PROGRAMME



FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

2008/09 Winter Drought in Nepal

Crop and Food Security Assessment

Joint Assessment Report - May 2009



MINISTRTY OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATIVES





FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

2008/09 Winter Drought in Nepal

Crop and Food Security Assessment

HIGHLIGHTS

- The 2008/09 winter drought in Nepal was one of the worst on record; according to the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, rain monitoring stations across the country received less than 50 percent of average precipitation during the period November 2008 to February 2009.
- The winter drought had significant impact on crop production across Nepal. This assessment suggests a national decrease in wheat and barley production (the two major winter crops) of 14.5 and 17.3 percent respectively compared to last year.
- Despite a strong summer harvest, yearly crop production for 2008/09 resulted in a negative production balance of 133,000 Metric Tons of cereal (-2.5%) for all of Nepal.
- The poor crop harvest comes on-top of sustained high food prices for over a year; current year-on-year food price inflation is over 17 percent.
- Sixty-six percent of rural households surveyed as part of this assessment are already experiencing food shortages; the worst hit areas are in the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain Districts.
- It is estimated that in addition to current WFP programming, an extra 707,000 people are in urgent need of immediate assistance.

CONTENTS

1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

2. METHODOLOGY

- 3. EXTENT OF THE 2008/09 WINTER DROUGHT AND IMPACT ON CROP PRODUCTION
 - 3.1 Extent of the 2008/09 Winter Drought
 - 3.2 Impact of the 2008/09 Drought on Crop Production
 - 3.3 Impact of the Winter Drought on Annual Crop Production, 2008/09
 - 3.4 Tentative Outlook for the Maize Crop
 - 3.5 Summary of the Crop Situation

4. FOOD MARKET SITUATION

- 4.1 Food Market Overview
- 4.2 Market Prices & Purchasing Power
- 4.3 Market Supply

5. FOOD SECURITY SITUATION

- 5.1 Food Security Context
- 5.2 Current Food Security Situation
- 5.3 District Food Security Situation
- 5.4 People in Need of Assistance
- 5.5 Current WFP Assistance in Far- and Mid-West Hills and Mountains

6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ANNEX I - MAP 7 – CROP AND FOOD SECURITY ASSESSMENT, COMMUNITIES SURVEYED ANNEX II - DISTRICT LEVEL CEREAL PRODUCTION 2008/09 ANNEX III - CALCULATION METHOD FOR THE NUMER OF PEOPLE NEEDING ASSISTANCE

1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Socio-economic background: Nepal, one of the least developed countries in the world, is only recently beginning to emerge from a decade-long civil war. The progress has been marked by Constituent Assembly (CA) elections in April 2008 and the subsequent formation of a parliamentary government. However, there is still significant unrest within the country, fuelled by factors such as: poverty, food insecurity, and continued political instability – including the recent resignation of the Maoist Prime Minister from government. Food security is a major problem across Nepal, nearly 41 percent of the population are considered to be undernourished and nearly 70 percent of the rural population is classified as poor¹.

The global food crisis & Nepal: Nepal was particularly hard hit by the global food crisis and experienced steep food price inflation in 2007/08 as a result. Compared to other countries in the region, Nepal has not yet experienced the considerable price deflation which occurred across much of the region during late 2008 and early 2009. Official year-on-year food price inflation is still very high. In March this was 17.1 percent. In January 2008, 1.3 million people were identified by WFP Nepal as requiring urgent food assistance. Because of high food prices, this number had increased to 2.5 million by June 2008, and again to 2.7 million people by December. In addition, an estimated 3.7 million people had been identified as vulnerable to high food prices and at risk of needing aid to sustain acceptable levels of nutrition if prices increased or if reliance on purchased product increased.

Agricultural situation: Agriculture production contributes to nearly 40 percent of Nepal's GDP and employs two-thirds of the work force. However, only one-third of Nepal's agricultural land is irrigated which means that much of the agricultural output relies on favourable weather conditions, especially during the winter. The agricultural output growth is weak compared to other countries in Central Asia, and in recent years the rate has slowed. While the growth rate is still positive overall, it has not kept in-line with the population growth rate. In fact, since the 1990's Nepal has been a food deficit country, reliant on imports from India and other neighbouring countries. The Hill and Mountain regions are particularly food deficit and more vulnerable to drought.

The winter crop harvest: Following a record-setting paddy production of 4.5 million MT during the summer of 2008, the winter crop of 2008/09 was mired by a country-wide and extreme drought. Fifteen out of 35 precipitation stations set up across Nepal recorded monthly rain levels which either matched, or were lower than, the worst rain levels on record.² The impact of this on overall winter crop production has been severe. In April 2009, the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System issued an Emergency Alert forecasting 30-70 percent loss in the main winter crops (wheat and barley) across Nepal. Monitoring data indicated that household food stocks were already 20 percent below last year's level. Of high concern, was the potential impact on households in district's that also had a poor summer crop in 2008. This included districts such as Bajhang, Bajura, Dailekh, Rolpa, Humla, Mugu, Kalikot, Jumla, Dolpa, Jajarkot, and Rukum.³ The reasons for poor summer crop harvest in these areas included pest/disease, heavy or insufficient rains, and localized flooding/landslides.⁴ The Emergency Alert estimated that the possible affected population in rural areas could be over 2 million people.

¹ Nepal Living Standard Survey, 2003/04

² DHM "Dry and Warm Winter 2009"

³ Emergency Alert 2009

⁴Crop Situation Update Issue 9

Objectives of the 2008/09 Joint Winter Crop and Food Security Assessment: In response to the emerging critical food security situation arising from winter crop losses, the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives (MoAC) in cooperation with the UN Word Food Programme (WFP) and the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), undertook a rapid crop and food security assessment. The purpose of this assessment was to further analyze the loss of crops and impact on livelihoods. The objectives of the assessment were to:

- quantify the magnitude of the winter drought and its impact on crop production;
- gain a better understanding of the impact of drought induced crop losses on household food security;
- make recommendations for relevant short, medium and long term interventions by the government, WFP and FAO to address the problems and needs of vulnerable populations.

2. METHODOLOGY

The assessment methodology is based on national and district level analysis of the crop and food supply situation. It combines the national crop situation analysis method of MoAC together with crop and food security analytical methodologies used by WFP and FAO.

MoAC gathered initial information relating to the crop situation from District Agriculture Offices (through sampling crop cuttings from plots randomly selected within each district). This information was then ratified through a joint MoAC/WFP/FAO field assessment undertaken between the 18th -28th of March. Five teams were dispatched to each Development Region, in total, the teams covered 18 districts and visited 20 selected communities. The mission teams held district level meetings with various stakeholders to complete a standard community survey (see below) and also conducted at least one community meeting in each district. In addition, agricultural crop data was cross referenced with crop situation reports prepared by WFP field monitors through the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System.

WFP field monitors undertook a similar exercise in 77 communities and conducted household surveys covering 1,204 households in a total of 97 communities during the period 01st February to 15th May 2009. The communities surveyed are shown on Map 7 in Annex I.

The community survey tool was based on the Multi-Agency Initial Rapid Assessment (MIRA) tool that was developed by the Inter-Agency Standing Committee's emergency cluster system. It was adapted to better capture the likely impact of prolonged drought. The household questionnaire was similar to the quarterly checklist used by the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System to allow comparison with data collected in previous monitoring cycles (see Section 5). Household survey selection was conducted to best ensure even sampling across different food security phases and crop classifications.

The methodology for estimating the number of affected households and people in need of assistance is explained in *Section 5.4 People in Need of Assistance* and in Annex III.

Data on rainfall and extent of forest fires were provided by the Meteorological Department.

3. EXTENT OF THE 2008/09 WINTER DROUGHT AND IMPACT ON CROP PRODUCTION

3.1 Extent of the 2008/09 Winter Drought

The 2008/09 winter drought in Nepal was one of the worst on record; this was due to both significantly reduced levels of rainfall and the breadth of area impacted. According to the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, almost all of the 35 rain monitoring stations set up across the country, received less than 50 percent of average precipitation during the period November 2008 to February 2009⁵. In addition, 15 of the stations recorded monthly rain levels which either matched, or were lower than, the worst rain levels on record⁶.

Figure 1 shows rainfall for the previous 4 winters (November to February) across 8 selected precipitation stations and compares the rainfall recorded to what is considered the 'normal level'⁷. All stations received less than 50 percent of what is considered normal rainfall.

The graph also highlights the areas which experienced drought during the winters of 2007/08 and 2006/2007.



Figure 1 - Winter rainfall, November to February

⁵ Department of Hydrology and Meteorology "Dry and Warm Winter 2009"

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ The normal rainfall level is taken as the average rainfall for the period 1971-2000, 1973-2000, or 1983-2000 depending on the station.

3.2 Impact of the 2008/09 Drought on Crop Production

3.2.1 Winter Crop Situation Overview

The winter drought had significant impact on crop production across Nepal. Findings from this assessment, suggest a national decrease in wheat and barley production (the two major winter crops) of 14.5 and 17.3 percent respectively, when compared to last year. This constitutes a record low year-on-year decrease in production (see Figure 2). In addition, given the increase in population, this has created a near record annual deficit in supply vs. demand. The production in the Mountain, Hill, and Terai districts are reported to have decreased by 40 percent, 25 percent, and 10 percent, respectively.





3.2.2 Regional and District Level Winter Crop Production and Losses

The most troublesome food production areas were predominantly in the Hill and Mountain regions, with the Far- and Mid-Western areas the worst affected. These regions are also generally the most food insecure areas across the country. Production in districts with high reliance on rain-fed crops (minimal or no irrigation) were the worst affected. Particularly districts in the Mid-Western Mountains (50 percent average loss in wheat) Far-Western Mountains (46 percent average loss in wheat) and the Far-Western Hills (36 percent average loss in wheat). Map 1 shows the district level losses suffered and Table 1 shows the reduction in production compared to last year.

The next section discusses the regional and district level importance of the winter crop harvest in terms of annual crop production and food security.



3.2.3 Importance of the Winter Crop

The drought induced winter crop losses will have varying impact across Nepal. One of the most important factors in determining the impact of the loss, is the relative significance of wheat to the districts' overall crop production. Map 2 below illustrates this situation dividing the country into 4 categories: wheat as the main cereal crop (green), wheat as the second crop (yellow), wheat as the third crop (blue), and wheat as the fourth crop (white). This is based on wheat's total production area (in hectares) as a percentage of the total cereal (paddy, maize, wheat, barley, and millet) production area in the district. Table 1 below also provides an indication, based on the area of wheat and barley production alone. Areas that are likely to experience the largest impacts are those in Far-and Mid-Western Nepal, where wheat is primarily the first or second most important crop and large losses were experienced. Many of these districts experienced a 50 percent or higher loss in production because of the drought.

	WHEA	T (2008/2009	Prod.)	BARLE	Y (2008/20	09 Prod.)	WHI	EAT (% Cł	nange)	BARI	LEY (% Ch	ange)
DISTRICT	Area	Prod	Yield	Area	Prod	Yield	Area	Prod	Yield	Area	Prod	Yield
E. MOUNTAIN	7075	8147	1152	470	517	1100	-0.3	-26.1	-25.9	0.0	-4.4	-4.4
E.HILLS	27807	44257	1592	1383	1325	958	-0.3	-19.2	-18.9	-6.4	-11.4	-5.3
E.TERAI	80275	181279	2258	10	10	1000	-4.9	-11.3	-6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
E.REGION	115157	233683	2029	1863	1852	994	-3.6	-13.5	-10.3	-4.8	-9.5	-0.6
C.HILLS	50712	94451	1862	1557	1553	997	-2.7	-17.2	-14.9	-9.1	-13.2	-4.5
C.TERAI	152950	368386	2409	504	552	1095	-0.8	-8.2	-7.5	0.0	-12.0	-12.0
C.REGION	217934	481435	2209	2592	2679	1034	-1.5	-11.3	-10.0	-4.6	-13.0	-8.7
W. MOUNTAIN	910	1478	1624	510	640	1255	-0.5	-34.0	-33.7	0.4	-25.4	-25.7
W.HILLS	58589	85631	1462	3383	3544	1048	-3.9	-23.5	-20.3	-2.9	-11.6	-9.0
W.TERAI	79400	201962	2544	220	210	955	0.1	-5.2	-5.3	-12.0	-20.8	-9.9
W.REGION	138899	289071	2081	4113	4394	1068	-1.7	-11.7	-10.2	-3.0	-14.4	-11.7
MW. MOUNTAIN	13570	8669	639	6782	5585	824	-1.1	-49.6	-49.0	-4.8	-25.0	-21.2
MW.HILLS	71439	90935	1273	5317	4898	921	-1.1	-26.5	-25.7	4.4	-12.3	-16.1
MW.TERAI	47615	111187	2335	55	50	909	-1.3	-9.1	-8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MW.REGION	132624	210791	1589	12154	10533	867	-1.2	-19.9	-19.0	-1.0	-19.5	-18.7
FW. MOUNTAIN	17015	13864	815	3782	2699	714	-0.1	-46.2	-46.2	4.1	-19.8	-23.0
FW.HILLS	31071	27963	900	1153	914	793	0.1	-35.6	-35.6	2.5	-24.1	-26.0
FW.TERAI	42250	87055	2060	160	153	956	0.0	-12.4	-12.4	0.0	-10.0	-10.0
FW.REGION	90336	128882	1427	5095	3766	739	0.0	-23.5	-23.5	3.6	-20.5	-23.3
NEPAL:	694950	1343862	1934	25817	23224	900	-1.6	-14.5	-13.1	-1.1	-17.3	-16.4

 Table 1 - Winter Crop Production 2008/2009 and Percent Change Compared to 2007/2008



Map 2 - Relative importance of wheat in different districts

10

Table 2 shows the districts in the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain region of Nepal, where wheat is one of the primary three crops. The table includes the three major crops, listed by importance, and then also the percentage of crop loss in wheat. In many of these districts, maize is either the most important or second most important crop. This highlights an additional concern which was raised during this assessment - that the outlook for maize also seems generally poor in many areas because of poor germination and growth due to late rainfall. The harvest for maize is in from July to September depending on the altitude. The other main crop in these areas is paddy or millet, which will not be harvested until November, leaving many of these already impoverished districts in a potentially dire food security situation unless external assistance is received.

Region	District	Most important	Second important	Third important	Wheat crop loss (%)
Mid-Western Hills	Pyuthan	Maize	Wheat	Paddy	-29%
	Rolpa	Maize	Wheat	Paddy	-28%
	Rukum	Maize	Wheat	Paddy	-34%
	Salyan	Maize	Wheat	Paddy	-33%
	Surkhet	Wheat	Maize	Paddy	-13%
	Dailekh	Maize	Paddy	Wheat	-28%
	Jajarkot	Maize	Wheat	Paddy	-35%
Mid-Western Mountains	Dolpa	Wheat	Maize	Millet	-60%
	Mugu	Wheat	Millet	Barley	-35%
	Humla	Millet	Wheat	Barley	-56%
	Jumla	Maize	Millet	Wheat	-51%
	Kalikot	Wheat	Maize	Paddy	-49%
Far-Western Hills	Achham	Paddy	Wheat	Maize	-26%
	Doti	Wheat	Paddy	Millet	-33%
	Dadeldhura	Wheat	Paddy	Maize	-48%
	Baitadi	Maize	Paddy	Wheat	-33%
Far-Western Mountains	Darchula	Wheat	Maize	Paddy	-55%
	Bajhang	Wheat	Paddy	Maize	-31%
	Bajura	Wheat	Paddy	Millet	-55%

Table 2 - Importance of wheat, and loss experienced

3.3 Impact of the Winter Drought on Annual Crop Production, 2008/09

3.3.1 National Crop Situation 2008/2009

Due to increasing population and declining rates of agricultural growth, Nepal has been considered a food deficit country since the 1990's. Crop production varies significantly by region and district. In terms of geographic areas, the Terai is food surplus and considered the grainery of the country. The other two areas, the Hills and Mountains are both generally food deficit. Food production also varies longitudinally; the Central Region is the most fertile, followed by the Eastern Region, and then the Western Region. Crop production is very poor in the Far-Western and Mid-Western Regions and this area typically has the highest rates of food scarcity. Figure 3 on the following page shows the contribution of regional production to the total national production in 2008/09.



Figure 3 - Contribution of regional production to total national production

The 2008 national summer crop harvest was strong in Nepal. According to MoAC reports, paddy, maize, and millet production increased by 5.20 percent, 2.80 percent, and 0.50 percent, respectively. However, despite good summer crop production at a national level, some of the areas in the Far- and Mid-Western regions experienced significant crop impairment. This was due to a variety of factors, including: excessive summer rainfall, floods, landslides, strong winds, and crop diseases. The production of the main summer crops, maize and paddy, were reduced by 10-70 and 10-50 percent respectively, in some Far- and Mid-Western districts. This included: Humla, Mugu, Jumla, Dolpa, Dailekh, Rukum, Rolpa and Jajarkot.⁸

3.3.2 National Change in Crop Production 2008/2009

Table 3 shows the changes in crop production of the main cereal crops for the year 2008/2009. The following section will discuss the crop production balance by district. More detailed information is provided in Annex II.

In addition to cereal crops, the potato is a very important crop for both income and consumption in several districts of Nepal. While the overall yearly potato output saw an increase of 1.39 percent overall, this does not reflect the winter potato crop which declined in most districts nationwide; a total of 11 percent decline in winter potato crop production. This was varied by geographic region, with the Hills (16 percent) experiencing the largest loss, followed by the Mountain region (6 percent) and then the Terai (5 percent).

Particular vulnerable areas to potato loss are those where the winter potato is a larger percentage of their overall cultivated area. Makwanpur, Dolakha, Jumla, Mugu, Rasuwa, Taplejung, Sindhupalchowk, Bara, and Jhapa all were districts where potato was more than 10 percent of their cultivated winter crop acreage. Fortunately, most of these districts did not suffer significant potato crop losses; most with the exception of Jhapa (12 percent loss) and Bara (16 percent) had a better potato production than the national average, some even increased production, e.g. Rasuwa and Mugu both up by 2 percent.

However, given that winter potato is 8 percent of the national winter crop area, and its relative importance to some districts for both consumption and income purposes, it would be recommended in the future to include the potato in the list of staple crop production.

⁸ Crop Situation Update, Issue-9

2008/2009 National Crop Situation (Percent Change in Production From 2007/2008)						
	Paddy	Maize	Millet	Wheat	Barley	
E.MOUNTAIN	0.00	0.00	0.00	-26.08	-4.44	
E.HILLS	5.84	2.49	1.27	-19.17	-11.37	
E.TERAI	1.87	3.63	-0.13	-11.30	0.00	
E.REGION	2.44	2.33	0.88	-13.49	-9.48	
C.MOUNTAIN	8.36	6.78	6.65	-32.37	-13.29	
C.HILLS	-0.30	3.14	-1.88	-17.21	-13.19	
C.TERAI	3.16	2.09	-24.17	-8.22	-11.96	
C.REGION	2.55	3.39	-0.19	-11.33	-12.96	
W.HILLS	10.24	5.37	0.83	-23.47	-11.58	
W.TERAI	16.94	4.58	1.37	-5.20	-20.75	
W.REGION	14.31	5.31	0.83	-11.65	-14.36	
MW.MOUNTAIN	0.10	9.01	1.47	-49.59	-25.02	
MW.HILLS	5.18	-1.08	-2.84	-26.46	-12.35	
MW.TERAI	7.88	-2.58	0.00	-9.14	0.00	
MW.REGION	6.99	-0.99	-1.27	-19.92	-19.52	
FW.MOUNTAIN	0.00	0.00	0.17	-46.23	-19.79	
FW.HILLS	7.34	0.52	6.48	-35.57	-24.15	
FW.TERAI	0.07	-0.58	-7.89	-12.38	-10.00	
FW.REGION	1.19	-0.07	3.36	-23.53	-20.55	
NEPAL:	5.22	2.77	0.54	-14.52	-17.30	

Table 3 – Changes in crop production

3.3.3 National Cereal Crop Balance (Production vs. Demand)

In the Nepali calendar year, which corresponds to the western calendar year June 2008 – July 2009, 40 out of 75 districts will be considered food deficit districts. Overall, the Terai will remain food surplus, producing 11 percent more than it requires, or 287,000 Metric Tons. However, the other two geographic areas, the Hills (-14 percent deficit or -341,000 MT) and Mountain (-19 percent deficit or -68,000 MT) will both be deficit in food production. As a whole this results in a negative production balance of 133,000 Metric Tons of cereal (-2.5 percent) for all of Nepal (see figure 4).





3.3.4 Regional Cereal Crop Balance (Production vs. Demand)

Several districts in the Hill and Mountain regions will have more than a 30 percent production deficit (Map 3). The worst region is the Western Mountains (Manang and Mustang) with a -63 percent production deficit. Other major deficit areas are: Far-Western Mountains (-57 percent), Far-Western Hills (-57 percent), Mid-Western Mountains (-53 percent), and Central Hills (-43 percent). The Far-and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain districts are particularly food deficit; every mountain district reports food deficits. The Mid-Western Hills, despite having only a 14 percent production deficit, has several districts with significantly worse levels of production, including Rolpa (-45 percent), Pyuthan (-36 percent), Dailekh (-21 percent), and Jajarkot (-18 percent). Table 4 below, summarizes the overall food production balance in Nepal in 2008/2009.

DISTRICT	Projected Population 2009	Net Edible Production (MT)	Requirement (MT)	Balance (+,-)	Balance (%)		
Mountain	1914652	296510	365701	-69,191	-18.92		
Hill	12071464	2080755	2426366	-345,611	-14.24		
Terai	13819051	2783135	2501249	281,888	11.27		
Nepal Total	27805166	5160400	5293316	-132,914	-2.51		
Particular Food Deficit Regions							
C.HILLS	4462507	510460	896964	-386,504	-43.09		
W.MOUNTAIN	30616	2178	5848	-3,670	-62.76		
MW.MOUNTAIN	354880	31647	67783	-36,136	-53.31		
FW.MOUNTAIN	458228	37788	87522	-49,734	-56.82		
FW.HILLS	918082	78880	184535	-105,655	-57.25		

Table 4 - Food Production Balances 2008/
--



3.4 Tentative Outlook for the Maize Crop

Maize is the second most important crop in Nepal after paddy but it is the most important crop in the majority of Hill districts. Farmers plant this crop during mid March until the month of May, however due to delayed rainfall, plantation has been delayed this year. Based on interviews with farmers and communities it is expected that the production of maize may decline this year because of late rainfall, which resulted in poor germination during the planting season.

Maize is normally harvested between July and September, depending on the altitude. It is a very important food security crop, and often ends the lean period (June - August). If drought impacts this crop also, the food security situation can be expected to drop considerably. This will be on top of the already somber predictions made in this report following winter crop losses. This will be particularly so for many Hill populations, especially in the Far-and Mid-Western districts, where maize is one of the two most important crops along with wheat.

3.5 Summary of the Crop Situation

The poor winter crop heightens an already miserable crop and food security situation in the Far-and Mid-Western Hills and Mountains of Nepal. Many of these areas suffered a poor summer crop and were already significantly food deficit in their food production. Wheat and maize are the most important crops in these areas. The poor winter wheat crop and the negative outlook for the summer maize production will have significant ramification on the food security situation.



16

4. FOOD MARKET SITUATION

4.1 Food Market Overview

In periods of poor agricultural production, reliance on local markets for adequate food supply becomes critical. However, in the case of Nepal, the agricultural market system is defined by poor integration, frequent supply constraints, large price differentials in rural districts and complete lack of private traders in some Mountain areas. This is generally related to poor transportation infrastructure and high transportation costs in rural and remote areas. Compared to other countries in the region, these factors tend to exemplify the negative impacts on food security following periods of poor harvest.

The 2009 winter crop losses come on top of an already deteriorated food security situation in Nepal due to steeply rising food prices in 2007/ 2008 as a result of the global food crisis.

A detailed assessment of market prices, purchasing power and supply constraints is provided below. This is based on information provided by the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System, and is based largely on the output of the monthly Nepal *Market Watch* report (produced jointly by MoAC, WFP, Federation of Nepalese Chamber of Commerce and Industries (FNCCI) and the Consumer Interest Protection Forum (CIPF)).

There are strong reasons to believe that households in some of the worst affected districts, particularly in the Far- and Mid-Western Hill & Mountain areas, will not have sufficient purchasing power to procure sufficient food items and/or will not have access to adequately stocked markets to meet their consumption demand. It is likely that Nepal's poorly integrated market system will also result in prices increasing most steeply in the areas where local production has generally been most impaired – due to increased demand, with more people depending on the markets for their food access, and only limited increased supply.

4.2 Market Prices & Purchasing Power

4.2.1 Market Food Prices

It is the Far- and Mid-Western Hill & Mountain districts which typically have the worst functioning rural markets and will also face some of the greatest winter crop losses on top of already poor levels of food security. Recent analysis has shown that when compared to the Terai, the price of rice in the Western Mountain region is generally 177 percent higher, the price in the Eastern Mountain region is generally 123 percent higher, and the price in the Central Mountain region is generally 37 percent higher. Figure 5 highlights price differentials between Hill, Mountain and Terai markets and also shows the 18 month price increase between November 2008 and March 2009.



Figure 5 - Market Prices of Key Commodities; Nov 2008 and Mar 2009

4.2.2 Impact of Global Food Crisis & Continued Food Price Inflation

Nepal experienced particularly steep food price inflation in 2007/08 as a result of the global food crisis and has not yet experienced the considerable price deflation which occurred across much of the region during 2009. Compared to 18 months ago, the price of rice remains up by 19 percent, the price of mustard oil stayed up by 30 percent, and the price of musuro remains up by 37 percent.

Official year-on-year food price inflation is very high, in March this was 17.1 percent. Sustained high food prices in Nepal are largely a result of ongoing strikes and bandhs⁹ which are impacting supply and increasing transportation costs, relatively high fuel prices compared to global prices, a prevailing Indian trade ban on key food commodities, and reportedly anti-competitive behavior by traders in some rural markets.

The poor winter harvest will reduce supply in local markets (particularly those most isolated) and increase market demand across much of the country as more people will become dependent on markets for their access to food. This will likely continue the trend of increased food price inflation in Nepal. In addition, Nepal's poorly integrated markets will likely result in the most food deficit areas experiencing the sharpest increases. This unfortunately will further reduce the already low purchasing power of the poorest households in Nepal.

4.2.3 Household Purchasing Power

Household income reported in the household data of the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System collected during the months of January to March is shown in Table 5. The percentage of this income spent on food in rural areas is estimated below in Table 6. As can be seen, the ability of households to increase their expenditure on food when their harvests are poor is minimal due to already very high rates of expenditure on food items. In the case of the current situation, where the harvest is down by 50 percent or more in the worst affected areas, the majority of households will not have sufficient income to cover additional requirements to purchase food. This is particularly so, as it is the Far- and Mid-Western Hill & Mountain districts that have suffered the worst crop losses, and these districts are also generally classified as being amongst the poorest within Nepal ¹⁰.

Table 5 - Average household income

Area	Ave. Household
	Income Jan – March
Mountain	3,250 per month
Hill	3,560 per month
Terai	4,060 per month

Source: Nepal Food Security Monitoring System

Table 6 - Average share of household expenditure on food

Wealth category	Ave. share of expenditure on food ¹¹
Poorest quarter	73-78%
Lower middle	65 -70%
Upper middle	55-60%
Most well off quarter	40-45%

4.2.4 Debt Financing of Food Purchases

Due to sustained high food prices, normal seasonal food deficits, and other household shocks, the level of household borrowing is already very high. In the Mountain regions, over 80 percent of households borrowed money at least monthly in the first quarter of the year. In the Hill districts this was 75 percent and in the Terai area around 60 percent. While it is normal that the poorest households borrow money during lean periods, the current rates of borrowing are already concerning. Borrowing money to cover market purchases, or purchasing on credit, often comes at a high cost to households, and can often have strong livelihood flow-on effects. According to household data of the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System, the average household was being

⁹ A bandh is a forced closure typically organized for the purposes of political advocacy. In general, bandhs include the forced closure of roads and markets.

¹⁰ Small Area Estimation of Poverty, Caloric Intake and Malnutrition in Nepal, CBS Government of Nepal, WFP Nepal & World Bank, 2006

¹¹ Expenditure is typically used as a proxy for household income.

charged 14 percent interest on loans, and 20 percent of households were being charged more than 30 percent interest.

The already high levels of borrowing to cover food purchasing, and the often high interest rates, means that this is not a sustainable food security solution to cover consumption needs until the summer crop harvests which will start in September.

4.3 Market Supply

In addition to low purchasing power, in many remote areas of Nepal, poor market functioning results in supply commonly not meeting demand. Depending on the nature of the supply constraint, even major rural markets can be shut down for days or weeks at a time. Supply constraints can be caused by strikes or bandhs in the food producing area of the Terai (or anywhere on route to the final market destination), lack of trader access caused by natural disaster, seasonal stock reductions, or lack of trader willingness to reach certain remote areas. This is of particular concern, as the households worst affected by crop losses are typically in the more remote areas of the country; of particular concern is the Far- and Mid-Western Hills & Mountains.

In recent months, the market supply situation in Nepal has worsened in many rural areas. This has largely been the result of strikes and bandhs in the Terai. In March, nearly 40 percent of Mountain and Hill markets surveyed had insufficient or depleted supply of coarse rice and across Nepal only 40 percent of markets had sufficient cooking fuel supply.

A 13-day bandh organized by Tharus and other Janajati groups in the Terai caused particular market problems. The impact of this bandh included blocked supply and restricted trading for up to 13 days in the key Terai markets of Kailali, Banke and Parsa. This lead to serious supply constraints and food scarcity in many of the Mountain and Hill feeder markets including: Ilam, Dadeldhura, Baitadi, Bhajanj, Achham, Bajura, Salyan, Sindhuli and Udayapur.

5. FOOD SECURITY SITUATION

5.1 Food Security Context

With stagnating growth in the overall cereal production, increasing population numbers, continuing high food prices and ongoing drought conditions for the past several years, the overall food security status of Nepal is worrisome. More than one third of the population lives under the poverty line and about 40 percent do not have minimum caloric consumption required for a healthy life. According to the report, Small Area Estimates of Poverty, Caloric Intake and Malnutrition in Nepal published by the Central Bureau of Statistics, WFP and World Bank in 2006, there is large geographic variation in food insecurity. This variation is generally in line with variations in crop production between regions, as previously discussed. Generally, the Terai area is more

food secure compared to the Hill and Mountains. Similarly, Eastern, Central and Western Development regions are relatively more food secure than the Far- and Mid-Western regions. Populations in the Hill and Mountain districts of the Far- and Mid-Western regions are the ones which suffer most from food insecurity as seen by different indicators such as poverty incidence, population below minimum caloric intake, stunting and underweight. The situation in some districts is so severe that prevalence of stunting in children below the age of five is as high as 70 percent or higher. Food security of many households is further compromised by the lack of awareness in proper food utilization and sanitation.

Ability to purchase food, especially for the poor, has become significantly more difficult over the past 18 months, due to the steep rise in food prices, as outlined in the Food Market section of this report. The severity of this can be understood by a simple example – the poorest 20 to 25 percent of the population in Nepal spend on average, 70 to 75 percent of their income on food alone; so a 40

Box 2. Food Security Impact at the Household Level

Through the household survey, great insight has been gathered relating to the impact of the crop losses and consequences of high food prices at the household level. The following has been observed:

- 66% of rural households are experiencing food shortages
- 43% of households are skipping or reducing meals
- 30% of households in Hill and Mountain districts were forced to consume seed stock
- 23% of households took children out of school to work
- 73% of households in Mountain districts had a family member out-migrating

percent increase in food prices means that they have to spend all of their income on food just to maintain minimal levels of consumption. Indeed, during the second half of 2008 it was shown that up to 15 percent of households were enduring some entire days without food¹².

5.2 Current Food Security Situation

5.2.1 Current Food Security Situation in Nepal

As discussed, winter crop losses of up to 70 percent have been experienced in some districts, and the shortage in total national cereal production for 2008/09 is estimated at almost 133 000 MT. Despite a general strong summer harvest, this was not uniform and some of the worst affected districts by winter drought also experienced significant summer crop losses. On top of high food prices, the impact of crop losses on household food security has been severe in districts across Nepal – particularly those in the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain regions.

The food consumption score, which measures household food consumption, is a simple and useful proxy for measuring food security; a more frequent and varied food basket yields a higher score. Figure 6 shows the trend in the average nationwide food consumption score for each quarter since mid 2007. The national trend is concerningly close to the standard adequate nutrition borderline of 35 (considering it is an average). This graph demonstrates a decline in consumption in the later half of 2007 which corresponded to rising food prices and seasonal trends. An increase was then experienced in line with summer crop harvests, and now a decline has started. It is expected that this decline will continue and become particularly steep in the months ahead.

¹² WFP Nepal, Nepal Market Review 2008 and Outlook 2009



In addition to measuring declining food consumption, it is useful to measure the coping mechanisms which households employ to maintain consumption levels. Analysis of a well established WFP Nepal coping index¹³, demonstrates a strong relationship between food insecurity and coping mechanisms. That is, as food consumption declines the severity of coping mechanisms employed increases. This is shown in Figure 7 which depicts the coping strategy index. A clear upward trend in the use of coping strategies can be observed during 2008. The coping index is up by 11 percent in the first quarter of 2009 compared to one year ago.

5.2.2 Winter Crop Loss as the Major Cause of Food Insecurity

Two main factors explain the recent decline in the status of household food security. These are, the heavy crop losses caused by the winter drought and the continuing high food prices. More than 76 percent of households indicated these two factors as their primary shock/problem during the past 3 months. Crop loss is the most important of these two factors, and is pushing whole communities into more severe food security conditions.

The Nepal Food Security Monitoring System distinguishes 5 phases of food security. These are (1) generally food secure, (2) moderately food insecure, (3) highly food insecure, (4) severely food insecure and (5) humanitarian disaster¹⁴, based on a set of indicators and predefined threshold values. Table 7 shows the percentage of households that indicated drought as their most important problem by food security phase classification. Poor crop harvest is the most important concern for households across all phases, however high food prices are generally the most important concern in only the more food secure areas.

Table 7 – Most important household shock

	Most important shock (%)					
Phase	Crop Loss	High food prices				
1	39	22				
2	55	25				
3	64	7				
4	87	4				

Overall, 66.3 percent of the households claim that these shocks have caused shortage of food within their household. Figure 8 shows the percentage of households who report a food shortage by food security phase. More than 90 percent of households in phase 3 and 4 reported a food shortage.

¹³ This index combines a set of coping strategies, such as for example, borrowing, eating less and less preferred food and/or selling assets, into a single index. The higher the coping index the more frequent a household makes use of various coping strategies. Particular severe coping strategies receive a higher weight-age in the calculation of the index.
¹⁴ No area is currently being classified as phase 5 in Nepal and therefore in the remaining of this section the analysis only includes four food

¹⁴ No area is currently being classified as phase 5 in Nepal and therefore in the remaining of this section the analysis only includes four food security phases.



5.2.3 Food Security and District Food Stocks

Food stock at the household level, at the community level or at the market or some organizations such as Nepal Food Corporation dealing with food supplies, can be useful indicators of local food security. While the household food stock is described in the following section, an attempt is made here to describe the current stock of food at the district level based on data from the district questionnaire.

The food stock at the local market has significant geographic variation in Nepal depending on the accessibility and integration with the market networks. Terai markets with a good road access and linkage to main production area of food grains are generally well integrated and the main regional markets are located in this region. Thus the food stock is usually large in these markets. The food stock in the Hill markets depends on the relative accessibility and its location in relation to flow of commodities from producers to consumers. In the markets which are well connected with roads, the stock at a given time may not be critical since the food grains can be supplied from regional markets relatively quickly. But it is in the inaccessible markets in the Hills and Terai which are not yet connected by road, where the stock of food commodities could be significant for the food security of the population.

As can be expected, the district survey showed that the average of rice and paddy available through millers and traders in the Terai districts was found to be relatively high at an average of 7300 MT in the district. Though this number is likely to be far from precise due to inherent problem in knowing the actual stock of private traders, it does give an indication of relative abundance of food in these markets for the local people. On the other hand, the average food stock in the markets of Hill and Mountain districts has been found to be 558 and 125 Mt respectively. This shows that availability of food in the market poses a significant problem in many Hill and Mountain districts even if people have money to buy. The situation is particularly worrisome in the most food insecure districts such as Bajhang, Bajura, Humla and Mugu where there is almost no food stock at all available in the market locally (less than 5 Mt of rice in the market in the whole district!). The stock of wheat and maize is still much less compared to rice since they are traded in much smaller volumes in the market. There is some rice available at the Nepal Food Corporation mostly at the district headquarters, but the quantity is very little compared to the needs of the population and for many households the access to this rice is also very difficult due to their remoteness and inability to purchase this rice even at subsidized rate. Thus survival of many poor households in these districts depends on whatever meager stock they have at their own home unless they have some other way out to acquire food.

5.2.4 Food Security and Household Food Stocks

The average level of household food stocks supports the claims of household food shortages. Table 8 shows the total quantity of cereal in stock at the household level and from this calculates the number of months that an average household has sufficient access to staples. This has been calculated based on an average household size of 6 and a daily requirement of 500 grams per person. Households in phase 4 have sufficient food in stock for only 2 more weeks (from early May) while households in phase 3 have sufficient for about 8 weeks.

Phase	rice	paddy	wheat	wheat flour	maize	millet	barley	buck wheat	potato	total (kg)	Self- sufficiency (no of weeks)
1	80.1	261.3	44.3	3.8	49.8	19.9	1.2	0	21.5	481.9	23
2	29.1	58.9	26.5	2.9	48.5	37.3	2.3	1.7	11.3	218.5	10
3	31.6	42.6	23.1	2.3	42.2	15.8	2.8	0.3	3.7	164.4	8
4	7.4	0.7	13.2	1.4	11.2	0.5	1.3	4.4	4	44.1	2

Table 8 - Average quantity of food product in stock (Kg)

5.3 District Food Security Situation

5.3.1 Food Security phase classification Map

Although Section 3 showed that crop losses were substantial in the Hill and Mountains across the country and as such affected large numbers of households across Nepal, their food security status in most cases seems to be marginally affected. This is in part due to the good summer crops and the relative importance of wheat and barley in the overall crop production of the area. The exceptions are the households in the Hill and Mountains of the Far-and Mid-Western regions. Here, the failing crop situation has resulted in high to severe food insecurity. Map 5 shows the updated food security phase classification as prepared by the District Food Security Networks of the Nepal Food Security Monitoring system. Many of the districts in the Far- and Mid-West are currently classified as highly (phase 3) to severely food insecure (phase 4). An overview of what this means in terms of coping mechanisms and food consumption is provided below.



5.3.2 Detailed Food Security Situation in Selected Districts

Eastern & Central Regions: no serious food security concern

Eastern Region: In **Taplejung, Panchthar** and **Jhapa** the main winter crop (wheat) decreased by 21%, 15%, and 16% respectively. However, there is no serious food security concern as Taplejung and Pachthar districts earn billions of rupees each year by exporting cardamom outside of the district. This income is shared well among locals through well functioning co-operatives. These regions also had a relatively good summer crop harvest.

Central Region: In **Rasuwa, Nuwakot** and **Makwanpur** wheat production decreased by 7%, 9%, and 26% respectively. However, in Rusuwa, potato is the main crop, and production was very good, reaching 35,000 Mt. for a total population of 50,000. In addition, Nuwakot earned considerable income through remittances and from the sale of vegetables, fish, and strawberries to Kathmandu. Likewise, Makwanpur district also earned a substantial income by selling vegetables. However, some 10 VDCs in the Hills towards the north-west edge of this region are experiencing moderately impaired food security due to a decrease in potato production. This situation might worsen if the outlook for the main summer crop of maize does not improve.

Western Regions: moderate food security concern

Western Region: In **Kapilbastu, Arghakhanchi, Gulmi, Baglung,** and **Myagdi** received virtually no precipitation between mid October and mid April (> 6 months). Hence the main winter crop (wheat) decreased in Kapilbastu by 7%, Arghakhanchi by 37%, Gulmi by 43%, Baglung by 15%, and Myagdi by 16%. Lack of rainfall and disease, also decreased the production of potato, in Kapilbastu by 14%, Arghakhanchi by 50%, Gulmi by 25%, Baglung by 50%, and Myagdi by 19%. Consequently this led to a sharp increase in market food prices in some of these districts. For instance, in a 3 month period the price of potato went up by 47% in Arghakhanchi and Baglung. And by 157% in Kapilbastu. However, in terms of overall food security, the situation was only moderately impaired in these areas. Kapilbastu is a major rice producing district, and the summer paddy production was up by 23% in 2008/09. In most of the VDCs in Arghakhanchi people have alternate sources of income to access food, such as: remittances, coffee production, wage labour, and/or GoN work opportunities. Similarly, in Gulmi approximately 50% of households have at least one member out-migrated in military service. Households in this region also typically keep food stocks of rice from Terai areas (Kapilbastu, and Rupandehi). In Baglung and Myagdi the majority of the population depends more heavily on the summer harvest of maize

and paddy, which was good in 2008/09. There is also good income from remittances, tourism, herb collection, and wage labour.

Mid-Western & Far-Western Regions: high to severe food security concern

Mid-Western Region: In Mugu, Dailekh, Surkhet, and Banke wheat production declined by 35%, 28%, 13%, and 1% respectively. However, some areas have been very severely affected – particularly in Mugu, and Dailekh. For instance, in Dailekh the wheat production was impaired by >70% in 24 VDCs¹⁵, by 50-70% in 22 VDCs¹⁶ and by 30-50% in 5 VDCs¹⁷. In Mugu, crops were impaired by 50-70% in 11 VDCs¹⁸, and by 30-50% in 5 VDCs¹⁹. In these areas income opportunities are also highly limited or often not available at all, therefore many households are facing high to severe levels of food insecurity. Already 8 VDCs in Dailekh (Dwari, Kalika, Jagannath, Katti, Salleri 5-9, Kasikandh, Chamunda, and Sigaudi) are severely food insecure. In addition, 14 VDCs in Dailekh (Bindyabasini, Paganath 1-4 & 8, Awalparajul, Malika, Khadkabada, Padukasthan, Layatibindrasaini, Tilepata, ChhiudiPusakot, Meheltoli, Raniban, Bansi, Toli, and Rakamkarnali), and 16 VDCs in Mugu (Mugu, Dolphu, Kimri, Pulu, Mangri, Ruga, Photu, Jima, Kalai, Natharpu, Bhie, Dhainakot, Hyanglu, Kotdanda, Shreekot, Sukhadhik) are highly food insecure.

The food security situation in Surkhet and Banke is not so critical due to good summer crop harvests, local wage labor opportunities, remittances, and easy access to food markets.

Far-Western Region: In *Kailali, Dadeldhura*, and *Bajhang* wheat production decreased by 15%, 48%, and 31% respectively. In Kailali, the worst affected area is the north where wheat production has decreased by 20-40% (Sahajpur, Nigali, Khairala, Mohanyal, Pandaun, and Sugarkhal). In this area there are limited employment opportunities and households are facing moderate food insecurity. This will likely turn into high food insecurity towards the end of June when current household food stock deplete. In Dadeldhura and Bajhang, there were high losses of wheat production, crop impairment was >70% in 30 VDCs in Bajhang²⁰. Similarly, 150-70% of wheat crop was lost in 16 VDCs in Dadeldhura²¹ (about half of the district's area in the north-east). In these districts employment opportunities are limited, household food stock is low, and remittances provide only minimal additional income. Therefore, the food security situation has become precarious in some areas. Some 20 VDCs in Bajhang and 11 VDCs in Dadeldhura are highly food insecure. This situation will likely worsen from May onwards as the small household food stock deplete.

¹⁵ Bisalla, Kasikandh, Baluwatar, Dwari, Tilepata, Sigaudi, Chamunda, LayatiBindrasaini, Padukasthan, Raniban, Bansi, Kalika, Toli, Salleri, Meheltoli, Bindyabasini, Rum, Pagnath, Jagannath, Katti, Awalparajul, ChhiudiPusakot, Malika, and Khadkabada (Dailekh) 16 Pipalkot, RakamKarnali, Singhasain, Tolijaisi, Lakandra, Sattala, Jambukandh, Kusapani, Bhairikalikathum, Badalamji, Rawatkot, Dullu, Gamaudi, Bhawani, Kharigaira, Badakhola, Gauri, Baraha, Dandaparajul, Lalikanda, Chauratha, and Naumule (Dailekh) 17 Nepa, Kalbhairab, Seri, Goganpani, and Piladi (Dailekh)

¹⁸ Ruga, Photu, Jima, Natharpu, Kalai, Dhainakot, Hyanglu, Kotdanda, Shreekot, and Sukhadhik (Mugu)

¹⁹ Mugu, Dolphu, Kimri, Pulu, and Mangri (Mugu)

5.3.3 Food Security Phases and Food Consumption / Coping Mechanisms

Table 9 shows the current coping index and the food consumption score by food security phase classification. Consumption intake deteriorates drastically in areas of severe food insecurity. The average food consumption score in these areas is just above the very poor threshold of 21. Similarly the use of household coping strategies increases sharply with households in phase 4 having a coping index more than 3 times as high as households in generally food secure areas of the country.

Phase	Food consumption score	Coping index	Explanation of Coping Mechanisms Employed
1	45.7	16.8	Traditional coping strategies that are part of the normal livelihood strategy, i.e. migration, wage labor, selling of non productive assets, and consumption of wild food.
2	38.7	20.4	All of the above and changes in regular food consumption, i.e. reduce quantity and/or quality of food, less preferred food, borrow money or food.
3	37.8	27.7	Skipping meals and adoption of irreversible coping mechanisms, i.e. sale of productive assets such as livestock, land and/or seed.
4	21.9	53.4	High dependence on wild foods, adoption of high levels of irreversible coping mechanisms such as final sale of productive assets (livestock, tools, land) and less conventional methods such as looting.

Table 9 - Consumption score and coping index for each food security phase classification

5.4 People in Need of Immediate Assistance

5.4.1 Methodology for Estimate of Population Affected

As demonstrated throughout this report, the food security impact of winter crop losses was particularly severe in the Far- and Mid-Western Hills and Mountains. Although crop losses occurred in almost all Hill and Mountain districts across the country, the most severe impacts were generally seen in this area. This is because (i) the worst crop impairment was generally in the Far to Mid-West of the country, (ii) households in the western, central and eastern districts typically have better resilience to food shocks, and (iii) households in the western, central and eastern districts generally have relatively less reliance on wheat and barley in agricultural production. For these reasons, it is likely that poor winter crop harvests caused some households in the central and eastern regions to become food insecure, however it is believed that there is no critical mass of highly or severely food insecure households residing in these areas. The estimation of people requiring immediate food assistance due to winter crop losses, is therefore limited to the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain regions only²².

To gauge the number of people in the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain regions who are severely affected by winter crop losses (and therefore the number of people that require urgent assistance), a two thronged approach was undertaken. This process is explained further below, Annex III provides a more detailed description.

²⁰ Kanda, Dhamena, Melbisauna, Dantola, Rilu, Sunikot, Mashtadev, Kotdewal, Kailash, Gadaraya, Daulichaur, Surma, Dahabagar, Lekhgaun, Byasi, Kadel, Sainpasela, Maulali, Bhairabnath, Rayal, Parakatne, Dangaji, Sunkuda, Deulek, Syandi, Deulikot, Kaphalseri, Banjh, Bhamchaur, and Pipalkot (Bajhang)

²¹ Bhageshwor, Dewaldibyapur, Bagarkot, Chipur, Bhadrapur, Ajayameru, Samaiji, Koteli, Manilek, Belapur, Nawadurga, Ganeshpur, Kailpalmandu, Asigram, Gankhet, and Amargadhi municipality (Dadeldhura)

²² Preliminary analysis across the country shows that approximately 3.3 percent in food secure areas and 18.4 percent in moderate food insecure areas are suffering from food insecurity due to crop losses and high food prices.

Food Access Variable: The first stage was a bottom up calculation, in which household data collected as part of the assessment, was utilized to determine which households would have poor access to food. This information was used to create a food access variable which is a cross tabulation between the extent of crop loss and the wealth category of each household. The wealth category serves as a proxy of a household's resilience and ability to purchase additional food on the market. The assumption is that the better-off a household (in economic terms), the more resilient the household is against crop loss and the better the household's access to food through market purchase.

The households with the worst crop losses and the lowest resilience (as measured by household asset ownership) are those which will be most severely affected by this crisis, especially given current market conditions of high food prices. Households of highest concern are those which are in the bottom wealth categories and experienced significant crop losses (more than 30 percent). These households are likely to have severely restricted food access in the upcoming lean period. Using this method it was estimated that they constitute approximately 63 percent of the population in the Far- and Mid-Western Hills and Mountains or almost 2 million people.

Food Security Network Ratification: The second stage was to ratify the information with the household food security phase ranking prepared by the District Food Security Networks. The District Food Security Networks are a normal part of the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System. These Networks consist of key stakeholders and local experts in each district, who determine the overall levels of food security of communities within each district every quarter. As can be seen by comparing Table 10 and Table 11, the findings of these Networks supported the figures generated through the household Food Access Variable.

5.4.2 The Need for Immediate Food Assistance

The need for immediate assistance depends on the current level of household food consumption. The impaired winter crop will cause people with already low food consumption to face an even more severe food security situation. People with a current consumption intake that is borderline may become food insecure and people with current adequate food intake levels may become borderline. Without intervention this will have significant impact on the nutrition status of those worst affected. Nutrition is already at very low levels with almost every other child under the age of five stunted, 39 percent of children underweight and 13 percent wasted.

To estimate the needs for immediate food assistance, a further cross tabulation was made between the food access variable and the food consumption score. Households with poor food consumption scores and poor or moderate food access and households with food consumption scores that are borderline were classified as priority one, indicating severe food insecurity and limited possibilities to access alternative food sources. This group was further analyzed to take into account WFP's current food deliveries in these areas to calculate the additional immediate food aid needs.

Table 10 shows the percentage and number of additional people in need of immediate food assistance and those at risk for the Far- and Mid-West Hills and Mountains.

Table 10 - Number of additional	people in need of immediate food assis	tance
---------------------------------	--	-------

	Percentage	Total number
People in need of immediate food assistance	22.9 %	707,265
People at risk of becoming food insecure	15.7 %	485,955

The total additional caseload for the Far-and Mid-West is approximately 707 thousand people.

5.4.3 Targeting of the People in Need – District Level

Taking into account programming and logistical constraints it will be difficult to target individual households in areas without a critical mass of food insecure households. Geographically, food assistant programs should be targeted to areas classified as highly (phase 3) or severely (phase 4) food insecure or in VDCs currently in phase 2 where external assistance has prevented a further deterioration in the food security situation (see Section 5.5) but where the food security situation is likely to deteriorate in the near future when people run out of food stocks.

Table 11 shows the current number of food insecure people as identified by the District Food Security Networks of the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System in areas currently classified as phase 3 or 4. The total identified population is 528,800 people. The remaining number of people in need of immediate assistance is located in

areas currently classified as phase 2 or phase 1 but with a deteriorating outlook for the upcoming lean period until the maize harvest in August and September.

5.4.4 Targeting of the People in Need – Household Level

Who are those the people in need of food assistance? Apart from targeting geographically, could the effectiveness of the programme be improved by targeting individual households?

Table 12 provides and overview of some of the characteristics of the households in need of assistance. It only covers households from the Far- and Mid-Western Hill and Mountain areas. Given the predominance of Brahmin/Chhetris in the Far- and Mid-West Hills and Mountains, most of the people in need of assistance are from this caste (61 percent). Almost one third is Dalit and 11 percent belong to Janajati minorities. Although almost all households (97 percent) own land, the average land ownership is very small with those in need of assistance having less than 0.3 hectares of land. Livestock farming is important in these areas and the average households in need of assistance owns about 3 cattle. In comparison, those at risk and those that are food secure own on average about 4 or 5 cattle.

Household asset ownership is another targeting criterion that can be used. No one in need of assistance owns a wrist watch and radio ownership is about half or one third of those households at risk or food secure. Households in need of assistance live predominantly in housing with thatched roofs and have no access to electricity. Their main source of lighting is wood burning.

Households in need of assistance spend a very high proportion of their total expenditure on food (75 percent). About half of the households at risk or food secure are currently receiving WFP food assistance. For households in need of assistance this is 23 percent (see also next Section).

SN District		Nos. of VDCs at Risk	Highly food insecure (starting affecting livelihood assets)	Severly food insecure (acute food and livelihood crisis)	Total Population highly and severly food insecure
			Phase 3	Phase 4	
I. Karı	nali belt				
	Jumla	7	20,700	-	20,700
	Humla	23	42,700	-	42,700
	Mugu	17	31,400	-	31,400
	Dolpa	8	2,200	5,400	7,600
	Kalikot	9	38,700	-	38,700
		Sub-Total_I.	135,700	5,400	141,100
II. Rap	ti Bheri Hills				
	Jajarkot	2	13,000	-	13,000
	Dailekh	21	27,500	34,300	61,800
	Rolpa	6	15,100	-	15,100
	Rukum	6	13,000	-	13,000
		Sub-Total_II.	68,600	34,300	102,900
III. Fa	-Western Hill	s and Mountains			
	Bajhang	21	78,600	-	78,600
	Bajura	6	23,000	-	23,000
	Darchula	12	41,700	-	41,700
	Baitadi	7	15,500	-	15,500
	Dadeldhura	11	20,000	-	20,000
	Doti	28	82,200	-	82,200
	Achham	11	23,800	-	23,800
		Sub-Total_III.	284,800	-	284,800
		Grand Total	489,100	39,700	528,800

Table 11 - Highly and severely food insecure population

		In need of		Food
		assistance	At risk	secure
Caste/ethnicity	Dalit (%)	27.5	23.7	10.4
	Janajati (%)	11.4	10.5	18.3
	Brahmin/Chhetri (%)	61.1	64.9	69.6
Productive assets	Land ownership (ha)	0.29	0.32	0.49
	Livestock:			
	No. of buffalos/cows/yaks	3.1	4	4.7
	No. of goats/sheep/pigs	2.2	4	5.4
	No. chicken	1.7	2	3.5
Household assets	Radio (%)	32	54	90
	Watch (%)	0	5	79
Living conditions	Roof material:			
	Thatched	61.7	12.9	16.7
	Slate	34	67.7	60
	Mud	4.3	16.1	18.3
	Lighting source:			
	Electricity	0.7	14	7.8
	Wood	32.6	5.3	3.9
Livelihoods	Food Expenditure (%)	74.9	64.9	62
	Received WFP assistance in past 3 months	23.6	48.1	50.0

Table 12 – Characteristics of the Households in Need of Assistance

5.5 Current WFP Assistance in Far- and Mid-West Hills and Mountains

WFP is currently providing food aid to about 765 thousand beneficiaries in the Far-and Mid-West Hill and Mountains, or, about 23 percent of the population. This matches with the household survey which found that 27.3 percent of households have received WFP assistance during the past three months. The average number of working days was 17 days which entitled the household to about 68 kg. For a household of 6 this would provide sufficient food for about 23 days. Table 13 shows households in the Far-and Mid-West that experienced significant crop losses and either received or did not received WFP food assistance. Households that received food assistance through food-for-work have less need in using coping strategies and have better access to sufficient food.

Table 13 – Households in the Far-	and Mid-West with crop	loss receiving WFP	assistance
-----------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	------------

	Household		Sufficient food (%)					
WFP	food shortage (%)	Coping index	< 1 month	1-2 months	2-3 months	> 3 months		
Assistance	68.5	18.4	25.9	41.8	20.6	11.8		
No Assistance	88.5	35.7	53.7	28.5	12	5.8		

Map 6 indicates the areas where the crop production was extremely poor (more than 50 percent loss) and their current phase classification. Although in all areas the crop losses where more than 50 percent, the overall impact is different: the red areas are currently under phase 3 or 4, yellow is under phase 2 and green is under phase 1.



Map 6 - Areas where crop production was extremely poor

Table 14 shows the percentage of households that responded that a WFP programme was being implemented in the past three months in areas where crop production was extremely poor. Almost 70 percent of households in phase 1 that experienced extremely high crop losses reported that a WFP food for work programme is currently in place. In phase 2, this is 41 percent and in areas under phase 3 and 4 this is a little more than 9 percent of the households.

Food Security Phase	WFP programme being implemented (% hh)
1 (best)	68.6
2	41.2
3 or 4 (worst)	9.4

Table 14 – WFP Programming and impact on Food Security Phase

It appears therefore that the ongoing WFP food assistance has had a significant effect in preventing further deterioration in the food security situation in many areas affected by extremely high crop losses. With the upcoming lean period it is therefore essential for these programs to continue.

5.6 Food Supplies Through the Nepal Food Corporation

In addition to WFP assistance, the Nepal Food Corporation (NFC), is currently supplying subsidized food to 30 districts including 22 remote districts across Nepal. In many remote district headquarters, such as Dolpa and Humla, the NFC rice is almost the only source of rice available. However, the quantity of food available is insufficient and many poor families have difficulty in accessing the food due to their remoteness from NFC food depots (these are usually located the district headquarters) and lack of purchasing power.

With increasing cases of food insecurity, NFC is under increasing pressure to increase district supply. A total of 17,000 Mt of rice is planned to be supplied by NFC in the country. Eight thousand Mt is available in stock at the moment. However, the NFC is facing transportation challenges in remote areas due to the high cost of transportation and lack of adequate transport capacity. The amount of rice available through NFC for different districts varies widely from one district to another. During the assessment it was found that there was a larger amount available in districts which already serve as market hubs, such as Surkhet (460 Mt) or Jumla (970 Mt).

In other districts, the stock was generally less than 100 Mt except Rukum (330 Mt), Rolpa (245 Mt) and Dolpa (320 Mt).

6. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Short-term, Quick Impact Interventions:

- 1. Extending the WFP PRRO "Food Assistance to Vulnerable Populations" to provide immediate assistance through short-term and targeted Food or Cash for Work activities to highly food insecure populations in the Hill and Mountain regions. This means assisting an additional 707 thousand people which are currently not covered by the PRRO and extending support to these food insecure populations until the maize harvest in September.
- 2. Combine the immediate assistance programmes (Food and Cash for Work) which should be specifically focused on irrigation system improvement and extension, market access improvements with seed provision programmes (FAO).
- 3. Continue to closely monitor the situation through the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System so as to be able to quickly and easily respond to additional crises such as a nutrition emergency.
- 4. Continue to monitor market prices and market supply closely, particular supply issues relating to bandhs and strikes.

Medium-term Impact Interventions:

- 1. Improve the knowledge about improved cereal crop production, including potato, while also including potato in the overall cereal crop balance given its increasing importance in certain Hill and Mountain regions. This will allow for more accurate reporting and also monitoring of potato-dependent areas.
- 2. Improve the knowledge and proper usage of agricultural inputs and machinery so as to increase the yield of the crops and decrease drought vulnerability.
- 3. Improve the utilization and awareness of cash crops and also research into appropriate cash crops for particularly vulnerable Hill and Mountain regions. In addition, research potential markets for these crops and how to improve access to these markets.
- 4. Continue the consulting between WFP and MoAC with the objective of transferring the Nepal Food Security Monitoring System of WFP to the government along with the ability to provide timely and necessary assessments.

Longer-term Impact Interventions:

- 1. Improve road access and implement crop diversification and commercialization programs.
- 2. Support the development and utilization of drought resistant crops and farming methods that are appropriate for the Hill and Mountain districts.
- 3. Improve crop assessment methods to include scientific methods such as remote sensing and updated field techniques.

Acknowledgement

This assessment was undertaken jointly by the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, World Food Programme and the Food and Agricultural Organization.

The assessment team consisted of the following experts:

Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperative	World Food Programme	Food and Agriculture Organization
Dr Dhana Raj Ratala	Mr Siemon Hollema	Dr. Krishna Raj Regmi
Mr Hemraj Regmi	Mr Pushpa Shrestha	
Mr Govinda Bhakta Shrestha	Dr Krishna Pahari	
Mr Shiva Sunder Ghimire	Ms Christina Hobbs	
Mr Nirmal Gadal	Ms Mariko Kawataba	
Mr Ganga Acharya	Mr Tyler Mcmahon	
	Mr Sujan Malla	
	Mr Abesh KC	
	Ms Monika Shrestha	
	Ms Meena Thapa	
	Mr. Raju Neupane	
The field work was undertaken by five joint field monitors:	assessment teams from the	ese three organizations as well as 27 WFP
Mr Laxmi Chaudhary (Udayapur / Okhaldu	ngha)	
Mr Parsu Ram Karki (Ramechhap / Dolokh	a)	
Mr Lakpa Tamang (Rasuwa / Nuwakot)		
Mr Ramesh Sah (Pars / Bara)		
Mr Rajkumar Yadav (Saptari /Siraha / Suns	ari)	
Mr Bharat Thapa (Sindhupalchok / Kavre)		
Ms Sunita Raut (Myagdi / Baglung)		

Mr Prakash Subedi (Dhanusha / Sindhuli)

Mr Raju Chhetri (Makwanpur / Chitwan)

Mr Binod Paswan (Sarlahi / Mahottari)

Mr Gyanendra Singh (Darchula)

Mr Ganesh Padhyay (Bajura)

Mr Birendra Kadayat (Achham / Doti)

Mr Rabindra Ojha (Baitadi)

Ms Laxmi Mahara (Bajhang)

Mr Som Tiwari (Surkhet / Banke) Mr Birendra Chand (Salyan)

Mr Narendra GC (Puythan / Arghakhanchi)

Ms Gayatri Thapa (Rupendehi / Dang / Nawalparasi)

Mr Narendra KC (Dailekh)

Birendra Shahi (Bariya /Kailali / Kanchanpur)

Mr Bal Budha (Dolpa)

Mr Kishor Bhandari (Jarjarkot)

Mr Bishnu Achharya (Rolpa/Rukum)

Mr Ram Lama (Humla)

Mr Bhanu Limbu (Mugu)

Ms Kyarina Shrestha (Jumla)



ANNEX I. MAP 7 - CROP AND FOOD SECURITY ASSESSMENT, COMMUNITIES SURVEYED

ANNEX II – DISTRICT LEVEL CEREAL PRODUCTION 2008/09

Description Aves Date	DISTRICT		Paddy			Maize			Millet			Wheat			Barley	
Decision	TAPLEJUNG	Area 8783	Prod 16048	Yield 1827	Area 13187	Prod 28495	Yield 2161	Area 3050	Prod 3567	Yield 1170	Area 1890	Prod 2285	Yield 1209	Area 240	Prod 264	Yield 1100
Department Basis	SANKHUWASHAVA SOLUKHUMBU	15932 1620	28677 2916	1800 1800	14672 12950	28209 29100	1923 2247	9977 2052	9956 2566	998 1250	1505 3680	2288 3574	1520 971	30 200	29 224	967 1120
Normal 	E.MOUNTAIN	26335	47641	1809	40809	85804	2103	15079	16089	1067	7075	8147	1152	470	517	1100
District	PANCHTHAR	8854	15879	1793	14160	22133	1563	5992	7750	1293	4130	6300	1525	515	515	1000
Discription Biolog 2/10 2/10	TERHATHUM	10186	20372	2750	12092	16164	1337	2505	2884	1006	2600	3272	1258	100	110	1100
Desizione Later Josh	DHANKUTA BHOJPUR	8695 15361	23770 36866	2734 2400	19985 20857	50960 38585	2550 1850	8129 4600	8563 4500	1053 978	2725 2510	4208 3867	1544 1541	10 30	10 40	1000
 Den Vierel International and a second a se	KHOTANG OKHALDHUNGA	14899 5860	33586 14943	2254 2550	22580 12545	53687 24462	2378 1950	14511 7756	14000 10100	965 1302	3500 2807	5147 3519	1471 1254	500 115	400 138	800 1200
E.HILS 91200 223978 2450 12299 301342 2115 49279 5070 3200 1200 3400 1000 3400 1000 3400 1000 3400 1000 3400 1000 3400 3400 1000 3400 3400 1000 1000 3400 3400 1000 1000 3400 3400 1000	UDAYAPUR	14150	42262	2987	16900	38025	2250	2572	3458	1344	4800	9694	2020	38	37	974
Decomponent BERCO 2007	E.HILLS	91205	223978	2456	142599	301542	2115	49379	53775	1089	27807	44257	1592	1383	1325	958
Deltadin 5113 1130 1200	JHAPA MORANG	96700 88200	322640 279912	3337 3174	15170	35200	2593 2320	1415	1698	1200	20275	36500 44690	2433 2204	- 10	- 10	1000
Bindway Torpo 1 1et H 22 10 5000 1000 1000 1000 <t< td=""><td>SUNSARI SAPTARI</td><td>51158 68400</td><td>141360 173500</td><td>2763 2537</td><td>7300 4000</td><td>14900 8800</td><td>2041 2200</td><td>1350 300</td><td>1350 300</td><td>1000 1000</td><td>14000 15000</td><td>36720 32085</td><td>2623 2139</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	SUNSARI SAPTARI	51158 68400	141360 173500	2763 2537	7300 4000	14900 8800	2041 2200	1350 300	1350 300	1000 1000	14000 15000	36720 32085	2623 2139	-	-	-
E. TERAIN 3.7520 10.817.80 2017 10.2246 2028 76.02 2012 11.817 23.68 2023 16.12 11.817 23.68 2023 16.12 11.817 23.68 2023 16.12 11.817 23.68 2001 16.12 13.68 2001 15.12 13.08 10.00	SIRAHA	70750	164176	2321	2100	5040	2400	800	800	1000	16000	31284	1955	-	-	-
Description Description Stop Stop Stop Description Description <td>E.TERAI</td> <td>375208</td> <td>1081588</td> <td>2883</td> <td>51170</td> <td>122540</td> <td>2395</td> <td>5865</td> <td>6148</td> <td>1048</td> <td>80275</td> <td>181279</td> <td>2258</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>1000</td>	E.TERAI	375208	1081588	2883	51170	122540	2395	5865	6148	1048	80275	181279	2258	10	10	1000
BINDLEWALDOCK 11929 316.99 21109 1200 <td>DOLAKHA</td> <td>3125</td> <td>6318</td> <td>2022</td> <td>5390</td> <td>10800</td> <td>2004</td> <td>3575</td> <td>3580</td> <td>1001</td> <td>4400</td> <td>5105</td> <td>1160</td> <td>231</td> <td>230</td> <td>996</td>	DOLAKHA	3125	6318	2022	5390	10800	2004	3575	3580	1001	4400	5105	1160	231	230	996
CAMOUNIANI 19370 44007 2500 44010 2300 2300 2300 14070 14170 11970 1100 100<	SINDHUPALCHOK RASUWA	13920 1325	36439 3300	2618 2491	21895 2350	51490 4500	2352 1915	19295 1050	21070 1155	1092 1100	9030 842	12100 1393	1340 1654	- 300	344	- 1147
DAME BIAS DITOR BIOR Color Solar So	C.MOUNTAIN	18370	46057	2507	29635	66790	2254	23920	25805	1079	14272	18598	1303	531	574	1081
Disk 1000 1000 2000 1100 1000 <th< td=""><td>RAMECHHAP</td><td>8743</td><td>15757</td><td>1802</td><td>23008</td><td>46016</td><td>2000</td><td>5057</td><td>6068</td><td>1200</td><td>4803</td><td>7060</td><td>1470</td><td>100</td><td>79</td><td>790</td></th<>	RAMECHHAP	8743	15757	1802	23008	46016	2000	5057	6068	1200	4803	7060	1470	100	79	790
Bux Charles 44.00 22.44 6.45 20.00 10.0 10.0	SINDHULI KAVRE	6065 11275	11143 31899	1837 2829	16500 24279	38693 56288	2345 2318	10775 3505	11153 3505	1035 1000	5500 12550	8930 18286	1624 1457	45 760	45 740	1000 974
KATHAMADU BBS0 SIZED SIZED <	BHAKTAPUR LALITPUR	4400 4650	28248 22645	6420 4870	2035 8640	6441 18500	3165 2141	100 605	140 786	1400 1299	3665 4060	9884 7820	2697 1926	50 220	50 250	1000 1136
BHADING 11:270 25:200 25:200 15:46 15:80 16:80 16:80 17:80 15:80 15:80 16:80 17:80 15:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 16:80 <	KATHMANDU NUWAKOT	8050 18965	43250 59700	5373 3148	5800 20120	17920 43658	3090 2170	850 5822	850 7051	1000 1211	5800 5451	14284 11447	2463 2100	7	7 3	1000 750
The control The contro The contro		16720	42500	2542	19465	33950	1744	7540	7540	1000	4680	7914	1691	350	350	1000
ALMANDIAN SDOOD 17000 2000 155 3800 2700 450 450 750	C.HILLS	91568	292642	3196	137897	305986	2219	37154	40393	1087	4203 50712	94451	1862	1557	27 1553	997
MANDER 40250 9950 237 2930 1580 2000 155 420 1200 12100 13100 121 550 500 500 <	DHANUSHA	55000	176000	3200	1555	3850	2476	400	450	1125	27500	57405	2087	-	-	-
BAUTABAT 4640 12440 2640 2640 2640 1570 65130 6700 2520 75 7500 8400 2523 75 7500 8400 2523 7500 8400 2520 7500 8400 2520 7500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2500 8400 2600 1500 9010 2700 2300 600 1500 9010 2400 2400 1600 1500 9010 1410 2400 1600 1500 4701 1600 1500 4701 1600 1500 4701 1600 1500 1700 <t< td=""><td>MAHOTTARI SARLAHI</td><td>40250 38750</td><td>95500 96480</td><td>2373 2490</td><td>2930 7250</td><td>5860 15298</td><td>2000 2110</td><td>355 120</td><td>426 120</td><td>1200 1000</td><td>21540 27100</td><td>41380 55834</td><td>1921 2060</td><td>50 50</td><td>50 50</td><td>1000 1000</td></t<>	MAHOTTARI SARLAHI	40250 38750	95500 96480	2373 2490	2930 7250	5860 15298	2000 2110	355 120	426 120	1200 1000	21540 27100	41380 55834	1921 2060	50 50	50 50	1000 1000
pARESA 4.6200 177980 3822 4100 12200 2071 75 75 1000 23200 2300 2300 2400 2300 2400 2300 2400 1300 2300 2400 1300 1300 2300 2400 1300 1300 2300 1400 1300 2400 1300 2300 1500 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 1300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300 2300	RAUTAHAT BARA	46800 55335	124480 213565	2660 3859	2694 3100	5050 6900	1875 2226	60 75	54 100	900 1333	15300 28900	34093 88900	2228 3076	52 68	52 66	1000
CITERAL 131500 001820 3140 4277 10218 253 260 100 100	PARSA	46200	177980	3852	4100	12600	3073	75	75	1000	23600	69770	2956	34	34	1000
CREGION 425028 1330519 3130 210311 481994 2202 64974 69238 1002 217934 481435 2200 2592 2679 103 MANANG - - - - 166 335 2199 3 3 1000 200 1501	C.TERAI	315090	991820	3148	42779	109218	2553	2900	3040	1048	152950	368386	2409	504	552	1095
MANANG . <td>C.REGION</td> <td>425028</td> <td>1330519</td> <td>3130</td> <td>210311</td> <td>481994</td> <td>2292</td> <td>63974</td> <td>69238</td> <td>1082</td> <td>217934</td> <td>481435</td> <td>2209</td> <td>2592</td> <td>2679</td> <td>1034</td>	C.REGION	425028	1330519	3130	210311	481994	2292	63974	69238	1082	217934	481435	2209	2592	2679	1034
MARSIAND - 0 0 1<	MANANG	-	-	-	166	365	2199	3	3	1000	290	454	1566	180	240	1333
M.M.COLINIM G G G G F <th< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>537</td><td>795</td><td>1480</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>1000</td><td>620</td><td>1024</td><td>1652</td><td>330</td><td>400</td><td>1212</td></th<>		-	-	-	537	795	1480	4	4	1000	620	1024	1652	330	400	1212
LAMUNG 14030 36541 2280 15900 38800 2421 17500 17500 1000 28800 2280 1588 288 1288	GORKHA	17900	48500	2709	19350	47212	2440	11680	13821	1183	4155	6157	1482	230	200	870
KASCI 20100 6109 1064 1600 14163 2555 11010 1941 102 1396 1941 160 1950 1396 1941 160 1396 1941 160 1200 200 759 SYANGLA 1755 51589 2400 3600 8830 810 110 500 1200 1200 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1200 120	LAMJUNG	16030	36541	2280	15990	38890	2432	7500	7500	1000	3840	6289	1638	28	25	893
PARELIA PARA 9720 (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	KASKI	20100	61990	3084	16300	41645	2555	16101	19410	1206	6900	13396	1941	160	150	938
PALPA 8795 2390 2171 20210 47940 2352 2540 2550 1040 2235 9903 1588 35 35	SYANGJA	17550	51589	2455 2940	30800	34037 88300	2400 2867	16800	19341	1151	3500 7450	3693 11920	1600	290	110	759 550
BACLUNG CULM S735 14000 2558 21370 53852 2520 18920 22900 1236 6415 9145 1345 7434 B303 1117 344 7344 B303 1117 344 7343 B303 1142 3383 3544 1046 NUMULPARASI 46490 165425 3558 9700 24900 2572 500 1000 1000 2800 9636 258 36310 210 110 100 2800 9636 6312 2224 100 1100 120 120 1140 1400 1000 28050 1808 400 180 95 290 1431 434 436 400 100 2805 1600 1707 1572 1550 862 2655 1600 160 1	PALPA MYAGDI	8795 3895	23900 10680	2717 2742	20210 11115	47940 27788	2372 2500	2540 3048	2550 3105	1004 1019	6235 3020	9903 4975	1588 1647	35 590	33 545	943 924
ARCHANNCHI B735 1945 2238 16889 36.31 21.0 23.00 13.6 68.30 11.76 485 37.2 97.3 W.HILLS 13.6769 370072 2706 29038 515201 24.61 9529 108980 11.36 58589 65.61 14.22 33.83 35.44 10.48 NUMALPARASI 44.40 10 10.00 2200 23.510 35.00 27.2 13.00 23.50 65.612 22.22 10.0 11.0 10.00 W.TERAI 18895 097052 32.26 12.10 31.11 27.0 47.5 17.80 22.22 10.00 72.08 33.0 27.0 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.4 43.6 43.0 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 <	BAGLUNG GULMI	5735 9958	14900 24753	2598 2486	21370 21034	53852 39864	2520 1895	18920 2915	23900 3971	1263 1362	6815 7434	9165 8303	1345 1117	1015 344	1502 282	1480 820
W.HILLS 136769 370072 2706 209388 515201 2461 98929 108690 136 58589 856.31 1462 3383 3844 1008 RUPANDEHI 72500 253750 3500 1250 3180 2544 100 1000 32000 94150 2442 30 3201 140 100 32000 94150 2442 30 3201 140 100 3200 94150 2442 30 140 140 1000 32000 94150 2442 30 140 140 1000 3200 94150 2442 30 140 140 100 3200 9415 2442 30 140	ARGHAKHANCHI	8735	19545	2238	16889	36311	2150	825	998	1210	7340	8630	1176	485	472	973
Dippendicipation 12500 25760 3500 12500 25760 3500 12600 12000 1100 </td <td>W.HILLS</td> <td>136769</td> <td>370072</td> <td>2706</td> <td>209388</td> <td>515201</td> <td>2461</td> <td>95929</td> <td>108980</td> <td>1136</td> <td>58589</td> <td>85631</td> <td>1462</td> <td>3383</td> <td>3544</td> <td>1048</td>	W.HILLS	136769	370072	2706	209388	515201	2461	95929	108980	1136	58589	85631	1462	3383	3544	1048
KAPILBASIU 69960 190350 27.21 12.40 3013 24.80 140 140 100 22.80 6.6312 22.22 100 110 1100	RUPANDEHI	72500	253750	3558	1250	3180	2572	100	100	1000	32000	94150	2345	30	25	833
W.TECKIN 188'50 60'525 3226 12'10 311'3 2555 740	KAPILBASTU	69960	190350	2721	1240	3013	2430	140	140	1000	28550	63612	2228	100	110	1100
DOLPA 270 475 1759 2282 4360 1911 317 275 868 2365 1098 400 180 95 528 MUGU 1050 1765 1700 555 1000 1771 1522 1550 986 2015 1690 839 1200 1260 1050 JUMLA 2850 444 1700 4625 64850 1481 4000 4400 1100 2850 1332 538 3550 3208 715 750 700 MW.MOUNTAIN 64945 12624 1818 9809 16184 1650 8751 737 1099 8544 10169 920 950 1656 RULUA 3750 1000 2693 18650 31750 1700 925 860 1381 11800 11807 1187 737 960 950 1656 173 1995 11800 13816 11214 1788 116021	W.REGION	325719	979597	3007	222281	547504	2355	96676	109727	1135	138899	289071	2081	4113	4394	1068
MUGU 1050 1725 1720 1570 1570 1550 986 2015 1690 839 1200 1260 1360 JUMLA 2850 4484 1700 14625 6850 1401 1400 1100 2850 1532 538 3660 3200 877 ALLKOT 2225 4401 2005 1252 1260 1006 5350 3928 734 1072 750 700 MW.MOUNTAIN 6945 12624 1818 9809 16184 1650 8501 8785 1033 13570 8669 639 6782 5785 824 RUKUM 3750 10100 2693 18650 31705 1700 925 860 930 11800 10894 923 900 95 920 1805 1000 1532 538 757 707 AUXM 6641 1530 2346 12050 32972 1952 1000 1500 1361 1184 1950 353 357 507 707 71	DOLPA	270	475	1759	2282	4360	1911	317	275	868	2365	1088	460	180	95	528
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td>MUGU HUMLA</td> <td>1050 550</td> <td>1785 910</td> <td>1700 1655</td> <td>565 82</td> <td>1000 140</td> <td>1770 1707</td> <td>1572 1360</td> <td>1550 1300</td> <td>986 956</td> <td>2015 990</td> <td>1690 431</td> <td>839 435</td> <td>1200 680</td> <td>1260 280</td> <td>1050 412</td>	MUGU HUMLA	1050 550	1785 910	1700 1655	565 82	1000 140	1770 1707	1572 1360	1550 1300	986 956	2015 990	1690 431	839 435	1200 680	1260 280	1050 412
MW.MOUNTAIN 6945 12624 1818 9809 16184 1650 8701 8775 1033 13570 8669 639 6782 5585 824 RUKUM 3750 10100 2693 18650 31705 1700 925 860 930 11800 10844 923 900 950 1056 RUKAM 4715 9666 2050 11258 1156 1066 1085 1985 1000 8544 10150 1186 537 697 974 ALARKOT 3570 6920 1938 1916 1920 2466 1386 8500 1822 1011 150 13616 1184 1150 9908 225 1301 1555 2492 1305 1690 7304 1180 148 1181 966 DALEKH 8200 2300 1609 2187 170 160 941 1200 23380 2410 10 10 100 <td>JUMLA KALIKOT</td> <td>2850 2225</td> <td>4844 4610</td> <td>1700 2072</td> <td>4625 2255</td> <td>6850 3834</td> <td>1481 1700</td> <td>4000 1252</td> <td>4400 1260</td> <td>1100 1006</td> <td>2850 5350</td> <td>1532 3928</td> <td>538 734</td> <td>3650 1072</td> <td>3200 750</td> <td>877 700</td>	JUMLA KALIKOT	2850 2225	4844 4610	1700 2072	4625 2255	6850 3834	1481 1700	4000 1252	4400 1260	1100 1006	2850 5350	1532 3928	538 734	3650 1072	3200 750	877 700
RUKUM ROLPA PUTHAN 3750 10100 2693 18650 31705 1700 925 860 930 11800 10894 923 900 950 1056 ROLPA PUTHAN 4715 9666 2050 111621 17500 1506 1067 1173 1099 8544 10150 118 537 607 974 SALYAN 6961 16300 2342 20500 39278 1916 1922 2466 1388 8500 13616 1184 1150 950 825 1117 1737 1099 251 100 13616 1184 1150 960 971 1750 692 1031 1610 4201 1080 4380 2101 1758 425 551 1010 2163 1270 1301 16155 3820 1001 1000 4380 2410 10 10 1000 4380 2410 10 10 1000 4380 2410 10	MW.MOUNTAIN	6945	12624	1818	9809	16184	1650	8501	8785	1033	13570	8669	639	6782	5585	824
NCLPA 4715 9666 2050 11621 17500 1506 1067 1173 1099 8544 10150 1188 537 507 944 SALYAN 6961 15320 2334 20500 39278 1916 1920 2496 1300 11500 13616 1184 1150 950 825 1187 1373 690 670 971 SALYAN 6961 16300 2342 20500 39278 1916 1206 2860 1388 8500 8522 1011 1180 948 1181 181 968 1182 1181 1181 968 1181 1181 968 1182 1181 11	RUKUM	3750	10100	2693	18650	31705	1700	925	860	930	11800	10894	923	900	950	1056
SALYAN 6961 16300 2342 20500 39278 1916 1920 2466 1300 11500 13616 1184 1150 950 8225 51 DALEKH 8200 20500 2500 16090 29035 1805 2095 2723 1300 6190 7304 1180 181 184 966 SURKHET 12800 42800 3348 16100 40300 2505 2727 1301 6190 7304 1180 183 966 SURKHET 12800 42800 3348 12417 14823 1220 7143 90935 1273 5317 4898 921 DANG 37458 12427 3319 6500 11020 1600 11800 4330 2410 10 10 100 1000 BANK 33500 12320 3200 8100 1490 1840 23768 144 11117 13262 11117 1353 867 BAURA 3310 6103 1844 990 1659	Rolpa Pyuthan	4715 6540	9666 15280	2050 2336	11621 12058	17500 18158	1506 1506	1067 1985	1173 1985	1099 1000	8544 8650	10150 11877	1188 1373	537 690	507 670	944 971
DALLERH IZEN 8200 42800 20500 42800 16400 42800 16400 42800 29035 4280 1805 2095 2723 2726 1300 1625 6190 28472 7132 1752 1100 1075 1180 1215 1180 1215 1181 1214 182 1482 1225 2370 22726 1301 1625 6190 28472 7324 1180 1752 1180 28472 1180 1215 1181 1214 1823 1220 1225 1215 110 MW.HILLS 46536 12156 2612 103829 193176 1861 12147 14823 1220 71439 90935 1273 531 4898 921 DAMG BARKE 37458 124327 3319 25200 55100 2167 170 160 941 47615 111187 2335 55 50 909 MW.TERAI 11245 35807 3144 39900 80920 2028 1070 160 941 47615 111187 2335 55 50 909 BAURA 310 6103 1844 990	SALYAN JAJARKOT	6961 3570	16300 6920	2342 1938	20500 8810	39278 17200	1916 1952	1920 2060	2496 2860	1300 1388	11500 8500	13616 8622	1184 1014	1150 758	950 425	826 561
MW.HILLS 46536 121566 2612 103829 193176 1861 12147 14823 1220 71439 90935 1273 5317 4988 921 DANG BANKE 345500 110550 3029 6600 10920 1655 - - - 18010 100 10 100 10 100	DAILEKH SURKHET	8200 12800	20500 42800	2500 3344	16090 16100	29035 40300	1805 2503	2095 2095	2723 2726	1300 1301	6190 16255	7304 28472	1180 1752	187 1095	181 1215	968 1110
DANG BARDIYA 37458 36500 124327 10550 3319 3029 25200 6600 55100 10920 2187 1655 170 160 941 12700 25630 2018 35 30 857 BARKE BARDIYA 38500 112550 3029 6600 10920 1655 - - - - 18010 43380 2410 10 10 100 MW.TERAI 112458 358077 3184 39900 80920 2028 170 160 941 47615 111187 2335 55 50 909 MW.REGION 165939 492267 2967 15338 290280 1891 2081 23768 1142 132624 21079 156 1072 557 520 BAUJRA BAUJRA BAUJRA BAUHAN 3310 6103 1844 990 1659 1767 2285 2170 950 6107 1350 894 DARCHULA 3950 7480 1894 5900 10970 <td< td=""><td>MW.HILLS</td><td>46536</td><td>121566</td><td>2612</td><td>103829</td><td>193176</td><td>1861</td><td>12147</td><td>14823</td><td>1220</td><td>71439</td><td>90935</td><td>1273</td><td>5317</td><td>4898</td><td>921</td></td<>	MW.HILLS	46536	121566	2612	103829	193176	1861	12147	14823	1220	71439	90935	1273	5317	4898	921
BANKE 36500 110550 3029 6600 10920 1655 - - - 18000 43380 2410 10 10 10 100 <th< td=""><td>DANG</td><td>37458</td><td>124327</td><td>3319</td><td>25200</td><td>55100</td><td>2187</td><td>170</td><td>160</td><td>941</td><td>12700</td><td>25630</td><td>2018</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>857</td></th<>	DANG	37458	124327	3319	25200	55100	2187	170	160	941	12700	25630	2018	35	30	857
MW.TERAI 112458 358077 3184 39900 80920 2028 170 160 941 47615 111187 233 55 50 909 MW.REGION 165939 492267 2967 153538 290280 1891 20818 23768 1142 132624 210791 1589 12154 10533 867 BAURAR 3310 6103 1844 990 1659 1676 2520 2650 1052 4950 3292 665 1072 555 550 840 BAUHAR 3350 7480 1894 5900 1070 1859 1115 1000 897 5965 4255 713 1200 792 660 FW.MOUNTAIN 13265 22783 1718 10540 1889 1720 4705 4800 1001 11000 1070 1384 815 372 2609 744 ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 </td <td>BARDIYA</td> <td>36500 38500</td> <td>110550 123200</td> <td>3029 3200</td> <td>6600 8100</td> <td>10920 14900</td> <td>1655 1840</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>18000 16915</td> <td>43380 42177</td> <td>2410 2493</td> <td>10 10</td> <td>10 10</td> <td>1000 1000</td>	BARDIYA	36500 38500	110550 123200	3029 3200	6600 8100	10920 14900	1655 1840	-	-	-	18000 16915	43380 42177	2410 2493	10 10	10 10	1000 1000
MW.REGION 165939 492267 2967 153538 290280 1891 20818 23768 1142 132624 210791 1589 12154 10533 867 BAURA BAURA BAURA BAHANG 3310 6103 1844 990 1659 1676 2520 2650 1052 4950 3292 665 1072 557 520 DARCHULA 3950 7480 1894 5900 10970 1859 1115 1000 897 5965 4255 713 1200 792 660 FW.MOUNTAIN 13265 22783 1718 10540 18890 1792 5920 5820 983 17015 13864 815 3782 2699 714 ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 8870 1662 2545 2118 950 17151 6671 933 185 104 500 1700 1813 732 500 17000 1815 1720	MW.TERAI	112458	358077	3184	39900	80920	2028	170	160	941	47615	111187	2335	55	50	909
BAURA BAURA BAHANG 3310 6103 1844 990 1659 1676 2520 2650 1052 4950 3292 665 1072 557 520 DARCHULA 3950 7480 1894 5900 1070 1859 1115 1000 897 5965 4255 713 1200 792 660 FW.MOUNTAIN 13265 22783 1718 10540 1889 1792 5920 5820 983 17015 13864 815 3782 2699 714 ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 8870 1662 2545 2418 950 17151 6671 933 185 104 562 DOTI 7555 1500 2449 2550 4850 1902 40075 4080 1001 11000 10760 783 7820 1670 780 500 17720 611 7720 617 780 500 10721	MW.REGION	165939	492267	2967	153538	290280	1891	20818	23768	1142	132624	210791	1589	12154	10533	867
DARCHULA 3950 7480 1894 5900 10970 1859 1115 1000 897 5965 4255 713 1200 792 660 FW.MOUNTAIN 13265 22783 1718 10540 18890 1792 5920 5820 983 17015 13864 815 3782 2699 714 ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 8870 1662 2542 2418 950 7151 6671 933 185 104 525 DOTI 7555 18500 2449 2550 4850 1902 4075 4080 1000 10760 975 250 153 733 732 600 1071 1000 10750 281 733 734 631 1686 318 320 1000 1070 4355 871 500 500 1000 1070 128 127 533 1071 7296 300 108	BAJURA BAJHANG	3310 6005	6103 9200	1844 1532	990 3650	1659 6261	1676 1715	2520 2285	2650 2170	1052 950	4950 6100	3292 6317	665 1036	1072 1510	557 1350	520 894
FW.MOUNTAIN 13265 22783 1718 10540 18890 1792 5920 5820 983 17015 13864 815 3782 2699 714 ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 8870 1662 2545 2418 950 7151 6671 933 185 104 525 DOTI 7555 18500 2449 2500 4805 1902 4075 4080 1000 11000 1070 973 250 183 732 BAITADI 5330 9660 1812 9500 17270 1818 870 950 1092 5000 4355 871 500 500 100 1000 1070 128 149 100 100 100 <td>DARCHULA</td> <td>3950</td> <td>7480</td> <td>1894</td> <td>5900</td> <td>10970</td> <td>1859</td> <td>1115</td> <td>1000</td> <td>897</td> <td>5965</td> <td>4255</td> <td>713</td> <td>1200</td> <td>792</td> <td>660</td>	DARCHULA	3950	7480	1894	5900	10970	1859	1115	1000	897	5965	4255	713	1200	792	660
ACHHAM 7480 14960 2000 5336 8870 1662 2548 2418 950 7151 6671 933 185 104 552 DOTI 7555 1850 2448 950 17151 6671 933 185 104 552 BAITADI 5330 9660 1812 9500 17270 1818 870 950 1002 1000 10760 978 250 183 732 DADELDHURA 6221 17700 2813 3744 6313 1666 318 320 1006 7792 6177 780 218 127 583 FW.HILS 26586 60602 2260 21130 37303 1765 7808 7768 950 1210 1150 144 960 KAILALI 60000 165000 2750 17000 3350 1971 170 1000 21205 46955 2006 160 150 144	FW.MOUNTAIN	13265	22783	1718	10540	18890	1792	5920	5820	983	17015	13864	815	3782	2699	714
BAITADI DADELDHURA 5330 9660 1812 9500 17270 1818 870 950 1002 5000 4355 871 500 500 1000 DADELDHURA 6221 17500 2813 3744 6313 1686 318 320 1006 7920 6177 780 218 127 583 FW-HILS 26586 60620 2280 21130 3733 765 7808 7768 975 31071 2763 900 1153 914 793 KAILALI 60000 165000 2750 17000 33500 1971 200 180 900 21000 40120 1910 150 144 960 KANCHANPUR 46655 284700 2656 2050 44813 1974 370 350 946 42250 8705 2060 160 153 949 90336 12882 1427 5005 3766 739 FW. REGION <td>ACHHAM DOTI</td> <td>7480 7555</td> <td>14960 18500</td> <td>2000 2449</td> <td>5336 2550</td> <td>8870 4850</td> <td>1662 1902</td> <td>2545 4075</td> <td>2418 4080</td> <td>950 1001</td> <td>7151 11000</td> <td>6671 10760</td> <td>933 978</td> <td>185 250</td> <td>104 183</td> <td>562 732</td>	ACHHAM DOTI	7480 7555	14960 18500	2000 2449	5336 2550	8870 4850	1662 1902	2545 4075	2418 4080	950 1001	7151 11000	6671 10760	933 978	185 250	104 183	562 732
FW.HILLS 26586 60620 2280 21130 37303 1765 7808 7768 995 31071 27963 900 1153 914 7933 KAILALI KANCHANPUR 60000 165000 2750 17000 33500 1971 200 180 900 21000 40120 1910 150 144 960 KANCHANPUR 46655 119700 2566 6050 11313 1870 170 170 000 21250 46935 2209 10 9 900 FW.TERAI 106655 284700 2669 23050 44813 1944 370 350 946 42250 87055 2060 100 153 956 FW.REGION 146506 368103 2513 54720 101006 1846 14098 13938 989 90336 128882 1427 5095 3766 739 N F P A L: 1555940 4523693 2907 875428 <t< td=""><td>BAITADI DADELDHURA</td><td>5330 6221</td><td>9660 17500</td><td>1812 2813</td><td>9500 3744</td><td>17270 6313</td><td>1818 1686</td><td>870 318</td><td>950 320</td><td>1092 1006</td><td>5000 7920</td><td>4355 6177</td><td>871 780</td><td>500 218</td><td>500 127</td><td>1000 583</td></t<>	BAITADI DADELDHURA	5330 6221	9660 17500	1812 2813	9500 3744	17270 6313	1818 1686	870 318	950 320	1092 1006	5000 7920	4355 6177	871 780	500 218	500 127	1000 583
KAILALI KANCHANPUR 60000 46655 165000 119700 2566 2566 17000 6050 33500 11313 1971 1870 200 170 180 70 900 21250 40120 1910 46935 150 144 960 FW.TERAI 106655 284700 2669 23050 44813 1944 370 350 946 42250 87055 2060 160 153 956 FW.TERAI 146506 368103 2513 54720 101006 1848 13938 989 90336 12882 1427 5095 3766 739 N F P A L: 1555940 4523693 2907 875428 1393669 205 265889 20563 1101 604950 133802 12924 2927 29274 <td>FW.HILLS</td> <td>26586</td> <td>60620</td> <td>2280</td> <td>21130</td> <td>37303</td> <td>1765</td> <td>7808</td> <td>7768</td> <td>995</td> <td>31071</td> <td>27963</td> <td>900</td> <td>1153</td> <td>914</td> <td>793</td>	FW.HILLS	26586	60620	2280	21130	37303	1765	7808	7768	995	31071	27963	900	1153	914	793
FW.TERAI 106655 284700 2669 23050 44813 1944 370 350 946 42250 87055 2060 160 153 956 FW.TERAI 106655 284700 2669 23050 44813 1944 370 350 946 42250 87055 2060 160 153 956 FW.REGION 146506 368103 2513 54720 101006 1848 14998 13938 989 90336 128882 1427 5095 3766 739 N F P A L 1555940 4523693 2907 875428 1930669 205 265889 290683 1101 604950 133842 1923 2517 2924 9004	KAILALI	60000	165000	2750	17000	33500	1971	200	180	900	21000	40120	1910	150	144	960
FW.REGION 146506 368103 2513 54720 10106 1846 14098 13938 989 90336 12888 1427 5095 3766 739 FW.REGION 146506 368103 2513 54720 101006 1846 13938 989 90336 128882 1427 5095 3766 739 N F.P.A.L 1555940 4523693 2907 875428 1930669 205 355889 202683 1101 64050 133862 1927 2504 9004		40055	284700	2566 2669	23050	44812	1870	370	350	946	42250	46935 87055	2209 2060	10	152	900 956
NEPAL: 1555940 4523693 2907 875428 1930669 2205 265889 202683 1101 604050 1343862 1024 25017 22224 000	FW.REGION	146506	368103	2513	54720	101006	1846	14098	13938	989	90336	128882	1427	5095	3766	739
	NEPAL	1555940	4523692	2907	875429	1930669	220F	265899	292692	1101	694950	1343862	1934	25817	23224	900

ANNEX III - CALCULATION METHOD FOR THE NUMER OF PEOPLE NEEDING ASSISTANCE

To gauge the food security impact of the crop losses for the rural population in the Far- and Mid-West Hills and Mountains a food access variable was created first. This indicator was subsequently cross referenced with the consumption situation of the affected households.

Food Access Variable

This variable was created based on a cross tabulation between the extent of crop loss and household wealth category ranking. Five wealth categories were distinguished based on asset ownership (including land, livestock, other agricultural assets, and household assets), namely extremely poor, poor, lower middle, upper middle and well-off. The wealth categories serve as a proxy of a household's resilience and ability to purchase food on the market. The assumption is that the higher the wealth category, the more resilient the household is against crop loss and the better the household's access to food through market purchase.

Cross tabulating the wealth categories and the extent of crop losses to create a food access variable provided the following results:

		Ηοι	Household Wealth (Enumerator Perception)						
		Extremely Poor	Poor	Lower Middle	Upper Middle	Well-off			
Crop	Very poor (>50% of normal)	15.8	19.0	10.2	7.7	4.4			
	Poor (less by 30-50% of normal)	8.3	9.4	8.3	6.5	0.8			
	Moderate (less by 10-30% of normal)	0.2	1.5	4.4	2.5	0.4			
	Normal	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	%		

Table 15 - Household food access, as a function of wealth and crop production

Access

s	Poor Access	62.9	
	Moderate Access	28.8	
	Good Access	8.3	%

Households that fall within the red area will be those most affected by this crisis, especially given current market conditions of high food prices. These include households from the lower wealth categories that experienced significant crop losses (more than 30 percent) These households are likely to have 'poor food access' in the upcoming lean period. They constitute 62.9 percent of the rural population in the Far- and Mid- Western Hill and Mountain regions, or almost 2 million people. Households with 'moderate food access' and 'good food access' include those of better wealth and/or those less impacted by crop failure.

Number of people in need of immediate food assistance

To estimate the needs for immediate food assistance, a further cross tabulation was made between the food access variable and the food consumption score.

This yielded the following results:

		Access				
		Poor Access	Moderate Access	Good Access		
	Poor	19.5	1.0	0.0		
FCG	Borderline	17.9	10.8	0.6		
	Adequate	25.4	17.1	7.7	%	

Table 16 - Cross tabulation, food consumption score and food access variable

Priority 1	38.3	
Priority 2	36.2	
Priority 3	25.4	%

Households with poor food consumption scores and poor or moderate food access and households with food consumption scores that are borderline were classified as priority 1 indicating severe food insecurity and limited possibilities to access alternative food sources. This involved 38.3 percent of the population in the Far and Mid-Western Hills and Mountains. In addition, a total of 36.2 percent are at high risk of food insecurity and would need to be closely monitored (priority 2).

Given that WFP is currently distributing food assistance in the Far- and Mid-West, the number of people currently receiving food assistance was subtracted to calculate the additional number of people requiring immediate food assistance. In doing so the final additional caseload for immediate food assistance in the Far- and Mid-West adds up to approximately 707,000 people. While 486,000 additional people are at risk of becoming food insecure due to the drought (see Table 17).

	Percentage	Population in need or at risk	Population currently receiving food assistance	Additional caseload or need to monitor
Priority1	38.3	1,185,482	478,217	707,265
Priority 2	36.2	1,120,482	634,527	485,955